Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your email. We have revised the paper, according to the reviewers, and the amendments are highlighted in blue in the revised manuscript. We also responded point by point to the comments as listed below. We look forward to hearing from you regarding our submission. We would be glad to respond to any further questions and comments that you may have. We hope that the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication.
Thank you.

Except for the addition of the blue font part of the responds to the reviewer's comments, the revised manuscript was reformatted in accordance with the case report format of the magazine. According to the regulations, the revised manuscript should be resubmitted to the professional language company for polishing and provide the polishing certificate. Since only these two paragraphs was added, and we were confident that these expressions were correct. In addition, in the early stage, we have asked a professional company to polish the manuscript, the revised manuscript has changed little , so that we didn't have them polished by another professional firm again.

We appreciate for you and Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

With best wishes,

Sincerely yours,

Hui Qiang Sun

Replies to Reviewers
We would like to thank the reviewers for the constructive and positive comments. We responded to the comments of reviewers one by one, as follows:

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: The application of digital technology to the dental field is important. This is a good paper that shows the advances in technology that can now be applied to diagnostic imaging and technical aids, as well as to the estimation of occlusal alignment. It would be nice to add the author's opinion as to which of the areas discussed in this paper will be the most advanced when AI advances in the future.
Considering the Reviewer's suggestion, we add the opinion as to which of the areas discussed in this paper will be the most advanced when AI advances in the future on 12 pages, Blue font section. Special thanks to Reviewer for his or her good comments.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: This manuscript is well, concisely, and coherently organized and presented. The discussion is accurate and the findings are stated in a clear and definite manner. All figures and diagrams are sufficient, good quality and the legends are adequate and accurately reflective of the images. I believe that theconclusions from this research should be confirmed with more clinical cases.

Considering the Reviewer's suggestion, We have added the part of discussion according to the Reviewer's suggestion on 14 pages, Blue font section. Special thanks to Reviewer for his or her good comments.