**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 80631

**Manuscript Type:** ORIGINAL ARTICLE

***Observational Study***

**CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells decreased future liver remnant after associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy**

Wang W *et al*. Tregs decreased FLR after ALPPS

Wei Wang, Chun-Hui Ye, Zhen-Feng Deng, Ji-Long Wang, Ling Zhang, Li Bao, Bang-Hao Xu, Hai Zhu, Ya Guo, Zhang Wen

**Wei Wang, Chun-Hui Ye, Zhen-Feng Deng, Ji-Long Wang, Bang-Hao Xu, Hai Zhu, Ya Guo, Zhang Wen,** Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning 530021, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China

**Wei Wang, Chun-Hui Ye, Zhen-Feng Deng, Ji-Long Wang, Bang-Hao Xu, Hai Zhu, Ya Guo, Zhang Wen,** Guangxi Key Laboratory of Enhanced Recovery after Surgery for Gastrointestinal Cancer, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning 530021, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China

**Ling Zhang,** Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning 530021, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China

**Li Bao,** Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Tianjin 300000, China

**Author contributions:** Wang W and Ye CH are equal coauthors of this article; Wang W, Ye CH, Deng ZF, Wang JL, Bao L, and Zhang L contributed to the study design; Wen Z and Guo Y provided administrative support; Wang W, Ye CH, and Wen Z provided study materials and/or patients; Wang W, Ye CH, Xu BH, Zhu H, Guo Y, and Wen Z contributed to data collection and assembly; Wang W and Ye CH contributed to data analysis and interpretation; and all authors contributed to manuscript writing and final approval.

**Supported by** the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 8190111624; Guangxi Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 2018JJB140382; and Guangxi University Young and Middle-Aged Teachers’ Basic Scientific Research Ability Improvement Project, No. 2019KY0123.

**Corresponding author: Zhang Wen, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor,** Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, No. 6 Shuangyong Road, Nanning 530021, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. wenzgxmu@163.com

**Received:** October 9, 2022

**Revised:** December 22, 2022

**Accepted:** April 4, 2023

**Published online:** May 27, 2023

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is an innovative surgical approach for the treatment of massive hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the key to successful planned stage 2 ALPPS is future liver remnant (FLR) volume growth, but the exact mechanism has not been elucidated. The correlation between regulatory T cells (Tregs) and postoperative FLR regeneration has not been reported.

AIM

To investigate the effect of CD4+CD25+ Tregs on FLR regeneration after ALPPS.

METHODS

Clinical data and specimens were collected from 37 patients who developed massive HCC treated with ALPPS. Flow cytometry was performed to detect changes in the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs to CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood before and after ALPPS. To analyze the relationship between peripheral blood CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion and clinicopathological information and liver volume.

RESULTS

The postoperative CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion in stage 1 ALPPS was negatively correlated with the amount of proliferation volume, proliferation rate, and kinetic growth rate (KGR) of the FLR after stage 1 ALPPS. Patients with low Treg proportion had significantly higher KGR than those with high Treg proportion (*P* = 0.006); patients with high Treg proportion had more severe postoperative pathological liver fibrosis than those with low Treg proportion (*P* = 0.043). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve between the percentage of Tregs and proliferation volume, proliferation rate, and KGR were all greater than 0.70.

CONCLUSION

CD4+CD25+ Tregs in the peripheral blood of patients with massive HCC at stage 1 ALPPS were negatively correlated with indicators of FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS and may influence the degree of fibrosis in patients’ livers. Treg percentage was highly accurate in predicting the FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.
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**Core Tip:** To investigate the mechanisms affecting future liver remnant (FLR) after stage 1 associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS), this study was conducted by analyzing clinical data and peripheral blood specimens collected from hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with ALPPS. The results showed that CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) in peripheral blood after stage 1 ALPPS was negatively correlated with the index of FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS and may influence the extent of liver fibrosis in patients. The percentage of Tregs was highly accurate in predicting FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.

