

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

We would like to thank the comments and suggestions done by the Editor and Reviewers. We have tried to address them the best we could to our understanding. Please, see below for our detailed answers (in red) to each comment raised,

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear Author, thank you for share your research. You analyze a long follow up of patients with Crohn disease, with a longitudinal-prospective study design, using LI score. These findings may help clinicians to improve treatment strategies to prevent BD progression and has probably to be adapted according to the presence of risk factors identified in the current study. Limitations of this study are the size of the cohort (limited with data based on a single center), and the use of biological therapy involving the majority of patients, preventing the study of association between biologic therapies and BD progression. **As we mention in the documents our study have some limitations, the size of the cohort and the use of biological therapy are the most important.**

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The clinical ideas proposed in this paper can provide new ideas for the diagnosis and treatment of Crohn's disease. Some suggestions are pointed out below. First of all, for some theoretical basis, further literature support is needed. Can we quote more articles in recent years. **We have added some new data as suggested. Pariente et al have also published a validation cohort of the Lemann index (reference 3).**

Secondly, some sentences are a little illogical, and the use of grammar needs further improvement. **The whole review has already been edited by Joe Moore. He is an American native speaker with a Bachelor of Art in English. Besides, we asked him for a new revision and correction before the review article was submitted.**

The research content has innovative significance and clinical application prospects.

***Company editor-in-chief:***

Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights.

We attach the original figures documents using power point with the copirirght license. All the figures are original.

Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned.

We attach the tables with the standard three-line model as required.

Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

We also attach the approved grant application form.

We hope that our explanation convinced you for the final acceptance of the manuscript.

Best regards,

Agnès Fernández-Clotet and Jordi Rimola