

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 80665

Title: Magnetic resonance imaging-based deep learning model to predict multiple firings

in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06090125 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Lecturer, Technical Editor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iraq

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-30 16:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-03 06:04

Review time: 3 Days and 13 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

My Comments and Suggestions to Authors: 1- In my opinion, the abstract is too cumbersome and is hard to catch the key point. The keywords need to be more detailed. 2- In the Introduction part, the new features of the proposed method and the main advantages of the results over others should be clearly described. 3- An introduction should clearly highlight the motivation, problem statement, the objective of the paper, gap in the existing research and the novelty of the conducted research. 4- The contributions presented in this paper are not sufficient for possible publication in this journal. I highly suggest authors to clearly define the contributions. 5- The literature has to be strongly updated with some relevant and recent papers focused on the fields dealt The proposed method and experiments are not clearly with the manuscript. 6illustrated. 7- The results are not easy to follow. Give more explanation in existing method about result and discussion part. 8- The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions. 9- References aren't formatted according to rules. Additional References: The following articles could be useful: • the **Future** Started? The Current Growth of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep



Learning. https://doi.org/10.52866/ijcsm.2022.01.01.013 • Skin cancer disease images classification using deep learning solutions. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-021-10952-7



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 80665

Title: Magnetic resonance imaging-based deep learning model to predict multiple firings

in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05759436 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 07:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-07 08:24

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study suggest that clinical or imaging information alone is insufficient to predict the usage of ≥3 cartridges during surgery and an MRI-based integrated deep learning model might help determine the best anastomotic strategy for mid-low rectal cancer patients. Compared with other similar studies, this study shows obvious advantages and has greater clinical application value.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 80665

Title: Magnetic resonance imaging-based deep learning model to predict multiple firings

in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06025907 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Assistant, Research Scientist, Teaching

Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 00:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-16 06:28

Review time: 9 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors developed a deep learning model based on MRI and could predict multiple firings in double-stapled colorectal anastomosis. The authors did a great job of disseminating their findings. The manuscript is thorough, insightful, and well-written. The authors noted that an ethical committee had approved their work, and they took informed consent from patients. This kind of study is essential to assist doctors in making decisions. Due to the growing number of patients per doctor, it is often challenging for doctors to monitor all test results. The authors analyzed and used data from 328 patients. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were clear. They also compared their work with recent similar studies. The manuscript's structure is decent, but the research gap and the need for this solution need to be more convincing. The study should include more related work on this application and approach. Also, the author did not provide any hypothesis behind choosing the detection model. Finally,



authors should use proper citations instead of URLs in the body of the manuscript, and a few minor grammar issues need to be addressed.