

## Response to reviewers

Dear respected reviewers,

Thank you for taking the time to review our manuscript and provide your valuable comments. Here are answers to your comments:

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** It is very important to report and summarize the cases of duodenal perforation in children. Overall, this paper is well written. 1.However, the writing of the history part of the case was not standardized. 2.The erect and supine radiographs in Figure1 should be marked separately. 3.An X-ray showing the location of the abdominal drainage tube should be added if available

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your comments: 1. We have revised the manuscript to follow the case report writing guidelines. 2. Erect and supine radiographs were marked. 3. We have indicated in Figure 3 the presence of the abdominal drain.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Dear Editorial team. I think this report, contains useful information, especially for pediatricians and general practitioners who should dedicate more attention to patients and pay more attention to such situation that seems not important but could threaten patients life.

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your comments.