

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 81386

Title: Associate factors for ESD operation time and postoperative delayed hemorrhage of early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06078954

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 11:15

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the influencing factors associated with ESD operation time and postoperative delayed hemorrhage, and provide the knowledge for early planning, early identification, and complications prevention. This study is well designed, and performed. The manuscript is well written. The sample size is enough, total 520 patients with early gastric cancer treated with EGC were included in this study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are clearly described. The ESD operation process and postoperative treatment are properly listed in detail. Minor comments: 1. There are some minor language polishing, which should be corrected. 2. The equation in the results section ($In = 21.674 + 8.815X_1 + 3.766X_2 + 10.247X_3 + 4.417X_4 + 3.692X_5 + 2.536X_6$) should be checked, "X" should be "×"? 3. The reference numbers in the text should be revised according to the journal's guideline. 4. References list should be edited and updated.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 81386

Title: Associate factors for ESD operation time and postoperative delayed hemorrhage of early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06078928

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 11:16

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [60] No
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESD has been used as the first line treatment for early gastric cancer with the advantages of small invasion, fewer complications, low resection rate and local recurrence rate. However, ESD requires advanced endoscopic equipment and skilled operation practices. The risk of complications of ESD is high, and the operation time is also long. In this study, the authors explored the influencing factors of ESD operation time and postoperative delayed hemorrhage. Overall, the topic of this study is attractive, and the results are interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this study for publication after a minor editing. I have no specific comments to the authors.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 81386

Title: Associate factors for ESD operation time and postoperative delayed hemorrhage of early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06078909

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCA, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Israel

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 11:20

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have no comments to the authors. My recommendation is acceptance.