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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To investigate moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) following failed first-line treatment.

**METHODS**: The sample included 312 patients for whom first-line treatment failed between January 2008 and May 2013; 27 patients were excluded, and a total of 285 patients received 7- or 14-d moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment for *H. pylori* infection. First line regimens included 7-d standard triple (*n* = 172), 10-d bismuth-containing quadruple (*n* = 28), 14-d concomitant (*n* = 37), or 14-d sequential (*n* = 48) therapy. *H. pylori* status was evaluated using 13C-urea breath testing 4 wk later, after completion of the treatment. The primary outcome was the *H. pylori* eradication rate analyzed using intention-to-treat (ITT) and per protocol (PP) analyses. The secondary outcome was the occurrence of serious adverse events. Demographic and clinical factors were analyzed using Student’s *t-*tests and Pearson’s *χ*2 tests according to first- and second-line regimens. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**: The eradication rate of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy was 68.4% (ITT; 95%CI: 62.8-73.5) and 73.9% (PP; 95%CI: 68.3-78.8). The eradication rate was significantly higher with 14 d compared to 7 d of treatment (77.5% *vs* 62.5%, *P* = 0.017). Peptic ulcer patients had a higher eradication rate than the patients without an ulcer (82.9% *vs* 70.6%, *P* = 0.046). The demographic and clinical characteristics were not significantly different between the groups according to first- line therapies. ITT and PP analyses of the moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy indicated the following eradication rates: 70.9% (95%CI: 63.8-77.2) and 77.2% (95%CI: 70.1-83.1) in standard triple; 67.9% (95%CI: 51.5-84.2) and 67.9% (95%CI: 51.5-84.2) in bismuth-containing quadruple; 60.4% (95%CI: 46.3-73.0) and 70.7% (95%CI: 54.0-80.9) in sequential; and 67.6% (95%CI: 51.5-80.4) and 67.6%(95%CI: 51.5-80.4) in concomitant. There were no statistically significant differences in the efficacy of the first-line regimens (*P* = 0.492). The most common adverse event was diarrhea. There were no serious adverse events and no significant differences in the frequency of side effects between the first- and second-line regimens (28.7% *vs* 26.1%, respectively).

**CONCLUSION**: Moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment resulted in low eradication rates. There were no differences in the efficacy between the first-line regimens in South Korea.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.
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**Core tip**: This study aimed at examining *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*)eradication rates using moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as the second-line treatment. The effectiveness was compared between the failed first-line treatment options. The use of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy resulted in low eradication rates, and there were no differences in the efficacy between the failed first-line regimens. As a result, we recommend tailored therapy for *H. pylori* and careful antibiotics selection before the choice of second-line treatment in South Korea.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Traditional indications for *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) eradication were peptic ulcer disease, gastric mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma, and early gastric cancer after endoscopic submucosal dissection[1]. However, current consensus also includes functional dyspepsia, long-term use of aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), iron deficiency anemia, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, and *H. pylori* infection after subtotal gastrectomy for gastric cancer[2].

The combination of proton pump inhibitors (PPI), clarithromycin, and amoxicillin is standard triple therapy, and it has been prescribed as a first-line treatment for *H. pylori* infections. Despite the increase in the indications for *H. pylori* treatment, there has been a decrease in the rate of *H. pylori* eradication related to an increase in the resistance to clarithromycin and amoxicillin[3]. Therefore levofloxacin-based triple, sequential, concomitant, hybrid, high dose dual, and rifabutin-based triple therapies have also been used for the treatment of *H. pylori* infections.

Owing to the increase in resistance to clarithromycin, not only standard triple therapy but also bismuth-containing quadruple therapy is recommended as first-line treatment in Korean guidelines[4]. In addition, sequential and concomitant therapies are used as first-line regimens in other countries[5]. For this reason, the availability of rescue therapies is increasingly becoming a concern. In the Maastricht IV/Florence Consensus[2],fluoroquinolone-based triple therapy, such as levofloxacin, was used as second-line treatment after the failure of standard triple and bismuth-containing quadruple therapies. The rate of *H. pylori* eradication using moxifloxacin-based treatment, which is a type of fluoroquinolone, as second-line therapy is reported to be high[6,7]. However, the use of moxifloxacin as second-line treatment has only been reported after the use of standard triple and bismuth-containing therapy as first-line treatments. In addition, rescue regimens have not been well established after failed sequential and concomitant therapy as first-line treatments, and both regimens include metronidazole, which creates challenges in using bismuth-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment. To our knowledge, there have been no reports of the effectiveness of moxifloxacin as second-line treatment following failed first-line treatment in the form of sequential or concomitant therapy.

