# World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2023 April 21; 29(15): 2222-2358





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

# World Journal of Gastroenterology

# Contents

# Weekly Volume 29 Number 15 April 21, 2023

# **REVIEW**

2222	Intersections between innate immune response and gastric cancer development
	Villarroel-Espindola F, Ejsmentewicz T, Gonzalez-Stegmaier R, Jorquera RA, Salinas E

2241 Emerging role of non-invasive and liquid biopsy biomarkers in pancreatic cancer Bararia A, Chakraborty P, Roy P, Chattopadhay BK, Das A, Chatterjee A, Sikdar N

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- 2261 Immunotherapy for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma Bhatt A. Wu J
- 2272 Intestinal ultrasound as a non-invasive tool to monitor inflammatory bowel disease activity and guide clinical decision making

Dolinger MT, Kayal M

Mechanisms of gastrointestinal barrier dysfunction in COVID-19 patients 2283

Xue W, Honda M, Hibi T

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Basic Study**

2294 Ferroptosis inhibition attenuates inflammatory response in mice with acute hypertriglyceridemic pancreatitis

Meng YT, Zhou Y, Han PY, Ren HB

#### **Case Control Study**

2310 Can visceral fat parameters based on computed tomography be used to predict occult peritoneal metastasis in gastric cancer?

Li LM, Feng LY, Liu CC, Huang WP, Yu Y, Cheng PY, Gao JB

#### **Retrospective Study**

2322 Value of red blood cell distribution width in prediction of diastolic dysfunction in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy

Chen YL, Zhao ZW, Li SM, Guo YZ

2336 Reduction of portosystemic gradient during transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt achieves good outcome and reduces complications

Luo SH, Zhou MM, Cai MJ, Han SL, Zhang XQ, Chu JG

Repeat peroral endoscopic myotomy with simultaneous submucosal and muscle dissection as a salvage 2349 option for recurrent achalasia

Lin YJ, Liu SZ, Li LS, Han K, Shao BZ, Linghu EQ, Chai NL



# Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 15 April 21, 2023

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Hirayuki Enomoto, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Disease, Department of Internal Medicine, Hyogo Medical University, Nishinomiya 663-8501, Hyogo, Japan. enomoto@hyo-med.ac.jp

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
<b>ISSN</b>	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
<b>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</b>	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
April 21, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WÛ

# World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2023 April 21; 29(15): 2322-2335

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i15.2322

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# **Retrospective Study** Value of red blood cell distribution width in prediction of diastolic dysfunction in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy

Yan-Ling Chen, Zi-Wen Zhao, Shu-Mei Li, Yong-Zhe Guo

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Papazafiropoulou A, Greece; Razpotnik M, Austria

Received: October 14, 2022 Peer-review started: October 14. 2022 First decision: January 3, 2023 Revised: January 27, 2023 Accepted: March 15, 2023 Article in press: March 15, 2023 Published online: April 21, 2023



Yan-Ling Chen, Department of Gastroenterology, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou 350001, Fujian Province, China

Zi-Wen Zhao, Shu-Mei Li, Yong-Zhe Guo, Department of Cardiology, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou 350001, Fujian Province, China

Corresponding author: Yong-Zhe Guo, Doctor, Attending Doctor, Department of Cardiology, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, No. 29 Xin-Quan Road, Fuzhou 350001, Fujian Province, China. 13705935398@163.com

# Abstract

# BACKGROUND

Clinical diagnosis of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) often encounters challenges of lack of timeliness and disease severity, with the commonly positive indicator usually associated with advanced heart failure.

# AIM

To explore suitable biomarkers for early CCM prediction.

# **METHODS**

A total of 505 eligible patients were enrolled in this study and divided into four groups according to Child-Pugh classification: Group I, Class A without CCM (105 cases); Group II, Class A with CCM (175 cases); Group III, Class B with CCM (139 cases); and Group IV, Class C with CCM (86 cases). Logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed to determine whether red blood cell distribution width (RDW) was an independent risk factor for CCM risk. The relationships between RDW and Child-Pugh scores, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) scores, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were analyzed by Pearson correlation analysis.

# RESULTS

A constant RDW increase was evident from Group I to Group IV ( $12.54 \pm 0.85$ , 13.29 ± 1.19, 14.30 ± 1.96, and 16.25 ± 2.13, respectively). Pearson correlation analysis showed that RDW was positively correlated with Child-Pugh scores (r =0.642, *P* < 0.001), MELD scores (*r* = 0.592, *P* < 0.001), and NT-proBNP (*r* = 0.715, *P* < 0.001). Furthermore, between Group I and Group II, RDW was the only significant index (odds ratio: 2.175, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.549-3.054, P < 0.001), and it reached statistical significance when examined by ROC curve



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

analysis (area under the curve: 0.686, 95%CI: 0.624-0.748, *P* < 0.001).

#### **CONCLUSION**

RDW can serve as an effective and accessible clinical indicator for the prediction of diastolic dysfunction in CCM, in which a numerical value of more than 13.05% may indicate an increasing CCM risk.

Key Words: Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy; Child-Pugh; Diagnosis; N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Red blood cell distribution width

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Diastolic dysfunction is usually an early stage of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM). This study found that red blood cell distribution width would have an advantage over N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in indicating diastolic dysfunction in such patients, which is of great significance for the early diagnosis and treatment of CCM.

Citation: Chen YL, Zhao ZW, Li SM, Guo YZ. Value of red blood cell distribution width in prediction of diastolic dysfunction in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. World J Gastroenterol 2023; 29(15): 2322-2335 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v29/i15/2322.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i15.2322

# INTRODUCTION

As a manifestation of unnoticeable cardiac dysfunction, cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) features diastolic dysfunction, chronotropic dysfunction, electrophysiological abnormalities, and compromised myocardial contractility in the absence of other cardiac diseases. In such a setting, liver cirrhosisinduced hyperdynamic circulation may even result in structural cardiac abnormalities[1,2]. Available literature evidences that CCM-associated diastolic dysfunction can pose very adverse clinical implications[3], including severe liver diseases, hepatorenal syndrome, ascites[4,5], and death[6]. The current clinical diagnosis of CCM-related diastolic dysfunction mainly relies on transthoracic echocardiography (TTE)[7], with N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and other indicators playing a supportive role[8,9]. However, studies contend that NT-proBNP level is not an optimal biomarker for CCM screening and cannot serve as an independent diagnostic tool for such a condition, though increased NT-proBNP level in liver cirrhosis has been found to be positively associated with echocardiographic measures of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) and may be indicative of CCM[10]. Therefore, an urgent need remains regarding a more objective indicator for CCM screening in patients with LVDD, especially in the early stages of the disease.

