

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 81118

**Title:** Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05630740

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-10-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-11-01 02:55

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-11-09 06:08

**Review time:** 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer's Comments RE: Manuscript#81118 Please take serious consideration to the following comments as it will determine whether the manuscript will be given final consideration for journal publication. [General] The study's title, abstract, key words, and introduction sections are all coherent and acceptable. However, it would be preferred that authors state the actual study design from the get-go, as it appears to be rather vague right from the get-go. [Hypothesis] It is preferable that authors clearly indicate by specifically conveying what their aim is by using the vernacular "hypothesis." This communicates to the reader what they aim to accomplish; consider rephrasing the sentence in your thesis statement. As it is conveyed in your manuscript—due to the wording—one can't help wondering whether the aim is to investigate the "quality of care delivery" versus merely the "impressions of providers" which presumably influence the actual delivery of care to this noncompliant patient population. Please consider restructuring the hypothesis statement. [Written Work] The overall quality of this manuscript is solid with respect to organization and



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

presentation in its pose throughout. However, there are a handful of spelling, grammar, and misnomer words; these are highlighted in “red” for authors to review and consider (see attached version of your original manuscript). I suggest correcting these areas appropriately to polish up the work worthy of publication in the journal. - Capitalized “I” □ “internal medicine department” or “the department of Internal Medicine” - The conclusion is verbose; usually the conclusion is the ‘key point’ or ‘take home message’ which should be iterated in 1 or 2 sentences. Consider moving the other thoughts and formulate a final paragraph to be included under the discussion section and modify accordingly. [Limitations & Future Direction] Potential reporting and selection biases from participants by use of questionnaire tools in the study were reported by authors as limitations. Please consider how might you address these concerns in terms of future research direction to better substantiate your findings. [STROBE Statement] Authors have NOT indicated on the statement checklist where precisely the required information has been reported/written in the prose of the manuscript. Please indicate accordingly by using 1) page and/or 2) line numbers (to indicate precise location) in sequence and indicate precisely on the accompanying STROBE checklist. Example: “Title and abstract” □ Pg.1, Lines “x” thru “y” [IRB approval & Consent forms] Signed consent form and IRB approval documentation (report just #) are in a foreign language; if journal require that all supporting documents MUST be in English, then authors need to rectify this situation. Furthermore, authors are only required to provide the reference number indicated in the IRB document. I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision. [Certificate of Non-native speakers of English] Authors only provided a statement which has been signed by a co-author; if journal requires an official documentation by way of a certificate issued showing proof that the manuscript was indeed reviewed by an officially licensed certification professional. I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Again, I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 81118

**Title:** Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05628603

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-10-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-11-18 07:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-11-29 10:39

**Review time:** 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The author has a good idea, but the content of the preface still needs further explanation, and its importance and necessity are not fully demonstrated; 2. It is recommended to add the results of meta analysis to make the article more full and readable; 3. For the discussion part, the author also needs to add appropriate content, and the discussion part has too little content.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 81118

**Title:** Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05628603

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-10-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Han Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-12-15 07:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-12-15 07:48

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

no other comments, the author has responded to my question point by point.