**INTRODUCTION**

Primary liver cancer is a common malignant tumor of the digestive system, with approximately 906000 new cases and 830000 deaths worldwide each year, making it an important health threat to the nation[1]. Surgical treatment of primary liver cancer is an important tool for the long-term survival of patients. For patients with primary liver cancer who are able to obtain radical resection, the 5-year survival rate can reach 60%-80%[2]. Due to the insidious onset of primary liver cancer, many patients are at an advanced stage at the time of initial diagnosis. Posthepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) may occur in massive hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) due to the large size of the tumor and the lack of volume of the future liver remnant (FLR) after direct resection. PHLF is a common postoperative complication and an important factor in the rate of liver resection, and is one of the leading causes of death after hepatectomy due to the lack of targeted and effective treatment[3]. FLR volume is a key factor in determining the safe performance of hepatectomy[4]. The emergence and development of portal vein embolization (PVE) has expanded the indications for hepatectomy in the treatment of massive HCC with insufficient FLR volume. PVE can increase FLR volume augmentation by blocking portal vein flow[5]. However, PVE promotes slow growth of the FLR, with postoperative complications reaching up to 20% and more than 20% of patients eventually unable to undergo reoperation[6]. In addition, the long waiting interval for PVE accelerates tumor progression[7].

To further accelerate the regeneration of the FLR and improve the surgical resection rate of massive HCC. German scholars reported in 2007 and in 2012 officially named and summarized an innovative hepatectomy, associating liver partition and portal vein ligation (PVL) for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS)[8]. Stage 1 ALPPS ligates the portal branches of the liver on the tumor side, whereas the right and left hemispheric parenchyma are separated and the hepatic artery and bile ducts are preserved. Once the FLR has reached a safe threshold, a right hemicolectomy or an enlarged right hemicolectomy is performed in stage 2 ALPPS. The technical approach to ALPPS is still being discussed and improved today, whereas research into the mechanisms of FLR regeneration in ALPPS is gradually increasing. An important feature of ALPPS is the rapid growth of the FLR in the stage 1 ALPPS, and the mechanisms behind this regeneration pattern are still unclear.

Natural regulatory T cells (Tregs) express surface CD4 and CD25, contain intracellular forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), and inhibit the proliferation of other cells in a contact-dependent manner[9]. It was first reported by Asano *et al*[10] that most mouse natural Tregs migrate out of the thymus on the 3rd day after birth; therefore, thymectomy on the 3rd day induces an autoinflammatory state that predisposes to autoimmune disease. With the discovery of Tregs and the understanding of their immunosuppressive effects, evidence has accumulated that this cell population is decisively involved in the pathogenesis of various diseases, such as chronic viral and autoimmune liver disease and HCC[11]. In particular, CD4+CD25+ Tregs are thought to be responsible for the impaired immune response during chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Patients with chronic HBV infection are characterized by an increased proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in the peripheral blood, which aggregate significantly in the liver, with a positive correlation between their frequency and serum HBV DNA load[12]. Similarly, in patients with persistent HCV infection, an increased frequency of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in the blood and liver has been reported[13].

In recent years, the role of Tregs in tissue and organ repair and regeneration has received much attention. Many studies have confirmed the use of Tregs in tissue and organ repair and regeneration[14]. As the liver is one of the organs that can be regenerated in the human body, the study of regeneration of the FLR in HCC patients after surgery has become a hot topic in hepatobiliary surgery. However, most of the studies at home and abroad have focused on the changes of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in peripheral blood and tumor in the development of HCC and their mechanisms of action. Studies on Tregs affecting FLR regeneration after hepatectomy have not been reported.

This study focused on the correlation between peripheral blood CD4+CD25+ Tregs and FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS in patients with HCC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patient selection***

This study reviewed basic clinical data of patients with massive HCC treated with ALPPS at our medical center from March 2018 to September 2021. This study followed the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of our medical center [No. 2018 (KY-E-079)]. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study were as follows: (1) FLR/standard liver volume (SLV) < 30%-50%, treatment strategy based on the degree of liver fibrosis, willingness to treat, preoperative liver function status, and patients already receiving ALPPS; (2) Preoperative liver function Child grade A or B; (3) All patients had pathologically confirmed HCC after surgical resection; and (4) All patients had not undergone any targeted drug therapy, kinase drug therapy, or immunotherapy preoperatively.

Exclusion criteria were: Preoperative diagnosis of non-B viral HCC or autoimmune HCC; postoperative pathological diagnosis of bile duct cell carcinoma or benign findings; incomplete clinical case information and clinical specimens; and use of any targeted drug therapy, kinase drug therapy, and immunotherapy in the perioperative period.

