Reviewer's Comments	Authors Response
Reviewer #1	The authors are highly grateful to the reviewer for their
Scientific Quality: Grade	appreciating and humble comments. Thanks for your
A (Excellent)	kind consideration.
Language Quality: Grade	
A (Priority publishing)	
Conclusion: Accept	
(General priority)	
The author reviewed the	We have supported the facts with relevant references
origin of corona virus	cited in the revised manuscript. References have been
detailly as well as its	increased from 52 (unrevised) to 129 in the revised
transmission and mutation.	manuscript.
Five SARS-CoV-2 strains	
were listed in Table 1. The	
liver is one of the favorite	
proliferation spots for	
coronaviruses. It has been	
evaluated in many studies	
that around 1/3rd of the	
Covid-19 patients	
complained about liver	
dysfunction. The article	
deduced mechanism of	
corona virus inducing liver	
dysfunction, that included	
hepatocyte ballooning	

majorly, eosinophilic action creating a cytokine storm, hypoxia, and ischemia leading to liver necrosis. Actually ACE-2 aided viral invasion and damage mediating immune response was the key factor. The article elaborated the molecular features after coronaviruses invaded liver cells, as well as the latest confirmed signal path. The expounded author the pharmacologic newest therapies specific to coronaassociated hepatic injure, including immunomodulators and anti-inflammatory agents, anti-viral drugs and neutralizing antibodies. The article is very novel and contains many cutting-edge knowledge, which can be

helpful for future basic and	
clinical research.	

Reviewer #2	The authors appreciate the astute and valuable
<b>Scientific Quality:</b> Grade C	comments of the reviewer. We have attempted to
(Good)	provide sufficient justifying references to resolve the
Language Quality: Grade B	controversial claims ( <mark>all highlighted in yellow in the</mark>
(Minor language polishing)	article). <b>References have been increased from 52</b>
Conclusion: Major revision	(unrevised) to 129 in the revised manuscript.
The idea of the review itself	We inserted appropriate references to justify the claims
is innovative and will be	as
useful for researchers	Racanelli V, Rehermann B. The liver as an
planning experimental	immunological organ. Hepatology. 2006 Feb;43(2 Suppl
work. The authors were	1):S54-62. doi: 10.1002/hep.21060. PMID: 16447271.
particularly successful in	'Hepatic involvement in COVID-19 could be related
their comparative analysis	to the direct cytopathic effect of the virus, an uncontrolled
of viruses.	immune reaction''viruses may enter the portal circulation,
However, when authors	and reach the liver' Thus is a shared gateway
reviewing the association of	Wu J, Song S, Cao HC, Li LJ. Liver diseases in COVID-19:
SARS-CoV-2 infection with	Etiology, treatment and prognosis. World J
the liver, they present	Gastroenterol. 2020 May 21;26(19):2286-2293. doi:
numerous <mark>controversial</mark>	10.3748/wjg.v26.i19.2286. PMID: 32476793; PMCID:
<mark>claims that require more</mark>	PMC7243650.
rigorous confirmation.	Lei HY, Ding YH, Nie K, Dong YM, Xu JH, Yang ML, Liu
Some of examples are	MQ, Wei L, Nasser MI, Xu LY, Zhu P, Zhao MY. Potential
presented below:	effects of SARS-CoV-2 on the gastrointestinal tract and
1."cytokine upsurge owing	liver. Biomed Pharmacother. 2021 Jan;133:111064. doi:
to multiorgan failure"	10.1016/j.biopha.2020.111064. Epub 2020 Nov 28. PMID:
'Since liver is the primary	33378966; PMCID: PMC7700011.

site of synthesis of proteins associated with immunity" "After almost three years of corona panic, it is still disquietude as to what makes these viruses jump onto a human host and invade hepatocytes as a shared gateway-detection of the SARS-CoV-2 in the liver does not confirm virus enters the hepatocytes. It can be detected in the liver because of its presence in the bloodstream. Please, provide the journal readers with evidence that hepatocytes are SARS-CoV-<mark>2 gateway</mark>. 2."the liver serves as one of the favorite proliferation spots for coronaviruses

since it is a common gateway for viruses entering the blood"– please provide a reference to the Zhong P, Xu J, Yang D, Shen Y, Wang L, Feng Y, Du C Song Y, Wu C, Hu X, Sun Y. COVID-19-associated gastrointestinal and liver injury: clinical features and potential mechanisms. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020 Nov 2;5(1):256. doi: 10.1038/s41392-020-00373-7. PMID: 33139693; PMCID: PMC7605138. Reference to the study in which viral proliferation in hepatocytes was confirmed. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01864-x We have revised the text and References and quotes from research papers justifying those viruses replicate in liver cells are provided in the revised manuscript and highlighted Wu J, Song S, Cao HC, Li LJ. Liver diseases in COVID-19: Etiology, treatment and prognosis. World J Gastroenterol. 2020 May 21;26(19):2286-2293 Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng Z. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020 Mar 17;323(11):1061-1069. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585. Erratum in: JAMA. 2021 Mar 16;325(11):1113. PMID: 32031570; **PMCID:** PMC7042881.

