

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 79792

**Title:** Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00722219

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-09-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-09 16:08

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-09 18:17

**Review time:** 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

"Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review " is a good study that has a well design. The quality of the figures and the quality and number of literature are enough for the accepting. Quality of the writing design and overall quality of the content of this manuscript are enough for the accepting. This study may be accepted for the publication. Sincerely yours.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 79792

**Title:** Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05374991

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Master's Student, Research Assistant

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Germany

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-09-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-11-24 17:29

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-12-06 00:36

**Review time:** 11 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General Impression: The authors reported an interesting case of postpartum left ovarian vein thrombosis. The case is informative and uncommon. The figures are clear and representative. However, this manuscript is not publishable in its current form and require careful revision. Comments: 1. The discussion section of the abstract requires reformatting as the sentences are long and hard to follow. Please rephrase it using shorter sentences; it is recommended to keep the phrase length between w5 and 35 words. 2. The keywords are inappropriate. Please write “ovarian venous thrombosis”, “postpartum”, and remove abdominal pain. I would also suggest adding Multi-detector Row Computed Tomography. 3. In the method and result section, could you please clarify whether the patient has concomitant cardiovascular or haematological disease? Had she had previously any thrombotic accident? 4. In the method and result section, did you investigate the levels of protein C, protein S and anti-thrombin III? 5. In the method and result section, did you ask the patient to take Rivaroxaban over the long term as prophylaxis? 6. The written language requires extensive editing and revisions because it has a lot of grammatical and linguistic mistakes. I suggest having the

manuscript revised by someone fluent in English or a native English speaker. In addition, please make sure to follow the journal guidelines regarding the manuscript formatting.