This study aimed at examining *H. pylori* eradication rates using moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as the second-line treatment and compared between failed first-line regimens that included standard triple, bismuth-containing quadruple, sequential, and concomitant therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Participants***

The sample included 312 patients for whom eradication of *H. pylori* infection in the gastrointestinal outpatient clinic at the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital was not successful following first-line treatment between January 2008 and May 2013 and who subsequently received moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment. The patients had not previously received *H. pylori* eradication before the first-line treatment. Patients were excluded for the use of H2 receptor antagonists, PPIs, or antibiotics in the previous 4 wk, or the use of a NSAIDs or steroid in the 2 wk before the 13C-urea breath test (13C-UBT). The exclusion criteria also included the following: previous gastric surgery or endoscopic mucosal dissection for gastric cancer; advanced gastric cancer; a systemic illness, such as liver cirrhosis or chronic renal failure; pregnancy; age < 18 years; and insufficient data. All patients gave written informed consent, and the study was performed according to be directions of the Declaration of Helsinki. We also received an institutional review board approval of the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital.

***Histologic evaluation and rapid urease test***

The presence of *H. pylori* was defined as a positive result of histology or a rapid urease test. A biopsy specimen, obtained by endoscopy, was fixed in formalin and used for the determination of *H. pylori* infection through Giemsa staining. The results of the rapid urease tests (CLO test; Delta West, Bentley, Western, Australia) were interpreted as positive if the color of the gel turned pink or red at room temperature within the 24 h after the examination.

***H. pylori eradication regimens***

Patients received standard triple, bismuth-containing quadruple, sequential, or concomitant therapy as the first-line regimen for eradication of *H. pylori*. Standard triple therapy included 1 g amoxicillin twice a day (b.i.d), 500 mg clarithromycin b.i.d., and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d. for 7 d. Bismuth-containing quadruple therapy was comprised of 300 mg tripotassium dicitrato bismuthate 4 times a day (q.i.d.), 500 mg tetracycline q.i.d., 500 mg metronidazole 3 times a day, and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d. for 10 d. Sequential therapy lasted for 2 wk and included 1 g amoxicillin and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d. for the first week, followed by 500 mg clarithromycin, 500 mg metronidazole, and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d for the second week. Concomitant therapy consisted of 1 g amoxicillin, 500 mg clarithromycin, 500 mg metronidazole and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d for 2 wk.

As second-line treatment, moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy included 400 mg moxifloxacin q.d., 1 g amoxicillin b.i.d., and 20 mg rabeprazole (or 40 mg esomeprazole) b.i.d. for 7 or 14 d.

The patients who took < 70% of prescribed medication were considered to have low compliance.

***Urea breath test***

*H. pylori* eradication was evaluated using 13C-UBT exactly 4 wk after the completion of the treatment. An initial breath sample was obtained after 4 h of fasting, with a second breath sample obtained 20 min later. One hundred milligrams of 13C-urea power (UBiTkitTM; Otsuka Pharamaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) dissolved in 100 mL of water was orally administered. Collected samples were analyzed using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (UBiT-IR300®; Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Japan) with a cutoff value of 2.5%.

***Statistical analysis***

The primary and secondary outcomes of this study were the *H. pylori* eradication rate and adverse effects of the treatment, respectively. *H. pylori* eradication rates were determined by intention-to-treat (ITT) and per protocol (PP) analyses. ITT analysis compared the treatment groups including all of the patients as originally allocated. PP analysis compared treatment groups including only those patients who completed the treatment as originally allocated. Eradication rates of both the overall sample and subgroups with failed first-line therapies were analyzed using Student’s *t-*tests and Pearson’s *χ2* tests according to the demographic and clinical factors. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analysis was conducted using SPSS 20.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

**RESULTS**

***Characteristics of the study groups***

Of the 312 patients, 27 were excluded from the study because of gastric cancer (*n* = 12); use of medications use including antibiotics, NSAIDs, or steroids (*n* = 7) before the 13C-UBT; liver cirrhosis (*n* = 5); or chronic renal failure (*n* = 3). This resulted in 285 patients who underwent eradication treatment. Seventeen were lost to follow-up, and 4 had low treatment compliance (Figure 1).

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients who were administered moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as the second-line regimen are shown in Table 1, and these characteristics divided into subgroups according to the first-line regimens are provided in Table 2. The proportion of patients with a peptic ulcer disease (PUD) was significantly higher than patients without an ulcer in the moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy group (*P* = 0.046). There were no other significant differences between the groups of first-line therapies.

