

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79221

Title: Colon mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening

colonoscopy: Case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06198465 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-25 06:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-25 12:26

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very interesting case report of colon mucosal injury caused by an endoscopic water jet. I have several questions. First, where did the rubber piece come from? (If you have a photo, it would be appreciated if you could show it.) Second, during the reprocessing of the colonoscope, what do you think is the reason why the rubber piece was not found while brushing?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79221

Title: Colon mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening

colonoscopy: Case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03478404 Position: Editor-in-Chief Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-25 08:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-29 14:56

Review time: 4 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case is unusual and it points out a complication due to a malfunction of the colonoscope. It has practical importance and endoscopists should be aware of this. I have listed some comments below, for consideration: 1. Please avoid redundant data, throughout all the sentences of the manuscript. 2. Abstract: Please insert the age of the patient and that she had no known past medical conditions. Please insert abbreviation "Pt" before using it. Please mention that only the caecum was affected (in: "...damaged the colon mucosa"). Please mention that it was "oozing bleeding", as it appears in the main text. 3. Case presentation: History of present illness: a. Could you please show the image of the piece of rubber? This is highly important. Without it...there is no evidence. And, please, where did it come from, more specifically? b. "Later in the same endoscopy unit, during a colonoscopy on another patient, a different endoscopist found that the water jet of the colonoscope" - please insert "same" before colonoscope. In fact, it appears now that two cases were injured. Maybe you could present also images from the 2nd case. 3. Discussion: Please mention whether the functions of the colonoscope were checked before the maneuver. If so, at least, in the 2nd case, the lesions could have been prevented. Otherwise, the conclusion "Endoscopists should be vigilant to recognize potential endoscopic malfunction." has no relevance. Please also correct: "Although this incidence" to "Although this incident". 4. Please insert Authors ORCID Numbers. 5. Please insert running (short) title. 6. Please write the manuscript according to the journal format requirements, including references. 7. Please insert « Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form » and proper « Copyright License Agreement » (not as Supplementary Material).



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79221

Title: Colon mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening

colonoscopy: Case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05038454 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-26 14:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-05 15:09

Review time: 10 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing https://www.wjgnet.com

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case report, the authors presented a case of iatrogenic colon mucosa injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening colonoscopy, this is a rare adverse events. The case report is interesting, however, I have several concerns. 1) Because this adverse event was induced by malfunction of the water jet/endoscope, can we (endoscopists) figure out the malfunction before the screening procedure? If we can, we can avoid the iatrogenic injury. Please discuss this in the "Discussion". 2) Was there any risk factors for water jet/colonoscope malfunction, such as no maintainance or check for a long time? Maybe we can discuss it. 3) It is suboptimal to shown personal communication in the discussion part, as the grade of evidence is low. 4) Was there a relationship between mucosal injury with the pylop 3 months later, as they were at the same site? 5) It would be better the authors provided Video to show the dynamic injury.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79221

Title: Colon mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening

colonoscopy: Case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03668600 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-29 16:40

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-06 09:40

Review time: 7 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [Y] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very uncommon technical situation, not a priority for readers or publishers.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79221

Title: Colon mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction during a screening

colonoscopy: Case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05846800 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-30 09:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-07 21:41

Review time: 8 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)[] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a case of iatrogenic colonic mucosal injury caused by water jet malfunction. There are some important points to be considered and revised in the manuscript as below. 1. How much area and force (such as pascal) are applied to the gastrointestinal mucosa and to what extent does the mucosal injury? It would be interesting to have data and reports on these points from in vitro and/or ex vivo. 2. Is it possible the mucosal injury occurred following over insufflation? 3. The endoscopic image of the cecum with mucosal injury is showed in Fig. 1A. Please show the image of the cecum when it is reached, instead of the image of the transverse colon. It is important to determine whether the mucosa is injured by contact with the endoscope or by the water jet.