***Experimental methods***

**Evaluation of liver volume and surgical procedure:** In combination with computed tomography (CT) images, preoperative and postoperative liver volumes as well as FLR volumes were measured, calculated, and recorded for each patient with liver cancer undergoing ALPPS surgery using the digital software of intelligent/interactive qualitative and quantitative analyses (IQQA-Liver; EDDA Technology Inc., Princeton, NJ, United States). The formula for calculating SLV was: SLV = -794.41 + 1267.28 × body surface area (M2)[15]. Kinetic growth rate (KGR) was calculated according to the method in a previous study[16]. All patients were operated by the same surgical team. Stage 1 ALPPS was performed using an anterior approach combined with selective PVL and liver parenchymal compartment[16]. After stage 1 ALPPS, CT was reviewed periodically to assess the regeneration of the FLR. Stage 2 ALPPS is accepted after meeting the following safety criteria[17]: FLR/SLV ≥ 50% with severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, FLR/SLV ≥ 40% with mild/moderate fibrosis, and FLR/SLV ≥ 30% without liver fibrosis or cirrhosis.

**Flow cytometry:** Patients undergoing ALPPS had 1 mL heparin-anticoagulated venous blood drawn early in the morning on an empty stomach, and the main procedure was to isolate a suspension of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation. Then 1 mL whole blood was taken and three times the volume of erythrocyte lysate was added. The solution was mixed well and lysed on ice for 15 min, followed by centrifugation at 450 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded, and the precipitate was resuspended by adding two times the volume of red blood cell lysate, followed by centrifugation at 450 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded, and after resuspending the cells in 1 mL flow cytometry staining buffer, the cell suspension was filtered through a flow tube and the filtrate was placed on ice. Then 100 μL cell suspension was aspirated and 0.625 μL fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled mouse anti-human CD4 monoclonal antibody, 0.5 μL pulmonary embolism-labeled mouse anti-human CD25, and the corresponding isotype antibody immunoglobulin G1 in the same volume were added. The solution was incubated for 45 min in the dark, followed by the addition of 400 μL flow cytometry staining buffer and centrifugation at 300 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were resuspended by adding 500 μL flow cytometry staining buffer. A combination of CD4 and CD25 was used to stain cells to count the percentage of CD4+CD25+ Tregs. Data were obtained and analyzed with Cell Quest software.

***Statistical analyses***

SPSS 20.0 statistical software was used for statistical analyses and GraphPad Prism 8.0 software for plotting. Comparisons between two groups were made using *t*-tests for measurement data; repeated measures data were compared using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA); and correlations were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation test. The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to assess the predictive effect. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

***Preoperative data and intraoperative and postoperative conditions of ALPPS stage 1 and 2***

From March 2018 to September 2020, a total of 37 patients with HCC undergoing ALPPS were included according to the screening criteria. There were 34 males and 3 females. The mean age was 45 ± 11 years. All patients had hepatitis B-associated HCC. The mean tumor diameter was 9.5 ± 4.2 cm. The preoperative FLR volume was (364.3 ± 74.5) cm3; preoperative FLR/SLV was 35.1% ± 7.0%; and preoperative liver volume/body mass ratio was 0.60% ± 0.13% (Tables 1 and 2).

***Proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs to CD4+ T cells in PBMCs in peripheral blood by flow cytometry***

The results of flow cytometry showed that the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs showed a progressive increase in the postoperative period in stage 1 and stage 2 ALPPS (Figure 1). After repeated measures ANOVA, the differences were statistically significant (*P* < 0.05) on days 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 after stage 1 and stage 2 ALPPS compared to preoperatively (Table 3). The difference was statistically significant (*F* = 6.962, *P* < 0.001) when comparing the trend of CD4+CD25+ Treg percentage preoperatively and postoperatively in stage 1 ALPPS and was statistically significant (*F* = 4.726, *P* = 0.011) when comparing the trend of CD4+CD25+ Treg percentage preoperatively and postoperatively in stage 2 ALPPS.