Of all the other potential candidate indicators, red blood cell distribution width (RDW), a common blood laboratory parameter, has been closely associated with cardiac function and/or the disease severity of heart failure (HF), including the natriuretic peptides[11], left ventricular end diastolic pressure[12], and left ventricular deformation[13,14]. Meanwhile, RDW levels are more or less correlated with various liver diseases [15-18]. Studies have demonstrated that the assessment of RDW may improve risk stratification of patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis<sup>[19]</sup>. Other studies have documented RDW as a novel inflammatory marker in various conditions, including cardiovascular diseases<sup>[20]</sup>, functional bowel conditions<sup>[21]</sup>, autoimmune diseases<sup>[22,23]</sup>, degenerative vertebral conditions<sup>[24]</sup>, malignancy<sup>[25]</sup>, and even COVID-19<sup>[26]</sup>. Taken together, these findings evidence that RDW may be altered in cirrhosis patients comorbid with cardiac diastolic dysfunction and may serve as a promising objective indicator for CCM screening. Therefore, this study attempted to probe into the value of RDW in the prediction of LVDD in CCM patients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patient recruitment

A single-center, retrospective study was designed to evaluate the correlation between RDW levels and liver cirrhosis with diastolic dysfunction. The clinical data of 5205 patients diagnosed with cirrhosis of various etiologies were collected between June 2017 and May 2022, and a total of 505 cases were selected and included in this study. All these patients were referred to Fujian Medical University Union Hospital



(Fuzhou, Fujian Province, China). For patients hospitalized more than once, data from the first admission were used. The following items were set to support a diagnosis of liver cirrhosis[27,28]: (1) Imaging by ultrasonography, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging indicating irregular liver surface and heterogeneous liver parenchyma; (2) impaired liver synthetic function: Albumin level less than 35.0 g/L without other identifiable causes of hypoalbuminemia such as renal loss or gastrointestinal loss; and (3) evidence of portal hypertension (variceal hemorrhage, refractory ascites, or splenomegaly) or life-threatening complications such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis or hepatic encephalopathy. Liver cirrhosis was defined as conditions meeting criteria (1) and (2) or (1) and (3)

The inclusion criteria were: (1) Age over 18 years; (2) diagnosis of cirrhosis confirmed as above mentioned; (3) severity of liver cirrhosis evaluated by Child-Pugh classification and Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score; and (4) LVDD confirmed by TTE (controls without LVDD). The exclusion criteria were: (1) Known cardiac diseases (such as valvular heart disease, rhythm or conduction disorders, congenital heart disease, coronary artery disease, non-ischemic cardiopathy, and pulmonary arterial hypertension); (2) TTE-confirmed systolic dysfunction only; (3) other causes of liver insufficiency (such as septicaemia and toxic liver disease); (4) RDW increase-related pre-existing conditions such as thalassemia, hemolytic anemia, hereditary spherocytosis, sickle cell disease, myelodysplastic syndrome, or aplastic anemia; and (5) other underlying conditions including gastric or duodenal ulcer, pregnancy, active malignancy other than liver cancer, and recent transfusion or use of iron or erythropoietin (within past 3 mo).

In particular, this study not only explored the changes of RDW in patients with CCM, but more importantly, revealed the value of RDW in predicting early CCM by comparing the differences of RDW in cirrhotic patients with or without cardiomyopathy. As a result, we set a group consisting of Child-Pugh A cirrhotic patients without CCM as the control group.

#### Measurements of baseline variables

Upon admission, blood samples were collected at 6 a.m. and processed immediately at the clinical laboratory of Fujian Medical University Union Hospital. The reference range of RDW was 11.5%-15.0%. Cardiac function was measured by TTE (Philips iE33, Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA, United States). According to the latest CCM guidelines [2019 Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy Consortium (CCC)][7], LVDD in CCM was diagnosed when three of the following conditions were present:  $E/e' \ge 15$  [early diastolic transmitral and myocardial velocity on Doppler tissue imaging ratio], peak tricuspid regurgitation velocity > 2.8 m/s, septal e' velocity < 7 cm/s (early diastolic myocardial velocity on TDI), and left atrial volume index >  $34 \text{ mL/m}^2$ .

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) was defined as a bacterial infection of the ascitic fluid in the absence of a secondary intra-abdominal focus and determined by ascitic fluid polymorphonuclear (PMN) count, in which PMN count  $\ge 250$  cells/mm<sup>3</sup> was diagnosed as SBP[29]. Refractory ascites was defined as ascites that cannot be mobilized or the early recurrence of which (after a large volume paracentesis) cannot be prevented by medical therapy[30]. At last, hepatic encephalopathy (HE) was defined as brain dysfunction in patients with liver failure and/or portosystemic shunts presenting cognitive alterations and personality and mental disorders[31].

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed with SPSS version 26.0 (IBM, Somers, NY, United States). The normality of the data was evaluated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For normally-distributed continuous variables, the data are presented as the mean ± SD; inter-group comparison was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and pairwise comparison by least significant difference T test (LSD-T). For abnormally-distributed continuous variables, data are presented as the median (interquartile range); inter-group and pairwise comparisons were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis test and Bonferroni correction, respectively. The count data are presented as percentages (%). The proportions were measured by Chisquare test and Fisher's exact test was applied if the expected frequency was < 5. The potential association between RDW and CCM was examined by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The discriminatory power was evaluated by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) with its 95% confidence interval (CI). The statistical significance was set at a two-sided probability value of P < 0.05. Simultaneously, Pearson correlation analysis was used to compare the relationships between RDW and Child-Pugh scores, MELD scores, and NT-proBNP.

#### RESULTS

#### Demographic features

In addition to the 400 cases diagnosed with CCM, we matched 105 patients with early cirrhosis without cardiomyopathy. According to Child-Pugh classification[9], all 505 eligible patients were divided into four groups: Group I, Class A without CCM (control group); Group II, Class A with CCM; Group III, Class B with CCM; Group IV, Class C with CCM. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics



of the patient cohort are shown in Table 1. Patients with more serious Child-Pugh rating featured a significantly high incidence rate of hyperlipidemia and atrial fibrillation, though no statistical significance was found for age, gender, body mass index, smokers, and other demographic information among the groups.

#### Clinical information

The clinical symptoms, laboratory parameters, and medications of the enrolled patients are summarized in Table 2. Generally, with the worsening disease severity, more obvious complications were evident from Group I to Group IV, such as haematemesis (5.7%, 6.9%, 11.5%, and 18.6%, respectively, P = 0.009), edema (1.9%, 6.9%, 14.4%, and 38.4%, respectively, P < 0.001), SBP (1%, 2.3%, 7.9%, and 15.1%, respectively, *P* < 0.001), hyponatremia (2.9%, 12%, 22.3%, and 36%, respectively, *P* < 0.001), HE (4.8%, 5.7%, 10.1%, and 22.1%, respectively, P < 0.001), refractory ascites (1.9%, 2.3%, 10.8%, and 24.4%, respectively, *P* < 0.001), and jaundice (11.4%, 7.4%, 23.3%, and 24.4%, respectively, *P* = 0.003). Along with the presence of these indicators, MELD score also increased in the four groups ( $8.74 \pm 1.54$ ,  $8.64 \pm$ 1.57, 17.04  $\pm$  1.96, and 25.19  $\pm$  2.15, respectively, P < 0.001). Except for alkaline phosphatase (ALP), regardless of the increase or decrease along with the rise of Child-Pugh level, a statistical difference was found in other laboratory indicators, such as total bilirubin (TBIL), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartic transaminase (AST), γ-glutamyl transferase (γ-GT), albumin, white blood cell (WBC) count, hemoglobin, platelet count, international normalized ratio (INR), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (P <0.050 for all). The frequency of administration of diuretics, anti-ventricular remodeling agents, and  $\beta$ blocker increased apparently with the progression of cirrhosis ( $P \le 0.001$  for all). The clinical manifestations, laboratory indicators, and CCM medications listed in Table 2 were basically consistent with the clinical practice.