***Outcomes of moxifloxacin-containing therapy according to the first-line regimens***

The overall eradication rate by moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as the second-line treatment was 68.4% (95%CI: 62.8-73.5) and 73.9% (95%CI: 68.3-78.8) using ITT and PP analyses, respectively. The eradication rates from moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy according to the failed first-line regimens using ITT analysis were 70.9% (95% CI: 63.8-77.2) in the standard triple therapy group, 67.9% (95%CI: 51.5-84.2) in the bismuth-containing quadruple therapy group, 60.4% (95%CI: 46.3 -73.0) in the sequential therapy group, and 67.6% (95%CI: 51.5-80.4%) in the concomitant therapy group. According to PP analyses the eradication rates related to the use of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy for each of the failed first-line regimens were 77.2% (95%CI: 70.1-83.1), 67.9% (95%CI: 51.5-84.2), 70.7% (95%CI: 54.0-80.9), and 67.6% (95%CI: 51.5-80.4) in the standard triple, bismuth-containing quadruple, sequential, and concomitant therapy groups, respectively.

The 14-d moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy resulted in a high eradication rate compared with the 7-d regimen. The ITT analyses resulted in rates of 58.0% for the 7-d treatment and 71.8% for the 14-d treatment (*P* = 0.032) while the PP analyses resulted in 62.5% and 77.5%, respectively (*P* = 0.017). The group treated with moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy following the failure of standard triple therapy had the highest rates of eradication (70.9% and 77.2% by ITT and PP analyses, respectively); however there were no statistically significant differences in the efficacy of the first-line regimens (*P* = 0.492). The most common adverse event was diarrhea, but there were no serious adverse events and no significant differences in the frequency of side effects between the first- and second-line regimens (28.7% *vs* 26.1%) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the efficacy of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as a second-line treatment for *H. pylori* compared between first-line regimens that failed. The use of moxifloxacin resulted in a low eradication rate, regardless of the type of first-line treatment; however, a longer duration of treatment (14 d) was more effective than one that was shorter (7 d).

Our results are similar to those reported previously by Yoon *et al*[8] based on data collected in 2007–2008 where the eradication rates were 68% and 79.9% using ITT and PP analyses, respectively. This is compared to our results of 68.4% (ITT) and 73.9% (PP) eradication based on data from 2008–2013. These rates are considered unacceptably low. However, the increased effectiveness observed with the longer duration of moxifloxacin use is similar to that previously reported[7].

The eradication rate in patients with PUD was higher than in the non-ulcer patients in our study. Although strong evidence is lacking to support expectations of higher eradication rates in PUD, there are several hypotheses that may explain greater success in PUD. *H. pylori* strains with high virulence factors were more likely to cause PUD and had a higher eradication rate than those of low virulence. PUD results in moderate to severe inflammation of the antrum, and this may play an important role in the success of *H. pylori* eradication. Inflammation degrades the mucus and epithelial layers and alters the vascular and epithelial permeability; these effects may result in better penetration and delivery of drugs[9,10].

Previous reports indicate that moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy results in high rates of eradication.The eradication rate of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as first-line treatment is from 84.1% to 89%, and it results in a higher eradication rate compared to standard triple therapy[11,12]. Furthermore, it demonstrates good efficacy as second-line treatment with eradication rates up to 90% in reports from 2008[6,7]. Although bismuth-containing quadruple therapy has generally been used as a second-line therapy[13], moxifloxacin-containing therapy is preferred owing to poor compliance related to the side effects, complicated dosing schedules, and low eradication rate with bismuth-containing quadruple therapy[14]. Other studies have also indicated that the use of levofloxacin-containing triple therapy as rescue treatment is effective by itself and more so than bismuth-containing quadruple therapy[15,16].

Resistance to any form of treatment is increasing, presenting difficulties in identifying the most effective treatment. A recent study conducted in South Korea demonstrated that the resistance of *H. pylori* to fluoroquinolone is increasing, and the authors indicated that a fluoroquinolone-based regimen is not adequate for first- or second-line eradication therapy[17]. Fluoroquinolone exhibits bacteriostatic activity by interfering with topoisomerase II (DNA gyrase) and topoisomerase IV, and DNA gyrase interrupts the DNA synthetic process in *H. pylori*. The mechanism of resistance in *H. pylori* has been associated with mutation of the fluoroquinolone resistance determining region of *gyr*A in DNA gyrase[18,19].This results in the decrease in the eradication rates observed using moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment[3,8]. In 2004, the primary resistance rate to moxifloxacin was low (5.6%); however, the rate of resistance dramatically increased to 28.2% over the following 4 years[8]. In recent data, the documented resistance rate was as high as 34.6% in 2009-2012[3].This rapid increase in moxifloxacin resistance might be explained by cross-resistance to other fluoroquinolones such as ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. Fluoroquinolones are being prescribed more frequently for other diseases such as respiratory and urinary tract infections potentially resulting in an increase in antibiotic resistance by *H. pylori*[8,20].