***Assessment of liver volume after ALPPS***

The median increase in FLR volume between stage 1 ALPPS and stage 2 ALPPS stages was 64.5% (22.3%-221.9%). The absolute and relative KGR of the FLR were 17.4 cm3/d (range = 0.45-36.6 cm3/d) and 5.0%/d (range = 0.1%-18.5%/d), respectively. The FLR volume in stage 2 ALPPS was significantly greater than the FLR volume in stage 1 ALPPS, with a statistically significant difference (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 2 and Table 4).

***Correlation between the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs and the FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS***

Through Spearman’s rank correlation analysis, we found that on the 3rd day after stage 1 ALPPS, the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs was significantly negatively correlated with liver regeneration. The correlation coefficient between the proportion of Tregs and the hyperplasia volume between stage 1 and 2 ALPPS was *r* = -0.442 (*P* = 0.009), that between the proportion of Tregs and the hyperplasia rate was r = -0.469 (*P* = 0.005), and that between the proportion of Tregs and KGR was *r* = -0.511 (*P* = 0.001) (Figure 3).

The proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs on the 3rd day after stage 1 ALPPS was categorized as high or low according to the median. The median KGR of patients with low and high Treg proportions was 13.3 (6.1-24.9 cm3/d) and 7.5 (0.67-22.35 cm3/d), respectively. The results showed that the proliferation volume, proliferation rate, and KGR were significantly higher in patients with low Treg proportion than in those with a high Treg proportion (*P* < 0.05; Figure 4).

***Validation of the accuracy of CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion in predicting FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS***

The ROC method was used to verify the accuracy of the CD4+CD25+ Treg percentage in predicting FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS. The results showed that the area under the curve (AUC) between postoperative CD4+CD25+ Treg percentage and proliferation was 0.7197 (0.5400-0.8994; *P* = 0.029), the AUC between CD4+CD25+ Treg percentage and proliferation rate was 0.7474 (0.5806-0.9142; *P* = 0.014), and the area under the ROC curve with KGR was 0.7785 (0.6172-0.9399; *P* = 0.006) (Figure 5).

***The relationship between the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs and postoperative pathological liver fibrosis***

To analyze the relationship between the preoperative proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in peripheral blood and postoperative pathological liver fibrosis, we divided the preoperative CD4+CD25+ Treg results into high- and low-Treg proportion groups according to the median. The degree of pathological liver fibrosis was significantly higher in patients with a high Treg proportion than in patients with a low Treg proportion, and the difference was statistically significant (*P* = 0.043).

**DISCUSSION**

The liver is considered an “immune” organ, housing a variety of resident immune cells that play a key role in maintaining organ homeostasis[18]. Resident innate immune cells consisting of macrophages or Kupffer cells, natural killer cells, natural killer T cells, and dendritic cells are considered to be the primary sentinels in the liver[19]. Tregs are a subset of T lymphocytes that regulate the immune response by suppressing the proliferation of effector T lymphocytes and the production of cytokines[20]. In 2003, the forkhead box transcription factor FOXP3 was identified as a specific marker for Tregs and its expression is thought to be essential for their suppressive activity[21]. Tregs arise from the thymus and constitutively express high levels of interleukin (IL)-2 receptor alpha chain, cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen-4, and glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor receptor. Tregs account for 5% to 10% of peripheral CD4+ T cells[22]. Early studies have demonstrated that the suppressive effect of Tregs *in vivo* is mainly achieved through the production of suppressive cytokines such as IL-10, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1, and IL-35[23]. Recent studies have demonstrated that immunosuppressive CD4+CD25+ Tregs represent a unique T cell lineage that is functionally and developmentally distinct from other T cells, with CD4+CD25+ Tregs involved in regulating the immune response/immune tolerance in an “active” manner. Their main function is to suppress the function of self-reactive T cells and multiple immune cells, inhibit the proliferation of CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells, perform immune homeostatic functions, and maintain immune tolerance and immune homeostasis[24].

