

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80343

Title: Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06283260

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-23 18:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-23 18:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good study minor language corrections needed

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80343

Title: Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05655782

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-24 09:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-25 01:49

Review time: 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author discusses the role of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in pancreatic space-occupying lesions. It is recommended to appropriately increase endoscopic ultrasound and the knowledge of radiofrequency ablation in the introduction section. This manuscript can be accepted for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80343

Title: Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01467632

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FASGE, MD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Director, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-24 09:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-29 14:17

Review time: 5 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The object of the minireview is very interesting and topical in pancreatic lesion. However some changes are needed in order to better explain the use of radiofrequency ablation. In particular: In Introduction session you state that Whipple operation mortality in 4.1%. I imagine that this data regards high-volume centers. Could you specify it in the text? In the last part of the introduction you state that EUSRFA is used to treat pancreatic masses. I believe that is fundamental to specify that RFA is used to treat pancreatic lesions in a multidisciplinary context and evaluation. Please could you insert it in the text? In the starting part of "surgical treatment in pancreatic malignancy" paragraph you state that adjuvant chemotherapy is usually becoming the standard treatment of pancreatic cancer. Did you mean neo-adjuvant treatment? Please specify. In the paragraph "radiofrequency ablation treatment and its role in pancreatic



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

malignancy you just mentioned that radiofrequency ablation is a “thermal” therapy. I understand that this is a minireview but maybe a short explanation of method, techniques and devices used is necessary for not-technical about the issue. For example there are different ablation methods (following temperature increase or impedance) and probes (surgical, endoscopic with catheters or needles). Moreover a brief explanation about physical principles is needed. Please, can you better specify? In “innovation on EUS-RFA in pancreatic malignancy” you have discussed the specific use of the system with EUS. Please, better explain this system (needle probe with electrode on the tip, working with the generator following impedance, peristaltic pump for needle perfusion with chilled solution,...). Moreover, you cited few articles about the issue. Other experiences have been done and have been reported in literature, in particular more recent experiences. Please, verify the completeness of reported references and add new bibliographic voices in the text (both regarding NET or PDAC).

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80343

Title: Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05655782

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-10 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-10 09:08

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article has been modified and is currently acceptable for publication

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80343

Title: Impact of endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation in managing pancreatic malignancy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01467632

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FASGE, MD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Director, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-10 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-10 10:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The object of the minireview is very interesting and topical in pancreatic lesion. However some changes are needed in order to better explain the use of radiofrequency ablation. In particular: In Introduction session you state that Whipple operation mortality in 4.1%. I imagine that this data regards high-volume centers. Could you specify it in the text? --> Issue has been solved as requested. In the last part of the introduction you state that EUS RFA is used to treat pancreatic masses. I believe that is fundamental to specify that RFA is used to treat pancreatic lesions in a multidisciplinary context and evaluation. Please could you insert it in the text? --> Issue has been solved as requested. In the starting part of "surgical treatment in pancreatic malignancy" paragraph you state that adjuvant chemotherapy is usually becoming the standard treatment of pancreatic cancer. Did you mean neo-adjuvant treatment? Please specify. --> Issue has been solved as requested. In the paragraph "radiofrequency ablation treatment and its role in pancreatic malignancy you just mentioned that radiofrequency ablation is a "thermal" therapy. I understand that this is a minireview but maybe a short explanation of method, techniques and devices used is necessary for not-technical about the issue. For example there are different ablation methods (following temperature increase or impedance) and probes (surgical, endoscopic with catheters or needles). Moreover a brief explanation about physical principles is needed. Please, can you better specify? --> You stated: "system using the electrical current from a generator with monopolar electrode" added usually with monopolar electrode please, because bipolar pancreatic probes not usable under endoscopic control exist. Please, remove "The cooling system using water-based with cooled needle (cool-tip system). The electrode types are single internally cooled electrode, cluster internal cooled electrode system, and



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

variations (StarBurst from RITA and LeVeen from Boston Scientific)” because is related only to the endoscopic pancreatic probe and the generator is not correct. In this session you explain every type of probes (also surgical) and this is not correct. In “innovation on EUS-.RFA in pancreatic malignancy” you have discussed the specific use of the system with EUS. Please, better explain this system (needle probe with electrode on the tip, working with the generator following impedance, peristaltic pump for needle perfusion with chilled solution,...). --> Please, insert here the EUS-system description previous reported removing the specific name of the generator which is incorrect. Moreover, you cited few articles about the issue. Other experiences have been done and have been reported in literature, in particular more recent experiences. Please, verify the completeness of reported references and add new bibliographic voices in the text (both regarding NET or PDAC). --> Some references have been missed for example: Rossi G et al. Endoscopic ultrasound radiofrequency ablation of pancreatic insulinoma in elderly patients: Three case reports. World J Clin Cases 2022. In reference 29 you reported in the text the name of the author “Nucci”, please modify with the correct surname “de Nucci et al.”