Amoxicillin resistance is also increasing in South Korea. Lee *et* *al*[3] reported that the prevalence of amoxicillin resistance was 7.1% in the years 2003-2005 and 18.5% from 2009-2012. Although a decrease in the eradication rate by standard triple therapy as first-line treatment and moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment has been observed, the effect of amoxicillin resistance on treatment remains controversial. It is difficult to determine if the resistance to amoxicillin itself is a problem such as the resistance previously demonstrated by clarithromycin and levofloxacin[3,21].

Several regimens, including standard triple therapy, are recommended as first-line therapy. The Korean Society of Gastroenterology was announced a revised edition of guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of *H. pylori* infection in 2013. One important change is that bismuth-containing quadruple therapy is recommended as first-line therapy[4]. In addition, several countries recommend the use sequential therapy, because previous reports have indicated that it is more effective than standard triple therapy for first-line treatment[5,22,23]. Furthermore, concomitant therapy is also effective for *H. pylori* eradication[24]. However, almost of these regimens include amoxicillin, clarithromycin, metronidazole, or tetracycline, and there are very few recommendations for the use of antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones after the failure of the first-line treatment.

The use of an antibiotics susceptibility test for *H. pylori* is ideal; however, it is difficult to conduct in local clinics, and it is easy to choose fluoroquinolone antibiotics in the absence of a test. The use of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as rescue treatment without an antibiotics susceptibility test in the current study resulted in low rates of eradication. Sitafloxacin also a fluoroquinolone has a low minimum inhibitory concentration, and *H. pylori* demonstrates low resistance to its effects; therefore; it has recently been used in Japan. Triple therapy with sitafloxacin, PPI, and amoxicillin as third-line treatment has demonstrated high eradication rates of approximately 70%-90%[25,26]. However, it is not yet available in South Korea. We recommend that antibiotics susceptibility tests should be conducted before the selection of a second-line regimen.

This study had several limitations. First, it was retrospectively conducted in a single center. Second, the proportion of patients who received standard triple therapy was higher than those who received the other regimens owing to health insurance coverage and typically low compliance to bismuth-containing quadruple therapy. Third, the rate of eradication was higher when esomeprazole was used than when rabeprazole was used, particularly in the PP analysis (*P* = 0.028) (Table 4). We selected only rabeprazole and esomeprazole because they were less influenced by polymorphism of cytochrome P450 2C 19 (CYP2C19)[27,28]. However, we compared the effectiveness of rabeprazole and esomeprazole only in those who received 14 d of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy, while esomeprazole was used almost exclusively in the patients who received 7 d of therapy.

In conclusion, the use of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment demonstrated low rates of *H. pylori* eradication, although 14 d of therapy was more effective than that administered for 7 d. There were also no significant differences in the efficacy according to the type of failed first-line regimens. Therefore, tailored treatment based on antibiotic susceptibility tests may be more effective for achieving high eradication rates when the first-line therapy fails.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

*Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection is an important cause of stomach cancer.Treatment has the potential to prevent malignancy. However, there has been a decrease in the rate of *H. pylori* eradication related to an increase in the resistance to clarithromycin and amoxicillin the success rate of standard triple therapy as first-line treatment. So, various regimens, such as sequential and concomitant therapy, have also been used for the treatment of *H. pylori* infections. However, rescue regimens have not been well established after failed sequential and concomitant therapy as first-line treatments.

***Research frontiers***

Fluoroquinolones are a relatively new class of antibiotic. As you know, levofloxacin is the most commonly used fluoroquinolone worldwide for treatment of *H. pylori* infection. However, the efficacy of levofloxacin-containing triple therapy has been unsatisfactory in South Korea. In contrast, moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy has shown encouraging results as a first- and second-line treatment, so we chose moxifloxacin from various fluoroquinolones instead of levofloxacin as second-line treatment. This study aimed at investigating moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment for *H. pylori* following failed first-line therapies, and moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second-line treatment demonstrated low rates of *H. pylori* eradication.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

To the knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the efficacy of moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as a second-line treatment for *H. pylori* compared between first-line regimens that failed.

***Applications***

This study offers therapeutic options for patients who are failed in the first-line treatment of *H. pylori* infection.

***Terminology***

Moxifloxacin is fourth generation synthetic fluoroquinolone antibacterial agent. It is a broad spectrum and bactericidal antibiotic that is active against both Gram positive and negative bacteria. It functions by inhibiting topoisomerase II (DNA gyrase) and topoisomerase IV, essential enzymes that maintains the superhelical structure of DNA.