#### RDW as an independent diagnostic indicator for CCM

The pairwise comparison of the four groups revealed a significant difference for the RDW value that rose constantly with the increasing severity of cirrhosis  $(12.54 \pm 0.85, 13.29 \pm 1.19, 14.30 \pm 1.96, and 16.25)$  $\pm$  2.13, *P* < 0.001) (Table 2). All indexes with *P* < 0.05 in Tables 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 3. Multivariate logistics regression analysis was divided into three parts, with the first, second, and third parts corresponding to risk factor analysis of Group II, Group III, and Group IV (Group I as the control group), respectively. Part I reported statistical significance in RDW (odds ratio [OR]: 2.175, 95%CI: 1.549-3.054, P < 0.001) between Group II and the control group, with no significant differences in other indicators, which suggests RDW as an independent risk factor in Part I. Part II revealed a marked difference in RDW (OR: 2.447, 95%CI: 1.375-4.354, P = 0.002), ALB, ALT, AST, γ-GT, WBC count, hemoglobin, platelet count, INR, NT-proBNP, and eGFR (P < 0.05, respectively). Further multivariate logistic regression reported significant differences in RDW (OR: 4.863, 95% CI: 2.493-9.483, P < 0.001), ALB, ALT, AST, WBC count, hemoglobin, platelet count, INR, NT-proBNP, and edema (P < 0.05, respectively), which were considered as independent risk factors in Part III. Besides, as shown in Table 4, the linear regression analysis showed a positive correlation between RDW and Child-Pugh scores (r = 0.642, P < 0.001) (Figure 1A) and between RDW and MELD scores (r = 0.592, P < 0.001) (Figure 1B).

#### A strong correlation between RDW and different Child-Pugh levels

In Table 2, due to the distribution abnormality in the data of NT-proBNP, the independent sample Kruskal-Wallis test was employed for analysis. The results showed no significant increase in NTproBNP when the cardiomyopathy cases (Group II) were compared with the non-cardiomyopathy cases (Group I) [76 (61, 98) vs 72 (61, 84), P > 0.05] but huge differences for both Group III and Group IV (P < 0.05) 0.001 for both). Similarly, the multivariate logistic regression reported no significant difference in NTproBNP between Group I and Group II (OR: 1.001, 95%CI: 0.997-1.005, P = 0.518). The linear regression analysis (Figure 1C) further revealed a positive correlation between RDW and NT-proBNP (r = 0.715, P < 0.001) (Table 4). Finally, the ROC curve analysis was performed to determine the critical value of continuous variables (RDW and NT-proBNP) for identifying diastolic dysfunction of cardiomyopathy in cirrhosis. The criterion for the selection of optimal cut-off points was comprehensive optimization results of sensitivity and specificity. An obvious difference of P values was found for RDW and NTproBNP (Figure 2), with a respective AUC of 0.686 (95%CI: 0.624-0.748, P < 0.001) and 0.556 (95%CI: 0.490-0.623, P > 0.050). The threshold value in ROC curves indicated that LVDD in CCM was more likely to occur if RDW was above 13.05%, with a sensitivity and specificity of 56.0% and 71.4%, respectively (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

As a chronic cardiac dysfunction, CCM is prevalent in 30%-70% of patients with cirrhosis in recent years [32,33] and features a blunted contractile response to stress and altered diastolic relaxation[34]. CCM manifests a complex progression, which depends on the systemic changes resulting from cirrhosis[35].



Table 1 Comparison of demographic and medical records in the study groups, n (%)									
Variable	Group I ( <i>n</i> = 105)	Group II ( <i>n</i> = 175)	Group III ( <i>n</i> = 139)	Group IV ( <i>n</i> = 86)	<b>F/χ</b> ²	Р			
Demographics									
Age (yr)	$66.20 \pm 7.93$	$67.94 \pm 7.41$	$67.74 \pm 7.84$	$68.00 \pm 7.86$	1.344	0.259			
Male	65 (61.9)	95 (54.3)	82 (59.0)	56 (65.1)	3.304	0.347			
Smokers	29 (27.6)	49 (28.0)	51 (36.7)	32 (37.2)	4.697	0.195			
Body-mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.11 ± 2.37	23.23 ± 3.95	$23.75 \pm 3.24$	24.27 ± 3.52	2.520	0.057			
Medical history									
Hypertension	20 (19.0)	32 (18.3)	35 (25.2)	26 (30.2)	6.041	0.110			
Hyperlipidemia	20 (19.0)	50 (28.6)	68 (48.9)	40 (46.5)	31.658	< 0.001			
Diabetes mellitus	23 (21.9)	35 (20.0)	37 (26.6)	26 (30.2)	4.146	0.246			
Atrial fibrillation	8 (7.6)	36 (20.6)	52 (37.4)	34 (39.5)	38.947	< 0.001			
Stroke	3 (2.9)	5 (2.9)	4 (2.9)	4 (4.7)	0.908 <sup>1</sup>	0.864			
Cirrhosis etiology									
Fatty liver	17 (16.2)	22 (12.6)	17 (12.2)	17 (19.8)	3.277	0.351			
Alcoholic liver	18 (17.1)	38 (21.7)	32 (23)	26 (30.2)	4.748	0.191			
Viral hepatitis	53 (50.5)	100 (57.1)	75 (54)	36 (41.9)	5.695	0.127			
Autoimmune liver disease	16 (15.2)	15 (8.6)	14 (10.1)	9 (10.5)	3.157	0.368			
Liver cancer	5 (4.8)	8 (4.6)	7 (5)	4 (4.7)	0.151 <sup>1</sup>	1.000			

<sup>1</sup>Fisher's exact test.

Meanwhile, different criteria may indicate a distinct prevalence. A comparison between the 2005 Montreal criteria and 2019 CCC criteria<sup>[3,7]</sup> reports a higher prevalence of diastolic dysfunction by the Montreal criteria (64.8% vs 7.4%) and a higher proportion of cases with systolic dysfunction by the CCC criteria (16.4% vs 53.3%). These cases with systolic dysfunction can only be detected by echocardiography in the presence of stress[36-38]. Unlike systolic dysfunction, diastolic dysfunction appears early and can be detected at the baseline and most patients with cirrhosis display a certain degree of diastolic dysfunction[1,3,37]. Therefore, it is imminent to pinpoint some objective biomarkers before the condition deteriorates into HF.

As a traditional biomarker for the diagnosis and prognostic evaluation of HF, the clinical application of NT-proBNP is quite limited due to multiple factors[39], such as an advanced age and renal dysfunction<sup>[40]</sup>. Its effectiveness is further compromised in cases of HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), due to the impact from the clinical characteristics of HFpEF, including obesity, atrial fibrillation, and renal impairment<sup>[41]</sup>. Moreover, NT-proBNP levels may appear normal in the HFpEF patients, thereby impacting the accuracy of risk assessment<sup>[42]</sup>. Therefore, it is of great significance to find new biomarkers for the early detection of CCM.

