

November 14,2022

Dear reviewers,

Thank you very much for your time involved in reviewing the manuscript and your very encouraging comments on the merits. We also appreciate your clear and detailed feedback and hope that the explanation has fully addressed all of your concerns.

Title:Giant Myxofibrosarcoma of Esophagus Resected by Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection: a case report

Authors:Xiao-Song Wang, Cheng-guang Zhao, Hui-min Wang, Xiao-yan Wang

Manuscript No:80174

Reviewer #1

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific comments: Thanks to the authors for this rare and interesting case report. The manuscript will be helpful for other clinicians facing such rare diagnosis. I have a few comments: 1. The signed consent is missing. 2. The manuscript should be written according to the Journal's specific recommendations for writing a case report with main components Introduction, Case presentation, Discussion and Conclusion-some of them are missing. 3. The manuscript needs language polishing. 4. The authors should consider adding more references

Comment 1: The signed consent is missing.

Response 1: Signed Consent for Treatment Form has been completed and uploaded.

Comment 2: The manuscript should be written according to the Journal's specific recommendations for writing a case report with main components Introduction, Case presentation, Discussion and Conclusion-some of them are missing.

Response 2: We have revised the manuscript according to the Journal's specific recommendations with Introduction, Case presentation, Discussion and Conclusion.

Comment 3: The manuscript needs language polishing.

Response 3: We have carefully and thoroughly proofread the manuscript to correct all the grammar and typos.

Comment 4: The authors should consider adding more references.

Response 4: We have added the references such as 2,3,5 and 9.

Reviewer #2

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific comments: The paper has an interesting case to narrate, but it needs absolutely a thorough English editing from a native doctor. Several expressions are bizarre or wrong: And the endoscopy barely passes 3.0cm is so giant This case report firstly describes It is well tolerated in this patient, At the end there is a strange sentence: Peer-review This is an interesting and rare case report and eventually needs to be published.

Reply:

Thank you very much for your time involved in reviewing the manuscript. We have carefully and thoroughly proofread the manuscript to correct all the grammar and typos.

Reviewer #3

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific comments: The present work is a case report of a giant Myxofibrosarcoma of esophagus resected by endoscopic submucosal dissection. The case report is well organized and the ideas are clear. However,

some minor concerns should be highlighted:

1- In the discussion section, the authors should start with a brief summary of the case report, stating the main strengths and weaknesses of the work.

Reply: We have stated the main strengths and weaknesses of the work in the discussion section according to your suggestions.

2- In the discussion section, it is important to determine the characteristics of myxofibrosarcoma in CT scan and MRI. Please add in the text “On computed tomography (CT), MFS present as a heterogeneous soft tissue mass. MRI is the diagnostic modality of choice. It shows a low to intermediate signal on T1-weighted MRI. On T2-weighted MRI, the solid and myxoid components show high signal intensity, with the myxoid component showing higher signal intensity similar to fluids”. Please add the following reference: Beji H, Bouassida M, Chtourou MF, Zribi S, Moghri MM, Touinsi H. Myxofibrosarcoma of the abdominal wall : A case report and literature review. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2022;95:107275. Published 2022 Jun 4. doi:10.1016/j.ijscr.2022.107275

Reply: We have revised and added relevant content according to your suggestion.

3- The authors stated “A 79-year-old male patient was admitted to our hospital for 1 week of dysphagia”. It would be better to state “A 79-year-old male patient was admitted to our hospital for dysphagia evolving for a month.”

Reply: We have revised the sentence in the manuscript according to your suggestion.

4- The authors stated “The space of the esophagus showed stenosis, but the endoscopy still passed”. It would be better to state “ There was incomplete esophageal stenosis”.

Reply: We have revised the sentence in the manuscript according to your suggestion.

5- The authors stated “the patient's family strongly request endoscopic

treatment” The verb should be put in the past form. “requested”

Reply: We have revised the sentence in the manuscript according to your suggestion.

6- The authors stated “ the patient discharged from the hospital a week later”. It would be better to put the verb in the past “was discharged”.

Reply: We have revised the sentence in the manuscript according to your suggestion.

Thank you very much for taking the time to review the manuscript and your detailed comments.