

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The different phases of the diagnostic DSA images have been wrongly described. Please insert arrowheads to define the salient points of the images. Please provide good quality CT scan images instead of photograph of whole film. Please provide the follow-up CT scan image. Overall, the writing quality is good.

Dear Reviewer

Thank you for taking the time to review our manuscript and thank you for your suggestions. We have corrected the DSA phases and provided arrowheads in Figures 3-4. All changes are marked as red text.

As for the CT scan images, since the patient was referred from another center, we were unable to obtain the original CT images for Figure 1 (the CT scan on onset). However, we have updated the CT angiography using better quality images. The follow up CT scan has also been added as Figure 5.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Bintang et al. realized a very interesting case report describing the "A CASE REPORT AND UPDATED REVIEW ON DELAYED VERSUS IMMEDIATE INTERVENTION OF RUPTURED BRAIN ARTERIOVENOUS MALFORMATIONS (bAVMs)". I consider the manuscript very interesting but, at the same time, I suggest several revisions needed to improve the reliability and the completeness of the paper: • The "Introduction" and "Discussion" sections should be improved. I suggest comparing produced data with results obtained from other NGS studies involving pathologies with an important vascular component, such as CCM and already considered MAV. The recent PMID: 32877751, PMID: 32184807, PMID: 32560555 and PMID: 30523548 could represent a substrate able to enforce the role of considered cellular mechanisms. • Finally, manuscript requires English revisions and typos correction.

Dear reviewer,

Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We have added the recommended studies into our manuscript (marked in red text within the discussion section). A language revision has also been conducted. Thank you for taking the time to review this manuscript.