<mark>study in which viral</mark>	These are experimental results: We are supporting these
proliferation in hepatocytes	claims with references to the corresponding experiments
<mark>was confirmed.</mark> "Even	rather than relying on reviews
autopsies and biopsies	This is also attested by many other studies: Clinical
performed post-mortem on	Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019
the liver reflected that	Novel Coronavirus
corona virus-2 inflicted	Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B,
cholangiocytes,	Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng
hepatocytes, and	Z. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients
endothelial cells, causing	With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in
severe liver damage." -	Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020 Mar 17;323(11):1061-1069.
infliction <mark>does not mean</mark>	doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585. Erratum in: JAMA. 2021
replication.	Mar 16;325(11):1113. PMID: 32031570; PMCID:
3. reference on the study	PMC7042881.
with 11 deceased patients's	Tay SW, Teh KKJ, Wang LM, Ang TL. Impact of COVID-
autopsies is not sufficient to	19: perspectives from gastroenterology. Singapore Med
make conclusion about	J. 2020 Sep;61(9):460-462. doi: 10.11622/smedj.2020051.
mechanisms of liver injury	Epub 2020 Apr 13. PMID: 32279480; PMCID:
by SARS-CoV-2 virus.	PMC7927171.
Ischemic hepatitis is	All the claims have been supported by suitable
characterized by an	references as per the suggestion.
extremely high elevation of	
transaminases, but that is	We have thoroughly checked the references and edited
not the case in SARS-CoV-2	wherever wrong doi were mentioned.
patients. Drawing on our	
experience and that of other	

clinicians, most SARS-CoV-2 infected patients with elevated liver enzymes (including those with underlining liver disease) do not experience a <mark>cytokine storm either</mark>. Please reconsider the liver involvement in the review. conclusion, the In as authors make many controversial claims concerning mechanisms of liver injury in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients, it would be preferable to support these claims with references to the corresponding experiments rather than <mark>relying on reviews</mark>.



Reviewer #3	We respect the reviewer's sentiments (concerning the
<b>Scientific Quality:</b> Grade E	description of China as the epicenter of COVID-19) but
(Do not publish)	with no bias or any intentional content, we have cited
Language Quality: Grade	sufficient references to buttress the fact which has been
C (A great deal of language	repeatedly quoted in many research papers
polishing)	(international data) published in some of the most
Conclusion: Rejection	reputed journals like Nature, Science etc. ( <mark>highlighted in</mark>
1. Authors mentioned in the	<mark>yellow)</mark> . The reviewer may kindly go through any of the
abstract section that "The	mentioned articles for confirmation as
epicenter of this disease,	doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-00584-8
China alone, carries a	doi: 0.1126/science.abp8715
burden of 300 million	https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abp8715
chronic liver patients,	doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114371
which could deluge the	doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112998
death toll owing to Covid".	https://doi.org/10.1159/000508448
The above description of	DOI: 10.1126/science.abp8715
<mark>defining China as the</mark>	https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200274
<mark>epicenter of Covid-19 is</mark>	DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100094
<mark>contrary to the current</mark>	
international data on	2. The references supporting the facts are incorporated for
Covid-19 and lacks	the kind appraisal of the reviewer. Hopefully these
essential scientific spirit, so	sufficiently justify and attest the author`s description. We
please excuse the rejection	have incorporated the sources of these data (highlighted
of this manuscript.	<mark>in yellow).</mark>

	3. Description of doses have also been corrected as per
	the suggestion.
2. Some currently used	
vaccines are listed in Table	
2. However, the	
descriptions of the efficacy	
of some vaccines are quite	
different from each other	
and not specific enough, for	
example, "efficacy of	
70.4%", "73.1% efficacy",	
and "95% protection against	
COVID-19", and the	
<mark>sources of these data</mark> is not	
apparent in this	
manuscript.	
3.Furthermore, the	
descriptions of doses in	
Table 2 are also confusing,	
which include "2 doses", "2	
dose", "two doses", and a	
missing item.	

Revision reviewer's Comments:

Query 1: Thanks for the author's reply, but I still can't accept the author's response and inaction to the first question. The authors mentioned in the abstract that "the epicenter of this disease, China alone, carries a burden of 300 million chronic liver patients, which could deluge the death toll owing to Covid". 1. Since the original manuscript was pointed out to be easily misunderstood and the authors mentioned "with no bias or any intentional content" in their response, why didn't the author adjust this sentence?

**Author's Response:** As per the reviewer's suggestions, we have removed the sentence mentioned in the abstract that "the epicenter of this disease, China alone, carries a burden of 300 million chronic liver patients, which could deluge the death toll owing to Covid". Query 2. Could you please add references in the main text to the data mentioned in this sentence, and to deaths due to COVID-19 in patients with liver diseases?

**Author's Response:** A reference number 7 is already there in the introduction part of R1 as "Kovalic AJ, Huang G, Thuluvath PJ, Satapathy SK. Elevated Liver Biochemistries in Hospitalized Chinese Patients with Severe COVID-19: Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Hepatology 2021; 73(4): 1521-1530 [PMID: 32692464 PMCID: PMC7405102 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31472]"

Query 3. The author highlighted the fully vaccinated data in the conclusion part. Could you please add some references?

**Author's Response:** WHO Report Reference included in the conclusion part as (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-vaccine-implementation-analysis-insights-2-september-2022)