The role of Tregs in tissue and organ repair and regeneration has received much attention in recent years[25]. Burzyn *et al*[26] showed that Treg populations with different phenotypes and functions rapidly accumulate during acute injury in mouse skeletal muscle, changing from a pro-inflammatory to a pro-regenerative state. Preferential induction of Tregs with hyperexcitable anti-CD28 monoclonal antibody increases Treg infiltration into the myocardium after myocardial infarction. Higher numbers of Tregs promote macrophage polarization towards the M2 phenotype in the healing myocardium and reduce ventricular rupture, leading to improved myocardial survival[27]. Tiemessen *et al*[28] found that secretion of IL-10, IL-4, and IL-13 by Tregs induce the polarization of M1 pro-inflammatory macrophages into M2 anti-inflammatory macrophages, which promotes the proliferation and differentiation of muscle satellite cells and secretes chemokines to promote muscle regeneration. Depletion of Tregs after treatment of FOXP3DTR mice with diphtheria toxin after skin injury resulted in significantly reduced wound closure with increased tissue granulation and superficial scabbing, indicating that Tregs promote skin wound healing[29]. Epithelial cell proliferation during lung recovery was found to be significantly impaired after specific elimination of Tregs from FOXP3DTR mice treated with diphtheria toxin in an acute lung injury or partial lung resection model[30]. Shi *et al*[31] found that Treg-derived bone bridge proteins act through integrin receptors on microglia to enhance the repair activity of microglia, thereby promoting oligodendrocyte production and white matter repair. IL-33 has been found to promote the recruitment of Tregs in damaged tissues and facilitate recovery after central nervous system (CNS) injury. In addition, mice lacking IL-33 had impaired recovery after CNS injury, which was associated with reduced infiltration of myeloid cells at the site of injury and reduced induction of M2 homologous genes[32].

As the liver is a regenerative organ, the mechanism of regeneration of the FLR after liver cancer surgery is of great interest. FLR volume is an important limiting factor in the safe performance of hepatectomy[4]. For giant HCC with a small FLR volume, resection rates can be improved by compensatory augmentation of the FLR. Reported by German surgeon Schnitzbauer *et al*[8] and summarized and named in 2012 as an innovative hepatectomy – ALPPS. When the volume of the FLR after stage 1 ALPPS meets safety criteria, a second-stage resection can be performed, which creates the opportunity for radical resection of the tumor in some patients with liver cancer who cannot undergo direct hepatectomy. Preoperative assessment and screening is particularly important prior to treatment with ALPPS, which has more stringent screening criteria. These include preoperative liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, tumor staging and grading, and most critically, accurate assessment of liver reserve. For accurate preoperative assessment of liver reserve there are several methods to assess safety after hepatectomy, such as the Child-Pugh score of liver function, measurement of indocyanine green retention test, and preoperative estimation of postoperative liver remnants by a three-dimensional imaging system[33,34]. In some patients with rigorously screened liver cancer, these risks are consistent with conventional hepatectomy and increase the rate of resection for giant liver cancer[35]. The results of our team’s study on ALPPS in patients with isolated giant HCC that cannot be resected in one stage suggest that ALPPS is a viable treatment option for patients with HCC that cannot be resected in one stage[16].

In the development of ALPPS, numerous studies have confirmed that the regenerative effect of ALPPS is significantly better than that of PVL[36,37]. The majority of patients undergoing ALPPS in Europe and the United States have metastatic liver cancer without a background of cirrhosis, unlike patients undergoing ALPPS in China, where the majority of patients have primary liver cancer and approximately 85% have post-hepatitis cirrhosis[38]. After these patients have undergone stage 1 ALPPS, 30%-40% of them still have FLR dysplasia, resulting in delayed planned stage 2 surgery or the inability to undergo a radical stage 2 resection. The mechanisms involved in the promotion of FLR regeneration by ALPPS have been the focus of research, but the exact mechanisms have not been elucidated. The possible mechanisms are now thought to be twofold: (1) After PVL of the liver, the portal blood flow in the FLR increases and the portal pressure rises, promoting rapid proliferation of the FLR; and (2) PVL of the liver on the tumor side leads to an ischemic state of the tumor, which in combination with the separation of the liver parenchyma, releases a large amount of inflammatory factors or complement that may also be associated with rapid proliferation of the liver[39,40]. Tregs, as emerging regeneration-associated target cells, have never been reported in studies of liver regeneration. Therefore, we offer speculation on how Tregs might affect FLR regeneration after ALPPS and explore the role of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in ALPPS-associated FLR regeneration and the regenerative mechanisms involved.

