



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 80488

Title: Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03522829

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-30 09:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-08 09:53

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
------------	---------------------------------------

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Carcinosarcomas of the common bile duct (CBD) is an extremely uncommon clinical finding. According to a review of the literature, a number of cases have ossification imaging features. Carcinosarcomas, which have clinical features of both carcinoma and sarcoma, are prone to distant metastasis and have a poor prognosis. The purpose of this case report is to provide clinical experience in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease. Therefore, I recommend that the current study be published after minor revisions as follows: 1- Could the authors discuss the role of CD68 in CBD? 2- What is the range size of CBD?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 80488

Title: Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01558248

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-26 03:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-30 03:47

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Title; "Case report of a rare tumor of the common bile duct: Carcinosarcoma", it is better to change into" Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report" 2. It is better to code references in the " introduction" and 1st paragraph of "discussion" 3. How many cases totally were searched from the reference? It need to mention in the abstract instead of " a number of cases". In addition, strongly suggested to make a analysis from 12 cases from the literatures. 4. Please descript more about ossification in the diagnostic significance by imaging study in this disease, and rate of positive finding. 5. It is not good for descript the reference case with a last paragraph in the "discussion", and it is better to descript more about the diagnosis, and treatment methods and their outcome from the literatures. 6. Too many abbreviations. To descript the full spelling if the first appeared In the text. 7. My suggestions for revising the text of "discussion" in 3 paragraph for a case report. 1). general information of this disease; carcinosarcoma and other rare tumor in pathology. 2). How to make a diagnosis try to find a specific characteristic feature among the references,3) outcome and how to improve?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 80488

Title: Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06111120

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-25 12:27

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-05 15:40

Review time: 11 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a case of common bile duct carcinosarcoma. The paper is very well-written and adds value to the literature. I believe the paper can be published in its current format.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 80488

Title: Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03261985

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-26 03:37

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-07 05:03

Review time: 12 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for designating me as a peer reviewer. I read through this paper with great interest. The authors described a rare case of bile duct carcinosarsoma. Although it is interesting case report, there are many points to be corrected and some inconsistencies. Major points 1. Preoperative differential diagnosis is unimportant once it is confirmed to be a tumor, since the treatment remains the same. 2. The authors should describe why PD was not selected even though the tumor is malignant of the common bile duct 3. In the abstract, the author described that choledochoscopy and narrow band staining are important, but these findings did not directly relate to this case. This statement should be removed in the abstract. 4. Conclusions in the abstract and in the main document are different. They should be unified 5. In conclusion of the abstract, the author described that no adjuvant treatment leads to the poor prognosis. Is it true? Isn't the tumor itself malignant in nature? There is no fact supporting the authors' comment in this case report. It should be deleted. 6. The conclusion should not be based on speculation, but only on what can be said from the present case report. Minor points 1. In the abstract, Polypoid should be polypoid 2. In the abstract, "examinantionand" to be



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

"examination and" 3. In page 6 line 4, "Inclined" to be "inclined" 4. In page 8 line 7, "ductandhave" to be "duct and have", etc



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 80488

Title: Carcinosarcoma of common bile duct: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01558248

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-01

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-17 00:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-17 01:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the 2nd revised manuscript 1.Abstract: a). both carcinoma and sarcoma, and generally have with a poor prognosis. b). If it is misdiagnosed as biliary calculi, the use of laser lithotripsy in surgery may lead to tumor diffusion. Choledochoscopy and narrow band staining of mucosa are very important for diagnosis. c). "Conclusion" need to revise. 2.Treatment: with Ankang linear cutting stapler. Please show the company name of stapler. 3.Discussion a) ...first named by Virchow (1864)". According to the 1990" World Health Organization (WHO)...According to the 2006" WHO classification (of tumors, in the pathology and genetics of lung, pleural, thymic, and cardiac tumors)--> TO DELET, carcinosarcoma is classified as a subset of sarcomatoid carcinoma and... Need to code the reference for marks of "?". The reference of the year of 1864, I believed you can't find it but you can indirectly code the reference where you obtained. b). Please shorten the 1st and 2nd paragraph, too long and repeatedly. c). -->we found only 12 case reports[1, 3, 7-16] and directly code within the table (Table 1)