As a hematological parameter, RDW indicates the level of anisocytosis in vivo. It can be assessed rapidly and economically. In acute HF patients in the emergency department (ED)[43], advanced HF patients immediately before orthotopic heart transplantation[44], and LVDD in patients with advanced stage of chronic kidney disease (CKD)[45], RDW has always been regarded as an independent predictor. Furthermore, growing evidence has confirmed an inextricable link between RDW and various types of liver-related disorders. Studies have reported the predictive value of RDW for the histological severity of primary biliary cholangitis [15] and its positive correlations with chronic hepatitis B virus infection [16, 46-48], non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [49,50], and autoimmune hepatitis [17]. Altogether, these findings lend strong support to our speculation that RDW has unique value in the diagnosis of cirrhosis.

In the current study, the regression analysis showed that RDW was the only significant indicator when Group I was compared with Group II (Table 3, Part I), which highlights that RDW is the only abnormal index in the early stage of CCM. Further linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between RDW and Child-Pugh (Figure 1A) and MELD scores (Figure 1B), indicating that RDW can serve as an evaluation index for the progression of cirrhosis. As a routine parameter of blood laboratory results, RDW is closely related to HF[11,44,51]. Although the exact underlying mechanism remains controversial, several pathophysiological mechanisms of RDW increase in HF have been proposed, such as inflammation, oxidative stress, adrenergic stimulation, undernutrition, ineffective erythropoiesis, and reduced iron mobilization[51]. Studies have speculated about the link between

WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Comparison of clinical data among groups, n (%)								
Variable	Group I ( <i>n</i> = 105)	Group II ( <i>n</i> = 175)	Group III ( <i>n</i> = 139)	Group IV ( <i>n</i> = 86)	F/ <b>χ</b> ²	Р		
Clinical feature								
Haematemesis	6 (5.7)	12 (6.9)	16 (11.5)	16 (18.6)	11.588	0.009		
Edema	2 (1.9)	12 (6.9)	20 (14.4)	33 (38.4)	65.284	< 0.001		
SBP	1 (1.0)	4 (2.3)	11 (7.9)	13 (15.1)	23.486	< 0.001		
Hyponatremia	3 (2.9)	21 (12.0)	31 (22.3)	31 (36.0)	42.806	< 0.001		
Hepatic encephalopathy	5 (4.8)	10 (5.7)	14 (10.1)	19 (22.1)	21.565	< 0.001		
Refractory ascites	2 (1.9)	4 (2.3)	15 (10.8)	21 (24.4)	44.367	< 0.001		
Jaundice	12 (11.4)	13 (7.4)	15 (10.8)	20 (23.3)	14.120	0.003		
MELD score	$8.74 \pm 1.54$	$8.64 \pm 1.57$	17.04 ± 1.96 <sup>a,b</sup>	$25.19 \pm 2.15^{a,b,c}$	2081.425	< 0.001		
Laboratory index								
RDW (%)	$12.54\pm0.85$	$13.29 \pm 1.19^{a}$	$14.3 \pm 1.96^{a,b}$	16.25 ± 2.13 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	101.958	< 0.001		
TBIL (µmol/L)	12.3 (10.9, 13.45)	11.5 (9.8, 14.5)	12.8 (10.7, 17.5) <sup>b</sup>	16.3 (13.18,24.95) <sup>a,b,c</sup>	57.344	< 0.001		
ALB (g/L)	45.91 ± 3.83	44.92 ± 5.19 <sup>a</sup>	37.85 ± 4.71 <sup>a,b</sup>	$33.50 \pm 5.65^{a,b,c}$	159.072	< 0.001		
ALT (IU/L)	24 (18, 32)	27 (21, 34) <sup>a</sup>	32 (23, 37) <sup>a,b</sup>	35 (26, 75) <sup>a,b,c</sup>	40.591	< 0.001		
AST (IU/L)	26 (19.5, 33)	28 (21, 35)	30 (22, 36) <sup>a</sup>	34 (25, 62) <sup>a,b</sup>	25.257	< 0.001		
γ-GT (IU/L)	32 (20, 44)	30 (22, 41)	35 (26, 43)	37 (26, 47) <sup>a,b</sup>	14.96	0.002		
ALP (IU/L)	65 (52, 84)	74 (53, 88)	69 (54, 87)	69 (58, 84)	3.535	0.316		
WBC count (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	$5.64 \pm 1.51$	$5.36 \pm 1.40$	$5.76 \pm 1.16^{b}$	$6.10 \pm 1.55^{a,b}$	5.695	0.001		
Hemoglobin (g/L)	122.86 ± 15.53	$121.10 \pm 15.30$	107.92 ± 16.33 <sup>a,b</sup>	99.28 ± 18.15 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	52.186	< 0.001		
Platelet count (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	190.15 ± 62.47	174.98 ± 41.71 <sup>a</sup>	101.09 ± 27.46 <sup>a,b</sup>	90.38 ± 32.33 <sup>a,b</sup>	165.715	< 0.001		
INR	0.91 (0.59, 1.27)	1.21 (0.61, 1.80) <sup>a</sup>	1.58 (0.86, 2.41) <sup>a,b</sup>	1.37 (1.17, 2.22) <sup>a,b</sup>	57.797	< 0.001		
NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	72 (61, 84)	76 (61, 98)	354 (127, 653) <sup>a,b</sup>	647 (364, 1430) <sup>a,b,c</sup>	242.974	< 0.001		
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	62.35 ± 11.09	60.87 ± 13.52	59.07 ± 15.94	43.31 ± 11.39 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	40.691	< 0.001		
Drug information								
Diuretic	3 (2.9)	7 (4)	20 (14.4)	32 (37.2)	69.996	< 0.001		
Spirolactone	3 (2.9)	7 (4)	17 (12.2)	26 (30.2)	50.491	< 0.001		
ACEI/ARB	7 (6.7)	23 (13.1)	22 (15.8)	23 (26.7)	15.690	0.001		
β-blocker	6 (5.7)	13 (7.4)	23 (16.5)	22 (25.6)	23.776	< 0.001		

 $^{a}P < 0.05$  when compared with Group I.

 $^{\mathrm{b}}P$  < 0.05 when compared with Group II.

 $^{\rm c}P$  < 0.05 when compared with Group III.

ACEI: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ALB: Albumin; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine transaminase; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker; AST: Aspartic transaminase; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; INR: International normalized ratio; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; SBP: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; TBIL: Total bilirubin; WBC: White blood cell; \gamma-GT: γglutamyl transferase.