Flow cytometry was used to detect changes in the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs to CD4+ T cells in the peripheral blood of patients after stage 1 ALPPS and to correlate with indicators of residual postoperative liver regeneration. The results showed that the CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion showed a gradual increase after stage 1 ALPPS, and in addition the CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion after stage 1 ALPPS showed a significant negative correlation with the FLR regeneration indicators (proliferation volume, proliferation rate, and KGR). This suggests that Tregs may inhibit the regeneration of the FLR after ALPPS in patients with liver cancer. To verify the reliability of the results of the relationship between peripheral blood Tregs and FLR regeneration, we performed ROC analysis, which showed that the area under the ROC curve between Treg percentage and proliferation volume and proliferation rate and KGR were > 0.70 (*P* < 0.05), indicating that Treg percentage is highly accurate in predicting FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.

During the recovery period after stage 1 ALPPS, the body’s immune function is disrupted for a short period of time due to the high surgical trauma, and immune homeostasis is disrupted, causing Tregs to be elevated to some extent. The high expression of Tregs suggests the establishment of immune tolerance and the high secretion of the suppressive cytokines TGF-β1 and IL-10, which significantly inhibit the development of the inflammatory response. Imbalance in the tumor microenvironment, which disrupts the regenerative microenvironmental homeostasis of the FLR, ultimately leading to poor regeneration of the FLR after surgery (Figure 6). The presence of tumor cells can induce rapid and sustained proliferation of Tregs, a process that may be interrupted immediately when the tumor is removed and lead to a significant reduction in the expression of tumor-associated Tregs in peripheral blood in the early postoperative period[41]. About 1 wk after radical resection of the tumor, sustained organismal stress effects may lead to the upregulation of CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ Treg expression in peripheral blood. This finding was validated in the present study, where Treg numbers continued to show an increasing trend in the first 4 d postoperatively and began to show a decrease on postoperative day 5 after resection of stage 2 ALPPS stage liver tumors.

It has been reported that the number of CD4+CD25+ Tregs detected in peripheral blood, localized tumor, tumor-infiltrating lymph nodes, and draining lymph nodes of patients with HCC tumors is negatively correlated with disease progression and prognosis[42]. Increased numbers of Tregs in peripheral blood may be associated with impaired immune response, high mortality, and shortened survival in patients with liver cancer[43]. In this study, we divided the postoperative CD4+CD25+ Tregs into two groups: high and low. By comparing the results between the two groups, we showed that the patients with a low Treg percentage had a statistically significant higher KGR than those with a high Treg percentage (*P* = 0.006). The patients with a high Treg percentage had a statistically significant higher degree of postoperative pathological liver fibrosis than those with a low Treg percentage (*P* = 0.043). There are many factors affecting poor FLR regeneration such as hepatic arteriovenous-portal fistula, portal hyperperfusion, and liver fibrosis. Our group’s latest clinical study reported that hepatic arteriovenous-portal fistulas after stage 1 ALPPS resulted in poor regeneration of the FLR[44]. Huang *et al*[45] analyzed patients with massive HCC in a post-hepatitis B cirrhotic background and showed that the FLR could still proliferate after ALPPS in patients with severe liver fibrosis, but less efficiently than in patients with mild to moderate liver fibrosis. In Chia *et al*[46], it was shown that liver fibrosis negatively affects the growth of the FLR after ALPPS. The results of this study showed that patients with a higher percentage of Tregs in their peripheral blood had more severe liver fibrosis. In this case, the rate of regeneration of the FLR after stage 1 ALPPS is reduced and the regeneration of the FLR is limited. Therefore, Tregs may be one of the factors affecting the regeneration of the FLR after stage 1 ALPPS.

