

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 80605

Title: Outcomes of Total Pancreatectomy with Islet Autotransplantation (TPIAT): A

Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00182423

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 00:56

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-11 08:41

**Review time:** 4 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? [J11] Yes [J10] No Does this manuscript have important novelty? [J21] Yes [J20] No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?



	<ul> <li>[J31] Yes [J30] No</li> <li>Does this manuscript use reliable research methods?</li> <li>[J41] Yes [J40] No</li> <li>Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic?</li> <li>[J51] Yes [J50] No</li> <li>Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions?</li> <li>[J61] Yes [J60] No</li> </ul>
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. I read this paper with great interest. This study is valuable in that the authors systematically analyzed and presented concisely how effective TPIAT is in reducing pain and providing insulin independency in patients with chronic pancreatitis. I think this paper will be cited a lot in this field. 2. Table 1 is the summarized systematic review of the selected studies and is one of the most important results of this study. I recommend to increase its visibility. 3. I think funnel plot was not provided in Figure 2. 4. Language polishing is needed.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 80605

Title: Outcomes of Total Pancreatectomy with Islet Autotransplantation (TPIAT): A

Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00070310

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-04 06:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-12 09:48

Review time: 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? [J11] Yes [J10] No Does this manuscript have important novelty? [J21] Yes [J20] No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?



	<ul> <li>[J31] Yes [J30] No</li> <li>Does this manuscript use reliable research methods?</li> <li>[J41] Yes [J40] No</li> <li>Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic?</li> <li>[J51] Yes [J50] No</li> <li>Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions?</li> <li>[J61] Yes [J60] No</li> </ul>
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reviewed outcomes of TPIAT. This manuscript is interesting. However, this paper will be required some for publication. It is important to evaluate long term outcomes of insulin independent ratio, although short-term results were well. Please show them.