> impaired hematopoiesis and cardiac dysfunction, given the concurrent presence of increased heterogeneity of erythrocyte volume in HF patients and the contributing role of anisocytosis in the HF deterioration[52]. Meanwhile, studies have also suggested NT-proBNP as an important index for the diagnosis and evaluation of HF[39]. In the current study, the comparison of NT-proBNP between groups II, III, and IV in Table 2 showed that HFpEF deteriorated with the worsening severity of cirrhosis, which was similar to the increasing tendency of RDW in the aggravating Child-Pugh levels. Nevertheless, unlike RDW, NT-proBNP was not a percipient of cardiomyopathy in patients with Child-Pugh Class A cirrhosis, which was verified by the multiple logistic regression analysis in the first part of Table 3. In order to further expound the relationship between the two indicators, the linear regression analysis was performed between RDW and NT-proBNP (Figure 1C, Table 4), which showed that they



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Multiple logistics regression analysis for each group and the control group						
Part	Variable	В	SE	Wald	Р	OR (95%CI)
Part I	RDW (%)	0.777	0.173	20.141	< 0.001	2.175 (1.549, 3.054)
	TBIL (µmol/L)	-0.086	0.051	2.810	0.094	0.918 (0.83, 1.015)
	ALB (g/L)	-0.060	0.035	2.973	0.085	0.942 (0.879, 1.008)
	ALT (IU/L)	0.122	0.067	3.350	0.067	1.129 (0.991, 1.287)
	AST (IU/L)	-0.108	0.060	3.178	0.075	0.898 (0.798, 1.011)
	γ-GT (IU/L)	0.004	0.011	0.117	0.733	1.004 (0.982, 1.026)
	WBC count (× $10^9/L$ )	0.026	0.107	0.059	0.808	1.026 (0.832, 1.265)
	Hemoglobin (g/L)	0.012	0.010	1.294	0.255	1.012 (0.992, 1.032)
	Platelet count (× $10^9/L$ )	-0.006	0.003	3.638	0.056	0.994 (0.988, 1.000)
	INR	0.418	0.290	2.074	0.150	1.519 (0.86, 2.683)
	NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	0.001	0.002	0.417	0.518	1.001 (0.997, 1.005)
	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.009	0.012	0.598	0.439	0.991 (0.967, 1.015)
	Hyperlipidemia	-0.074	0.346	0.046	0.831	0.929 (0.471, 1.830)
	Atrial fibrillation	-0.402	0.602	0.448	0.503	0.669 (0.206, 2.174)
	Haematemesis	-0.730	0.711	1.054	0.305	0.482 (0.12, 1.942)
	Edema	0.105	1.196	0.008	0.930	1.111 (0.107, 11.580)
	SBP	1.623	2.242	0.524	0.469	5.07 (0.063, 410.934)
	Hyponatremia	-1.682	0.934	3.243	0.072	0.186 (0.030, 1.16)
	Hepatic encephalopathy	-0.161	0.804	0.040	0.842	0.852 (0.176, 4.119)
	Jaundice	-1.044	1.264	0.683	0.409	0.352 (0.030, 4.188)
	Refractory ascites	0.256	1.498	0.029	0.864	1.292 (0.069, 24.324)
Part II	RDW (%)	0.895	0.294	9.265	0.002	2.447 (1.375, 4.354)
	TBIL (µmol/L)	-0.028	0.083	0.116	0.733	0.972 (0.825, 1.145)
	ALB (g/L)	-0.723	0.140	26.552	< 0.001	0.485 (0.369, 0.639)
	ALT (IU/L)	0.337	0.087	15.167	< 0.001	1.401 (1.182, 1.660)
	AST (IU/L)	-0.390	0.087	19.883	< 0.001	0.677 (0.571, 0.804)
	γ-GT (IU/L)	0.037	0.018	4.313	0.038	1.037 (1.002, 1.074)
	WBC count (× $10^9$ /L)	0.592	0.253	5.464	0.019	1.807 (1.100, 2.969)
	Hemoglobin (g/L)	-0.057	0.026	4.929	0.026	0.944 (0.898, 0.993)
	Platelet count (× $10^9/L$ )	-0.055	0.010	32.104	< 0.001	0.947 (0.929, 0.965)
	INR	1.894	0.563	11.321	0.001	6.643 (2.205, 20.018)
	NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	0.008	0.003	8.203	0.004	1.008 (1.003, 1.014)
	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	0.062	0.027	5.365	0.021	1.064 (1.010, 1.121)
	Hyperlipidemia	-1.173	0.658	3.174	0.075	0.309 (0.085, 1.125)
	Atrial fibrillation	0.365	1.006	0.132	0.717	1.441 (0.201, 10.345)
	Haematemesis	2.282	1.499	2.318	0.128	9.792 (0.519, 184.678)
	Edema	3.004	1.778	2.855	0.091	20.159 (0.618, 657.095)
	SBP	4.715	4.750	0.985	0.321	111.572 (0.010, 1231574.165)
	Hyponatremia	-0.424	1.259	0.113	0.736	0.655 (0.055, 7.724)
	Hepatic encephalopathy	-1.850	1.290	2.057	0.151	0.157 (0.013, 1.970)

Baisbideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

	Jaundice	1.862	2.392	0.606	0.436	6.437 (0.059, 699.588)
	Refractory ascites	-1.431	3.819	0.140	0.708	0.239 (0, 425.671)
Part III	RDW (%)	1.582	0.341	21.541	< 0.001	4.863 (2.493, 9.483)
	TBIL (µmol/L)	-0.080	0.090	0.787	0.375	0.923 (0.774, 1.101)
	ALB (g/L)	-0.910	0.150	37.020	< 0.001	0.402 (0.300, 0.539)
	ALT (IU/L)	0.350	0.087	16.273	< 0.001	1.419 (1.197, 1.682)
	AST (IU/L)	-0.410	0.088	21.725	< 0.001	0.664 (0.559, 0.789)
	γ-GT (IU/L)	0.032	0.018	3.226	0.072	1.033 (0.997, 1.070)
	WBC count (× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	0.763	0.292	6.798	0.009	2.144 (1.208, 3.803)
	Hemoglobin (g/L)	-0.070	0.029	5.697	0.017	0.932 (0.880, 0.988)
	Platelet count (× $10^9/L$ )	-0.077	0.013	35.070	< 0.001	0.926 (0.902, 0.950)
	INR	1.828	0.621	8.661	0.003	6.224 (1.842, 21.031)
	NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	0.008	0.003	7.937	0.005	1.008 (1.002, 1.014)
	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.005	0.033	0.018	0.892	0.995 (0.932, 1.063)
	Hyperlipidemia	-0.404	0.764	0.280	0.597	0.667 (0.149, 2.986)
	Atrial fibrillation	0.417	1.132	0.135	0.713	1.517 (0.165, 13.954)
	Haematemesis	3.164	1.693	3.493	0.062	23.67 (0.857, 653.530)
	Edema	3.826	1.882	4.132	0.042	45.891 (1.147, 1836.043)
	SBP	5.570	4.815	1.339	0.247	262.503 (0.021, 3291299.144)
	Hyponatremia	-0.376	1.364	0.076	0.783	0.687 (0.047, 9.940)
	Hepatic encephalopathy	-1.949	1.468	1.763	0.184	0.142 (0.008, 2.530)
	Jaundice	0.527	2.529	0.043	0.835	1.694 (0.012, 240.821)
	Refractory ascites	-0.538	3.896	0.019	0.890	0.584 (0, 1210.714)

The multiple logistics regression reference group was Group I. Child-Pugh group assignment: Group I = 0, Group II = 1, Group III = 2, Group IV = 3. Every count indicator was set to 0 if unavailable and 1 if available. ALB: Albumin; ALT: Alanine transaminase; AST: Aspartic transaminase; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; INR: International normalized ratio; NT-proBNP: N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; SBP: Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; TBIL: Total bilirubin; WBC: White blood cell; Y-GT: Y-glutamyl transferase.