Advances and developments in ALPPS technology have made radical treatment available for HCC with small FLR volumes, but HCC with insufficient FLR is mostly intermediate and advanced and is also often associated with adverse factors affecting liver regeneration such as hepatitis B cirrhosis, and still leaves a proportion of patients unable to meet the demand for ALPPS surgery. In the present study, we found that Tregs, as immune-negative regulatory cells, played a role in the postoperative FLRs of liver cancer patients who underwent ALPPS surgery, as well as in the postoperative FLRs of the rat ALPPS model, potentially inhibiting the regeneration of the FLRs. In short, attenuating or knocking down Tregs in the tumor microenvironment of HCC after effective Treg immunotherapy following stage 1 ALPPS may promote regeneration of the FLR. For example, Beyer *et al*[47] found that fludarabine treatment resulted in a significant reduction in Treg numbers and a concomitant reduction in function, and that fludarabine also promoted apoptosis of Tregs. Dannull *et al*[48] found that IL-2 diphtheria toxin coupling significantly reduced the amount of Tregs present in the peripheral blood of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma and eliminated Treg-mediated immunosuppressive activity *in vivo*, enabling effective antitumor immunity with therapeutic impact by combining Treg depletion strategies. Therefore, there is an urgent need to explore drugs that target the abnormal increase in Tregs after HCC surgery to increase the rate of regeneration of the FLR after ALPPS, reduce the waiting time between ALPPS surgeries in patients and improve the quality of life of HCC patients.

**CONCLUSION**

CD4+CD25+ Tregs in the peripheral blood of patients with massive HCC at stage 1 ALPPS were negatively correlated with indicators of FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS and may influence the degree of fibrosis in patients’ livers. Treg percentage was highly accurate in predicting the FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

The mechanism of regeneration of the future liver remnant (FLR) after associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is a hot research topic in the field of hepatobiliary surgery, but the definitive mechanism of regeneration has not yet been fully elucidated.

***Research motivation***

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are closely associated with tissue and organ regeneration in a number of studies, but no studies have been reported on their association with liver regeneration.

***Research objectives***

This study explored the correlation between CD4+CD25+ Tregs and FLR regeneration after ALPPS from the perspectives of FLR regeneration volume, FLR regeneration rate, kinetic growth rate (KGR), and liver fibrosis score.

***Research methods***

Collection of clinical data and peripheral blood samples from hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients treated with ALPPS. Flow cytometry was performed to detect changes in the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs to CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood before and after ALPPS. To analyze the relationship between peripheral blood CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion and clinicopathological information and FLR.

***Research results***

The postoperative CD4+CD25+ Treg proportion in stage 1 ALPPS was negatively correlated with the amount of proliferation volume, proliferation rate, and KGR of the FLR after stage 1 ALPPS. Patients with a high Treg proportion had a lower postoperative KGR as well as a more severe degree of fibrosis. Also, Treg proportion was a good predictor of in postoperative proliferation volume, proliferation rate and KGR.

***Research conclusions***

CD4+CD25+ Tregs in the peripheral blood of patients with HCC at stage 1 ALPPS were negatively correlated with indicators of FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS and may influence the degree of fibrosis in patients’ livers. Treg percentage was highly accurate in predicting the FLR regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.

***Research perspectives***

Research on the mechanism of FLR regeneration after ALPPS is still being explored. In future studies, this report provides certain strong evidence to explore the regeneration mechanism, which will provide positive reference value to further improve the regeneration rate of FLR after ALPPS, reduce the waiting time of patients for ALPPS surgery and improve the survival rate of HCC patients.
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**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Changes in the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs to CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood before and after surgery for stage 1 ALPPS and stage 2 ALPPS.** ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; Pre: Preoperation; Pod: Postoperative; Tregs: Regulatory T cells.



**Figure 2 Trends in future liver remnant volume between stage 1 ALPPS and stage 2 ALPPS.** ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy.



**Figure 3 Relationship between the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs in peripheral blood and future liver remnant regeneration indexes after stage 1 ALPPS.** A: Proliferative volume, r = -0.442 (*P* = 0.009); B: Proliferation rate, r = -0.469 (*P* = 0.005); C: Kinetic growth rate, r = -0.511 (*P* = 0.001). ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. FLR: Future liver remnant; Tregs: Regulatory T cells.



**Figure 4 Relationship between the proportion of CD4+CD25+ Tregs after stage 1 ALPPS and the index of future liver remnant regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.** A: Proliferative volume (*P* < 0.05); B: Proliferation rate (*P* < 0.05); C: Kinetic growth rate (*P* < 0.05). ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. FLR: Future liver remnant; Tregs: Regulatory T cells.



