

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79759

**Title:** Surgical treatment of Pitt-Hopkins syndrome associated with strabismus and early-onset myopia: Two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06360634

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-11 21:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-13 19:45

Review time: 1 Day and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)[ ] Accept (General priority)[ Y] Minor revision[ ] Major revision[ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks to the authors for the original and very interesting manuscript. This genetic disoreder is very rare and the manuscript is presenting novel treatment approach. The authors have provided very informative and illustrative images. Overall it is very well stuctured, but for the reader probably it will be easier to understand if the cases are presented separately. The references should be presented with proper coding system according to the Format for References Guidelines. Page 4 row 11- the verb "is" is missing after "it" and before "spontaneously".



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79759

**Title:** Surgical treatment of Pitt-Hopkins syndrome associated with strabismus and early-onset myopia: Two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06250974

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Instructor, Staff Physician, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-05 12:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-18 10:54

Review time: 12 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)       [ ] Accept (General priority)         [ Y] Minor revision       [ ] Major revision       [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes -2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? -Yes -3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes. -4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? -Yes. -5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Not applicable. -6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in -7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings this study? -Yes. adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? -Yes. -8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? -Yes. -9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not applicable. -10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? -Yes. -11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? -Yes. -12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? -Yes. -13 Research methods and reporting. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? -The CARE checklist mentions the "strengths and limitations in your approach to this case." Therefore, please state the limitations of the approach to this case in the manuscript in the discussion section. -14 Ethics statements. Did the manuscript meet the



requirements of ethics? Yes