Table 4 Pearson correlation analysis between red blood cell distribution width and each index							
		Child-Pugh score	MELD score	NT-proBNP			
RDW	<i>r</i> value	0.642	0.592	0.715			
	<i>P</i> value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			

MELD score: Model for End-Stage Liver Disease score; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width.

Table 5 Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of key indexes among groups								
Variable	AUC (95%CI)	SE	Р	Critical value	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden index	
RDW	0.686 (0.624, 0.748)	0.032	< 0.001	13.05	56.0%	71.4%	0.274	
NTproBNP	0.556 (0.490, 0.623)	0.034	0.114	95.50	28.0%	89.5%	0.175	

AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width.



Baishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Correlation. A: Correlation between red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and Child-Pugh scores; B: Correlation between RDW and Model for End-Stage Liver Disease scores; C: Correlation between RDW and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide. RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; NT-proBNP: Nterminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

were positively correlated with different slopes. The ROC curve analysis on this basis (Figure 2, Table 5) revealed that for mild cases of cirrhosis, the possibility of cardiomyopathy increased (sensitivity 56.0%, specificity 71.4%) when RDW was greater than 13.05%, while the change of NT-proBNP was not significant (P = 0.114). Taken together, these findings suggest that compared with NT-proBNP, RDW can serve as a more sensitive indicator for the early stage of CCM.

The above finding may be accounted for with the following explanations. First, cirrhosis is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by gradual necrosis of hepatocytes, which causes a systemic inflammatory response, a slow but irreversible decline in liver function, and the development of portal hypertension[53]. On the one hand, the pathological function of the liver can increase anisocytosis and reduce erythrocyte deformability and oxygen-carrying capacity, which may further result in reduced peripheral and myocardial tissue oxygenation, contributing to HF. On the other hand, inflammatory cytokines that are closely related to cirrhosis also play an important role in the pathogenesis of HF[54], which may affect the maturation and speed of erythrocytes. Moreover, the entry of younger and larger reticulocytes into the peripheral circulation may be potential reasons for increasing RDW[50]. Still, inflammation may impair the bone marrow function, resulting in the release of premature senescent erythrocytes into the circulation and RDW increase[28,51]. Malnutrition, a common complication of liver disease, has been shown to be involved in RDW increase in HF[51]. Finally, portal hypertension due to cirrhosis can cause hemodynamic abnormalities and hypersplenism, thus accelerating the changes in erythrocyte morphology, which is inextricably linked to LVDD[4]. However, the exact mechanism awaits further exploration.

aishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i15.2322 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Sensitivity and specificity of red blood cell distribution width and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide assesserd by receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

# CONCLUSION

Compared with NT-proBNP, RDW displays a higher sensitivity in the prediction of LVDD in CCM, especially in forepart hepetocirrhosis. A significant risk of CCM may be indicated if the quantitative RDW value of more than 13.05% is reported.

# **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) was originally derived from studies of perioperative heart failure (HF) in liver transplant patients. In recent years, more and more researchers have found that not only patients undergoing liver transplantation, but also many patients diagnosed with cirrhosis will have cardiac insufficiency without other organic heart disease. CCM was often found in advanced cirrhosis.

#### **Research motivation**

At present, exact diagnostic criteria of echocardiography have been established for CCM. However, in most Chinese hospitals, due to high cost, echocardiography is not a good screening method for cases without clinical manifestations of HF. We are trying to find a proper method to predict CCM in order to achieve early detection and treatment.

#### **Research objectives**

To explore suitable biomarkers for early CCM prediction.

#### **Research methods**

We adopted the methods of data analysis. Under the premise of clear diagnostic criteria for CCM, risk factors were screened by multivariate regression analysis, and red blood cell distribution width (RDW), Child-Pugh classification, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were analyzed by linear regression, and finally ROC curve analysis was performed to determine the critical value.

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

#### **Research results**

The possibility of cardiomyopathy increased (sensitivity 56.0%, specificity 71.4%) when RDW was greater than 13.05%, while the change of NT-proBNP was not significant (P = 0.114). Taken together, these findings suggest that compared with NT-proBNP, RDW can serve as a more sensitive indicator for the early stage of CCM.

#### Research conclusions

RDW can serve as an effective and accessible clinical indicator for the prediction of diastolic dysfunction in CCM, in which a numerical value of more than 13.05% may indicate an increasing CCM risk.

#### Research perspectives

First, large-scale and multi-center studies are needed to reduce the deviation error. Second, continuous hemodynamic monitoring is necessary to further analyze the hemodynamic changes in early cirrhosis.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the medical and technical staff of the departments of gastroenterology and cardiology who participated in this program.

# FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Guo YZ contributed to study conception and design; Chen YL contributed to data collection; Zhao ZW and Li SM contributed to data analysis; Chen YL contributed to first draft writing; Guo YZ contributed to paper review and editing.

Supported by the Fujian Provincial Education and Scientific Research Project, No. JAT200121; and Fujian Provincial Health Technology Project, No. 2021QNA021.

Institutional review board statement: All procedures involving animals were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Fujian Medical University Union Hospital (Approval No. 2022KY176).

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

Data sharing statement: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: China

**ORCID number:** Yong-Zhe Guo 0000-0003-0414-3925.

S-Editor: Chen YL L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Chen YL

#### REFERENCES

- Stundiene I, Sarnelvte J, Norkute A, Aidietiene S, Liakina V, Masalaite L, Valantinas J, Liver cirrhosis and left ventricle diastolic dysfunction: Systematic review. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25: 4779-4795 [PMID: 31528101 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v25.i32.4779]
- Møller S, Bernardi M. Interactions of the heart and the liver. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 2804-2811 [PMID: 23853073 DOI: 2 10.1093/eurheartj/eht246]
- Chahal D, Liu H, Shamatutu C, Sidhu H, Lee SS, Marquez V. Review article: comprehensive analysis of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2021; 53: 985-998 [PMID: 33689169 DOI: 10.1111/apt.16305]