***Peer review***

This study may support the guideline recommendation for treatment of *H. pylori* infection.
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**Figure 1 flow chart of patients**. PPI: Proton pump inhibitor; NSAID: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

**Table 1** **Baseline characteristics and *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates of moxifloxacin triple therapy**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy as second line | *P-*value1 |
|
| **Total eradication rate** |  | 73.9% (195/264) |  |
| **Clinical factors** |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  | 0.794 |
| Male |  | 74.6% (94/126) |  |
| Female |  | 73.2% (101/138) |  |
| Age |  |  | 0.965 |
| < 45  |  | 74.2% (23/31) |  |
| ≥ 45 |  | 73.8% (172/233) |  |
| Smoking |  |  | 0.575 |
| Current smoker |  | 77.1% (37/48) |  |
| Non-smoker |  | 73.1% (158/216) |  |
| Alcohol |  |  | 0.356  |
| Current drinker |  | 69.4% (43/62) |  |
| Non-drinker |  | 75.2% (152/202) |  |
| Comorbidity |  |  |  |
| HTN |  | 69.4% (34/49) | 0.429 |
| DM |  | 90.5% (19/21) | 0.076 |
| Disease status |  |  | 0.046 |
| Peptic ulcer (DU/GU) |  | 82.9% (58/70) |  |
| Non-ulcer |  | 70.6% (137/194) |  |

1person's *χ*2 test. HTN: Hypertension; DM: Diabetes mellitus; DU: Duodenal ulcer; GU: Gastric ulcer.

**Table 2** **Baseline characteristics according to first line therapies *n*(%)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Standard triple therapy | Bismuth quadruple therapy | Sequential therapy | Concomitant therapy | *P*-value1 |
| Mean age ± SD (yr) | 58.1 ± 11.6 | 58.5 ± 11.4 | 56.1 ± 11.5 | 56.9 ± 11.3 | 0.591 |
| Male/Female (*n*) | 72/86 | 10/18 | 20/21 | 24/13 | 0.150 |
| Smoking (*n*) | 28 (17.7) | 4 (13.8) | 8 (19.5) | 8 (21.6) | 0.904 |
| Alcohol (*n*) | 35 (22.2) | 7 (24.1) | 10 (24.4) | 10 (27) | 0.851 |
| HTN (*n*) | 34 (21.5) | 5 (17.2) | 6 (14.6) | 4 (10.8) | 0.451 |
| DM (*n*) | 14 (8.9) | 3 (10.3) | 2 (4.9) | 2 (5.4) | 0.845 |
| Endoscopic finding (*n*) |  |  |  |  | - |
| DU/GU | 55 | 1 | 6 | 8 | - |
| CAG | 66 | 18 | 13 | 12 | - |
| IM | 23 | 1 | 5 | 3 | - |
| Erosive gastritis | 30 | 9 | 18 | 15 | - |
| MALT lymphoma | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - |
| 1person's *χ*2 test. HTN: Hypertension; DM: Diabetes mellitus; DU: Duodenal ulcer; GU: Gastric ulcer; CAG: Chronic atrophic gastritis; IM, Intestinal metaplasia; MALT: Mucosa associated lymphoid Tissue. |  |

**Table 3** **Adverse events *n*(%)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | First-line therapy  | Moxifloxacin-containing triple therapy |
| Diarrhea | 21 (7.9) | 25 (9.4) |
| Nausea/Vomiting | 14 (5.3) | 23 (8.7) |
| Abdominal pain | 14 (5.3) | 11 (4.2) |
| Taste distortion | 19 (7.2) | 4 (1.5) |
| Dizziness | 3 (1.1) | 1 (0.4) |
| Dyspepsia | 3 (1.1) | 5 (1.9) |
| Total | 76/264 (28.7) | 61/264 (23.1) |

**Table 4** ***Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates of 14-d moxifloxacin triple therapy according to proton pump inhibitors**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Esomeprazole | Rabeprazole | *P*-value1 |
| ITT analysis |  |  |  |
| % Eradication (ratio) | 73.8% (127/172) | 63.6% (28/44) | 0.18 |
| 95%CI | 66.8-79.8 | 48.9-76.2 |  |
| PP analysis |  |  |  |
| % Eradication (ratio) | 80.9% (127/157) | 65.1% (28/43) | 0.028  |
| 95%CI | 74.0-86.3 | 50.2-77.6 |  |
| 1person's *χ*2 test. ITT: intension to treat; PP: per protocol. |
|  |  |  |  |