

## Authors' Response to the Reviewers' Comments

**Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript No:** 79956

**Title:** Telenutrition for the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Benefits, Limits, and Future Perspectives

Dear Reviewers and Editor,

Thank you for revising our manuscript (No:79956) " Telenutrition for the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Benefits, Limits, and Future Perspectives ".

We greatly appreciate the time and efforts to review our manuscript and we agree that the proposed changes will contribute to the improvement of our manuscript. We have addressed all issues indicated in the review, and we believe that the revised version can meet the journal publication requirements.

Please find our responses to comments below.

Yours Sincerely,

### List of changes and answers for reviewers

	Rev 1. Comments	Answer/correction
1	<p>Some suggestions to implement the completeness of the work. Under 2. 2. Transition to Telemedicine and Telenutrition in Inflammatory Bowel Disease I would add some literature notes to better contextualize the impact of COVID-19 on IBD. Some work has highlighted how therapeutic adherence has been undermined and is an additional issue to examine in both the medical and nutritional settings. I would therefore recommend a closer look at some of the work in this regard (PMID: 35973931).</p> <p>In addition, I would add a mention to the fact that, in COVID-19, it was reported that even patients with controlled disease activity experienced psychological distress (PMID: 35346015) to stigmatize the need for remote telemedicine/telenutrition follow-up of patients with IBD. It could probably also be useful to recommend monitoring the, thus, psychological dimension with various questionnaires already used in IBD (PMID: 2748771, 3204199), and it would also be useful to discuss the impact that psychology has on nutrition.</p>	<p>We agreed with all comments and edited the manuscript according it. We included the studies that investigated the therapeutic adherence during COVID-19 time, as well monitoring psychological parameters in the discussion part in L116-L122 with the relevant references. Information concerning psychological dimension were included in the 3rd section on L155-L157.</p>
	Rev 2. Comments	

1	<p>1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript?YES</p> <p>2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? YES</p> <p>3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? YES</p> <p>4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? YES</p> <p>5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? YES</p> <p>6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? YES</p> <p>7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? YES</p> <p>8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends?NO</p> <p>9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics?NA</p> <p>10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units?NA</p> <p>11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? YES</p>	<p>We have improved the grammar and grammar in the manuscript, and corrected errors.</p>
---	--	--

<p>12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate?NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</p> <p>13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting?NO</p> <p>14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics?NA</p>	
--	--