- Ruíz-del-Árbol L, Achécar L, Serradilla R, Rodríguez-Gandía MÁ, Rivero M, Garrido E, Natcher JJ. Diastolic 4 dysfunction is a predictor of poor outcomes in patients with cirrhosis, portal hypertension, and a normal creatinine. Hepatology 2013; 58: 1732-1741 [PMID: 23703953 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26509]
- 5 Cholongitas E, Goulis I, Pagourelias E, Birtsou C, Ioannidou M, Chalevas P, Soulaidopoulos S, Vassilikos V, Akriviadis E. Diastolic dysfunction is associated with low urinary sodium excretion in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Ann Hepatol 2016; 15: 545-751 [PMID: 27493103 DOI: 10.5604/16652681.1212534]
- Karagiannakis DS, Vlachogiannakos J, Anastasiadis G, Vafiadis-Zouboulis I, Ladas SD. Diastolic cardiac dysfunction is 6 a predictor of dismal prognosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatol Int 2014; 8: 588-594 [PMID: 26202764 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-014-9544-6
- Izzy M, VanWagner LB, Lin G, Altieri M, Findlay JY, Oh JK, Watt KD, Lee SS; Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy Consortium. Redefining Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy for the Modern Era. Hepatology 2020; 71: 334-345 [PMID: 31342529 DOI: 10.1002/hep.30875]
- Møller S, Lee SS. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 958-960 [PMID: 29716752 DOI: 8 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.01.006]
- 0 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 406-460 [PMID: 29653741 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.024]
- Singh AJ, Wyawahare M, Sarin K, Rajendiran S, Subrahmanyam DKS, Satheesh S. Association of N- terminal Pro Brain 10 Natriuretic Peptide with Echocardiographic Measures of Diastolic Dysfunction in Cirrhosis. Adv Biomed Res 2020; 9: 55 [PMID: 33457338 DOI: 10.4103/abr.abr\_250\_19]
- Su JL, Zhang SG, Gao RJ, Han QF, Wang LH, Zhou YH, Li T, Yao HC. Red cell distribution width is a predictor of 11 mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2016; 212: 79-81 [PMID: 27035607 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.03.064]
- Senthong V, Hudec T, Neale S, Wu Y, Hazen SL, Tang WH. Relation of Red Cell Distribution Width to Left Ventricular 12 End-Diastolic Pressure and Mortality in Patients With and Without Heart Failure. Am J Cardiol 2017; 119: 1421-1427 [PMID: 28285713 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.01.036]
- Eroglu E, Kilicgedik A, Kahveci G, Bakal RB, Kirma C. Red cell distribution width and its relationship with global 13 longitudinal strain in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a study using two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography. Kardiol Pol 2018; 76: 580-585 [PMID: 29297194 DOI: 10.5603/KP.a2017.0256]
- 14 Fang S, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Jiang F, Yang K, He F, Zhang C. Predictive value of left ventricular myocardial strain by fourdimensional speckle tracking echocardiography combined with red cell distribution width in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Echocardiography 2019; 36: 1074-1083 [PMID: 31162738 DOI: 10.1111/echo.14373]
- Meng J, Xu H, Liu X, Wu R, Niu J. Increased red cell width distribution to lymphocyte ratio is a predictor of histologic 15 severity in primary biliary cholangitis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018; 97: e13431 [PMID: 30508955 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000013431
- Wang J, Huang R, Yan X, Li M, Chen Y, Xia J, Liu Y, Jia B, Zhu L, Zhang Z, Zhu C, Wu C. Red blood cell distribution 16 width: A promising index for evaluating the severity and long-term prognosis of hepatitis B virus-related diseases. Dig Liver Dis 2020; 52: 440-446 [PMID: 32008975 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2019.12.144]
- Li X, Xu H, Gao P. Red Blood Cell Distribution Width-to-Platelet Ratio and Other Laboratory Indices Associated with 17 Severity of Histological Hepatic Fibrosis in Patients with Autoimmune Hepatitis: A Retrospective Study at a Single Center. Med Sci Monit 2020; 26: e927946 [PMID: 33180750 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.927946]
- Ustaoglu M, Aktas G, Avcioglu U, Bas B, Bahceci BK. Elevated platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width are associated with autoimmune liver diseases. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 33: e905-e908 [PMID: 34643621 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002296
- Turcato G, Campagnaro T, Bonora A, Vignola N, Salvagno GL, Cervellin G, Ricci G, Maccagnani A, Lippi G. Red blood 19 cell distribution width independently predicts 1-month mortality in acute decompensation of cirrhotic patients admitted to emergency department. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 30: 33-38 [PMID: 29064853 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.000000000000993]
- 20 Danese E, Lippi G, Montagnana M. Red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular diseases. J Thorac Dis 2015; 7: E402-E411 [PMID: 26623117 DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.10.04]
- 21 Aktas G, Alcelik A, Tekce BK, Tekelioglu V, Sit M, Savli H. Red cell distribution width and mean platelet volume in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Prz Gastroenterol 2014; 9: 160-163 [PMID: 25097713 DOI: 10.5114/pg.2014.43578]
- Aktas G, Sit M, Dikbas O, Tekce BK, Savli H, Tekce H, Alcelik A. Could red cell distribution width be a marker in 22 Hashimoto's thyroiditis? Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2014; 122: 572-574 [PMID: 25380549 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1383564
- Cakır L, Aktas G, Mercimek OB, Enginyurt O, Kaya Y, Mercimek K. Are Red Cell Distribution Width and Mean Platelet 23 Volume associated with Rheumatoid Arthritis? Bio Res 2016; 27: 292-294 [DOI: 10.9734/bjmmr/2014/12040]
- Dagistan Y, Dagistan E, Gezici AR, Halicioglu S, Akar S, Özkan N, Gulali A. Could red cell distribution width and mean 24 platelet volume be a predictor for lumbar disc hernias? Ideggyogy Sz 2016; 69: 411-414 [PMID: 29733559 DOI: 10.18071/isz.69.0411
- Aktas G, Sit M, Karagoz I, Erkus E, Ozer B, Kocak MZ, Yaman S, Keyif F, Altinordu R, Erkol H, Savli H. Could Red 25 Cell Distribution Width be a Marker of Thyroid Cancer? J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2017; 27: 556-558 [PMID: 29017671]
- Sousa Filho LF, Santos MMB, da Silva Júnior WM. COVID-19 pandemic information on Brazilian websites: credibility, 26 coverage, and agreement with World Health Organization. Quality of COVID-19 online information in Brazil. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2021; 67 Suppl 1: 57-62 [PMID: 34259766 DOI: 10.1590/1806-9282.67.Suppl1.20200721]
- Yoshiji H, Nagoshi S, Akahane T, Asaoka Y, Ueno Y, Ogawa K, Kawaguchi T, Kurosaki M, Sakaida I, Shimizu M, 27 Taniai M, Terai S, Nishikawa H, Hiasa Y, Hidaka H, Miwa H, Chayama K, Enomoto N, Shimosegawa T, Takehara T, Koike K. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for Liver Cirrhosis 2020. J Gastroenterol 2021; 56: 593-619 [PMID:



#### 34231046 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-021-01788-x]

- Hullin R, Barras N, Abdurashidova T, Monney P, Regamey J. Red cell distribution width and prognosis in acute heart 28 failure: ready for prime time! Intern Emerg Med 2019; 14: 195-197 [PMID: 30547345 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-018-1995-7]
- Ratnasekera IU, Johnson A, Powell EE, Henderson A, Irvine KM, Valery PC. Epidemiology of ascites fluid infections in 29 patients with cirrhosis in Queensland, Australia from 2008 to 2017: A population-based study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2022; 101: e29217 [PMID: 35608422 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000029217]
- Salerno F, Guevara M, Bernardi M, Moreau R, Wong F, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Lee SS. Refractory ascites: 30 pathogenesis, definition and therapy of a severe complication in patients with cirrhosis. Liver Int 2010; 30: 937-947 [PMID: 20492521 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2010.02272.x]
- Vilstrup H, Amodio P, Bajaj J, Cordoba J, Ferenci P, Mullen KD, Weissenborn K, Wong P. Hepatic encephalopathy in 31 chronic liver disease: 2014 Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the European Association for the Study of the Liver. Hepatology 2014; 60: 715-735 [PMID: 25042402 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27210
- Premkumar M, Devurgowda D, Vyas T, Shasthry SM, Khumuckham JS, Goyal R, Thomas SS, Kumar G. Left 32 Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction is Associated with Renal Dysfunction, Poor Survival and Low Health Related Quality of Life in Cirrhosis. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2019; 9: 324-333 [PMID: 31360025 DOI: 10.1016/j.jceh.2018.08.008]
- Razpotnik M, Bota S, Wimmer P, Hackl M. Factors associated with the presence of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy defined 33 according to the new multidisciplinary diagnostic criteria J Hepatol 2020; 73: S739-S740 [DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(20)31929-2
- Wiese S, Hove JD, Bendtsen F, Møller S. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy: pathogenesis and clinical relevance. Nat Rev 34 Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 11: 177-186 [PMID: 24217347 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.210]
- Wong F. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy. Hepatol Int 2009; 3: 294-304 [PMID: 19669380 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-008-9109-7] 35
- Koshy AN, Farouque O, Cailes B, Testro A, Ramchand J, Sajeev JK, Han HC, Srivastava PM, Jones EF, Salehi H, Teh 36 AW, Lim HS, Calafiore P, Gow PJ. Impaired Cardiac Reserve on Dobutamine Stress Echocardiography Predicts the Development of Hepatorenal Syndrome. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115: 388-397 [PMID: 31738284 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.000000000000462
- 37 Lee RF, Glenn TK, Lee SS. Cardiac dysfunction in cirrhosis. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2007; 21: 125-140 [PMID: 17223501 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2006.06.003]
- Møller S, Henriksen JH. Cardiovascular dysfunction in cirrhosis. Pathophysiological evidence of a cirrhotic 38 cardiomyopathy. Scand J Gastroenterol 2001; 36: 785-794 [PMID: 11495071 DOI: 10.1080/003655201750313289]
- 39 Suzuki S, Sugiyama S. The Molar Ratio of N-terminal pro-B-type Natriuretic Peptide/B-type Natriuretic Peptide for Heart Failure-related Events in Stable Outpatients with Cardiovascular Risk Factors. Intern Med 2018; 57: 2621-2630 [PMID: 29709934 DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.0471-17]
- Ibrahim NE, Januzzi JL Jr. Established and Emerging Roles of Biomarkers in Heart Failure. Circ Res 2018; 123: 614-629 40 [PMID: 30355136 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.312706]
- 41 Liang L, Huang L, Zhao X, Zhao L, Tian P, Huang B, Feng J, Zhang J, Zhang Y. Prognostic value of RDW alone and in combination with NT-proBNP in patients with heart failure. Clin Cardiol 2022; 45: 802-813 [PMID: 35621296 DOI: 10.1002/clc.23850]
- Januzzi JL Jr, Myhre PL. The Challenges of NT-proBNP Testing in HFpEF: Shooting Arrows in the Wind. JACC Heart 42 Fail 2020; 8: 382-385 [PMID: 32241618 DOI: 10.1016/j.jchf.2020.03.003]
- Turcato G, Cervellin G, Bonora A, Prati D, Zorzi E, Ricci G, Salvagno GL, Maccagnani A, Lippi G. Red Blood Cell 43 Distribution Width Improves Reclassification of Patients Admitted to the Emergency Department with Acute Decompensated Heart Failure. J Med Biochem 2018; 37: 299-306 [PMID: 30598626 DOI: 10.1515/jomb-2017-0054]
- Szygula-Jurkiewicz B, Szczurek W, Skrzypek M, Nadziakiewicz P, Siedlecki L, Zakliczynski M, Gasior M, Zembala M. 44 Red Blood Cell Distribution Width in End-Stage Heart Failure Patients Is Independently Associated With All-Cause Mortality After Orthotopic Heart Transplantation. Transplant Proc 2018; 50: 2095-2099 [PMID: 30177116 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.02.141]
- Gromadziński L, Januszko-Giergielewicz B, Pruszczyk P. Red cell distribution width is an independent factor for left 45 ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. Clin Exp Nephrol 2015; 19: 616-625 [PMID: 25248504 DOI: 10.1007/s10157-014-1033-7]
- Xu WS, Qiu XM, Ou QS, Liu C, Lin JP, Chen HJ, Lin S, Wang WH, Lin SR, Chen J. Red blood cell distribution width 46 levels correlate with liver fibrosis and inflammation: a noninvasive serum marker panel to predict the severity of fibrosis and inflammation in patients with hepatitis B. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015; 94: e612 [PMID: 25761184 DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000000612]
- Lee HW, Kang W, Kim BK, Kim SU, Park JY, Kim DY, Ahn SH, Park YN, Han KH. Red cell volume distribution width-47 to-platelet ratio in assessment of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Liver Int 2016; 36: 24-30 [PMID: 25966326 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12868]
- Fan X, Deng H, Wang X, Fu S, Liu Z, Sang J, Zhang X, Li N, Han Q. Association of red blood cell distribution width 48 with severity of hepatitis B virus-related liver diseases. Clin Chim Acta 2018; 482: 155-160 [PMID: 29627486 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2018.04.002]
- 49 Lou Y, Wang M, Mao W. Clinical usefulness of measuring red blood cell distribution width in patients with hepatitis B. PLoS One 2012; 7: e37644 [PMID: 22649548 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037644]
- Yang W, Huang H, Wang Y, Yu X, Yang Z. High red blood cell distribution width is closely associated with nonalcoholic 50 fatty liver disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 26: 174-178 [PMID: 24025980 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e328365c403
- Xanthopoulos A, Giamouzis G, Dimos A, Skoularigki E, Starling RC, Skoularigis J, Triposkiadis F. Red Blood Cell 51 Distribution Width in Heart Failure: Pathophysiology, Prognostic Role, Controversies and Dilemmas. J Clin Med 2022; 11 [PMID: 35407558 DOI: 10.3390/icm11071951]
- Yunita M, Hariman H. Comparison of Red Cell Distribution Width (RDW) Value in Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) 52



Patients with Normal Persons. Int J Res Rev 2021; 8: 27-30 [DOI: 10.52403/ijrr.20210806]

- Mehta G, Gustot T, Mookerjee RP, Garcia-Pagan JC, Fallon MB, Shah VH, Moreau R, Jalan R. Inflammation and portal 53 hypertension - the undiscovered country. J Hepatol 2014; 61: 155-163 [PMID: 24657399 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.03.014]
- Allen LA, Felker GM, Mehra MR, Chiong JR, Dunlap SH, Ghali JK, Lenihan DJ, Oren RM, Wagoner LE, Schwartz TA, 54 Adams KF Jr. Validation and potential mechanisms of red cell distribution width as a prognostic marker in heart failure. J Card Fail 2010; 16: 230-238 [PMID: 20206898 DOI: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2009.11.003]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

