

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80718

Title: Invasive breast carcinoma with osteoclast-like stromal giant cells: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06213863 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Chief Physician, Director, Senior Editor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-24 00:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-25 01:27

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I want to thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this manuscript. This case report aims to reflect the clinical course of the patient. I read the text of the article, but found no innovation in the results. I believe that this paper can not explain these findings better than previous studies. Therefore, I do agree with the importance of this topic, but I am not sure how your supplementary research will achieve this, because data already exists, and you have not made a significant contribution to adding more information to better manage this difficult situation. Through careful review of your articles and references, the Taiwan region mentioned in Table 1 of your article should be modified. This is not consistent with your country based statistical method, because Taiwan does not belong to a country.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80718

Title: Invasive breast carcinoma with osteoclast-like stromal giant cells: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03538691 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-13 03:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-17 14:33

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

no comment



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80718

Title: Invasive breast carcinoma with osteoclast-like stromal giant cells: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06213863 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Chief Physician, Director, Senior Editor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Jie Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-14 13:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-15 11:24

Review time: 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author made reasonable changes to the table and language problems mentioned last time, and found no problems in other articles