**Figure 5 Receiver operating characteristic curve of CD4+CD25+ Tregs after stage 1 ALPPS and the index of future liver remnant regeneration after stage 1 ALPPS.** The AUC between CD4+CD25+ Tregs percentage and proliferative volume was 0.7197 (0.5400-0.8994; *P* = 0.029), the AUC between CD4+CD25+ Tregs percentage and proliferative rate was 0.7474 (0.5806-0.9142; *P* = 0.014), and the AUC between CD4+CD25+ Tregs percentage and Kinetic growth rate was 0.7785 (0.6172-0.9399; *P* = 0.006). ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. FLR: Future liver remnant; Tregs: Regulatory T cells. AUC: Area under the curve.



**Figure 6 Schematic diagram of the immune mechanism that regulatory T cells may participate in after ALPPS.** ALPPS: Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; IL: Interleukin; TGF: Transforming growth factor; Tregs: Regulatory T cells.

**Table 1 Baseline index data of hepatocellular carcinoma patients undergoing association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **ALPPS, *n* = 37** |
| Age, yr | 45 (26-75) |
| Sex, women/man, *n* (%) | 3 (8.1)/34 (91.9) |
| BMI, kg/m2 | 22.2 (17.99-30.09) |
| AFP, ≥ 400 ng/mL/< 400 ng/mL, *n* (%) | 19 (51.4)/18 (48.6) |
| Degree of liver fibrosis, *n* |  |
| No fibrosis | 7 |
| Mild fibrosis | 2 |
| Moderate fibrosis/fibrosis | 8 |
| Cirrhosis, *n* (%) | 20 (54.1) |
| MELD score | 5.12 (0.75-11.00) |
| ICGR15, % | 5.3 (1.3-18.8) |
| Child-Pugh class, A/B/C, *n* | 36/1/0 |
| BCLC staging, A/B/C, *n* | 10/7/20 |

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; ALPPS: Association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; BMI: Body mass index; ICGR15: Indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease.

**Table 2 Intraoperative and postoperative conditions of association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy - stage 1 and 2**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **ALPPS - stage 1** | **ALPPS - stage 2** |
| Operative time, min | 341 (229-496) | 300 (167-483) |
| Blood loss, mL | 328 (50-2600) | 792 (200-6000) |
| Blood transfusion, mL | 300 (0-900) | 250 (0-2150) |
| Postoperative bile leakage, *n* |  |  |
| No | 36 | 27 |
| Yes | 1 | 3 |
| Clavien-Dindo classification, *n* |  |  |
| I | 20 | 12 |
| II | 14 | 14 |
| III | 3 | 4 |
| IV | 0 | 0 |
| ISGLS classification, *n* |  |  |
| A | 21 | 9 |
| B | 16 | 18 |
| C | 0 | 1 |
| Ishak fibrosis score | / | 3 (1-6) |
| Ishak inflammation score | / | 5 (2-12) |

ALPPS: Association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; ISGLS: International Study Group of Liver Surgery.

**Table 3 The proportion of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells to CD4+ T cells before and after the association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy - stage 1 and 2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ALPPS** | **PRE** | **POD1** | **POD3** | **POD5** | **POD7** | **POD10** | **F** | ***P* value** |
| ALPPS-1 | 1.69 ± 1.32 | 1.79 ± 1.42 | 2.29 ± 1.34 | 2.41 ± 1.49 | 2.62 ± 1.72 | 2.64 ± 1.64 | 6.962 | 0.001 |
| ALPPS-2 | 1.69 ± 1.32 | 2.19 ± 1.26 | 2.28 ± 1.63 | 2.73 ± 2.05 | 2.21 ± 1.49 | 2.34 ± 1.35 | 4.726 | 0.011 |

ALPPS: Association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; POD: Postoperative day; PRE: Preoperative.

**Table 4 Data related to liver volume, including standard liver volume, future liver remnant, future liver remnant/standard liver volume ratio, and future liver remnant increase**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **ALPPS stage 1 and 2** |
| SLV, cm3 | 1034.0 (851.9-1300.7) |
| Preoperation of ALPPS-1 |  |
| FLR, cm3 | 1043.3 (851.8-1358.2) |
| FLR/SLV, % | 35.1 (18.9-47.4) |
| Preoperation of ALPPS-2 |  |
| FLR, cm3 | 548.4 (378.1-823.0) |
| FLR/SLV, % | 53.2 (33.8-78.3) |

ALPPS: Association liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy; FLR: Future liver remnant; SLV: Standard liver volume.
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