

Dear professor and editor:

I am very lucky to receive your modification opinions. After carefully reading your letter, I have finished the revision of this paper, and the point-to-point responses are following. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author:

1. the review is well constructed. however the english language usage needs improvement with respect to grammar and syntax.

Thank you, and we have improved english language under help of editing company.

Reviewer: 2

1. In this manuscript, the authors reviewed the recent research progress of nuclear medicine, magnetic resonance imaging, molecular imaging and radiomics in the diagnosis of pancreatic carcinoma. The title, Advancement of molecular imaging in pancreases and our experience, is not comprehensive enough to reflect the full text and needs to be revised.

We have revised the title of this manuscript: Advancements of molecular imaging and radiomics in pancreatic carcinoma.

2. The abstract also does not accurately reflect the work described in the manuscript. In abstract, and the authors mentioned the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma, but there is no treatment related content in main text.

Yes, we made this mistake because we altered the original plan, and we have deleted treatment in abstract.

3. In introduction, there is a lack of summary and analysis of the current problems in clinical routine diagnosis of pancreatic carcinoma to illustrate the importance and necessity of molecular imaging.

Thanks for your reminding. We have supplemented the summary of the current problems in clinical routine diagnosis.

4. The figures and tables are also irregular and poor quality, and lack of appropriate labeling with arrows.

The figures and tables have been medicated.