

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81226

**Title:** Immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy: Two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06498694 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-02 19:11

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-10 09:38

**Review time:** 7 Days and 14 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper discussing immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy (IMNM) consists of two parts: the review of literature which is discussed in the discussion part and the case presentation. Although IMNM is an up-to-date scientific topic, especially in recent years. However, there are multiple review publications on this topic recently (PMID: (34422547), (33561827), (34798316), (33093664)). hence there is a site of concern about the novelty of this paper. However, case base review of the literature was well done and made this article distinct. Also as the authors referenced in the discussion there are multiple previous cases with IMNM so there is a need to highlight the differences between the two discussed cases with other cases of IMNM in the discussion or introduction. The conclusion was presented properly and clinically and efficiently. Based on my opinion: Major revision: 1# explain more about the differences between these two cases and the novelty of the review Minor revision: 1# write anti-HMGCR, anti-SRP, and MRI in capital words 2# if following MRI and CK after treatment is available add them to this paper 3# add the dosages and drugs for hormone shock therapy 4# page 5 lines 32-38: try to explain this paragraph more clearly 5# page 5 line



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

14 add parenthesis before MAC 6# mention time more exclusively in the history of the present illness. Three months before what if you mean hospitalization please add this explanation after "ago"s