

Title: "Impact of the Virtual Anti-Hypertensive Educational Campaign towards Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hypertension during COVID-19 Pandemic"

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? • The title reflects the hypothesis of the paper.

Author Response: thank you for your comment

2. Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? • Yes, the abstract cover the main aspect of the work

Author Response: thank you for your comment

3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? o It is recommended to use MeSH headings as the keywords. Please correct, if possible.

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we revised the keywords according to MeSH headings

4. Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? • The background of the manuscript has tried to provide the background and information relevant to the study but it has some spelling errors

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we tried to minimize spelling errors

5. Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? • In the Intervention section it's a little bit bulky to read, it will better if you summaries it in a table. • An essential detail of the case that allows to a useful conclusion is mentioned.

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we used CONSORT flow chat diagram to make it clearer

6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? • The results of the study lacks novelty since several studies have already established the information about the

## Impact of the Virtual Anti-Hypertensive Educational Campaign towards Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Hypertension during COVID-19 Pandemic.

Author Response: yes, in term of educational campaign to increase knowledge, attitude and practice seems to be usual. However, this study want to reveal whether virtual educational campaign in the setting of COVID-19 pandemic and locked down still have any impact regarding transfer of knowledge, attitude and practice similar to conventional educational campaign

7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? • The discussion part looks relevant, clear and concise. • Key concepts are listed. • Relevant literatures are well discussed, however strengths and limitations in your approach is not mentioned, please add the possible limitations and strengths of your approach to the case.

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we have added the limitations and strengths of the study

8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? • N/A

Author Response: thank you for your comment

9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? • Yes.

Author Response: thank you for your comment

10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? • Yes.

Author Response: thank you for your comment

11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? • The references of the manuscript has to follow the referencing style guidelines of the journal.

Author Response: thank you, we will follow the referencing style guidelines of the journal

12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? • Some part of the discussion has grammatical errors and should be addressed.

Author Response: thank you for your constructive feedback. We have sent our manuscript to professional English language editing companies for proof correction. Hopefully it can minimize misspellings and grammatical errors.

13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? • The statistical analysis looks appropriate and relevant.

Author Response: thank you for your comment

14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? • There is no any ethical or any other concern raised. The approval has been granted.

Author Response: thank you for your comment

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Thanks to the authors for submitting such an interesting manuscript, which is of great significance to the virtual health education research on hypertension during the COVID-19 pandemic

#### 4 LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

As the revision process results in changes to the content of the manuscript, language problems may exist in the revised manuscript. Thus, it is necessary to perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical, formatting and other related errors be resolved, so that the revised manuscript will meet the publication requirement (Grade A).

Authors are requested to send their revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company or a native English-speaking expert to polish the manuscript further. When the authors submit the subsequent polished manuscript to us, they must provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

Once this step is completed, the manuscript will be quickly accepted and published online. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we have sent our manuscript to professional English language editing companies for proof correction

## 5 ABBREVIATIONS

In general, do not use non-standard abbreviations, unless they appear at least two times in the text preceding the first usage/definition. Certain commonly used abbreviations, such as DNA, RNA, HIV, LD50, PCR, HBV, ECG, WBC, RBC, CT, ESR, CSF, IgG, ELISA, PBS, ATP, EDTA, and mAb, do not need to be defined and can be used directly.

Author Response: thank you for your suggestion, we try to avoid using non-standard abbreviations

## 6 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

### (1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

### (2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Cardiology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, please upload the primary version (PDF) of the Institutional Review Board's official approval in official language of the authors' country to the system; for example, authors from China should upload the Chinese version of the document, authors from Italy should upload the Italian version of the document, authors from Germany should upload the Deutsch version of the document, and authors from the United States and the United Kingdom should upload the English version of the document, etc. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words). Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or

arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.