

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81532

Title: Diabetic wounds and Artificial Intelligence: A mini-review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04770380

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-14 14:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-23 16:41

Review time: 9 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled “Diabetic wounds and AI: A mini-review” focuses on discussion of literature data on the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment efficacy assessment of diabetic wound progression and healing. The topic is of interest to the journal audience. However, there are some concerns, which should be addressed to revise the manuscript before publication. Major concerns: 1. The manuscript is not well-organized and titles of subsections do not properly correspond to their content. For example, in the subsections “Impact of ai in diabetic wounds” and “Impact of ai in diabetic wounds” the authors discussed the principles of AI technologies. It is recommended to discuss this in a special subsection. 2. Literature data on diabetic wound characterization and image analysis with the use of AI should be discussed in more details. It would be useful if the authors discuss in short, the pathophysiology and clinical characteristics of diabetic wound progression. Additionally, it is recommended to discuss the phenomena of “loss of protective



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

sensation (LOPS)" and "diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs)". See, for discussion, doi: 10.1111/iwj.13603, doi: 10.1016/j.compbio.2021.104596, and doi: 10.1021/acsami.2c08994, and other papers. Other concerns: 3. Tare many repeated and general statements such as "In recent years, AI has also a noticeable impact on diabetic wound care", "Technology has evolved swiftly in last few decades casing astronomy to entertainment fields", etc. 4. In the Introduction, the authors stated "This research is mainly focused on external diabetic wounds" – what was a rationale for that? 5. In Title, the abbreviation AI is used, however, in the Abstract, Introduction, and in the entire text, the authors do not use this abbreviation, multiple time exploiting the term "artificial intelligence". 6. English language grammar requires checking and corrections.; there are many mistakes such as "This manuscript analyze" (it should be "analyzes"), "One of the significant potential contribution" (it should be "contributions"), "As diabetic wounds comes' (it should be "come"), etc.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81532

Title: Diabetic wounds and Artificial Intelligence: A mini-review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06311365

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Dotor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-15 12:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-24 17:06

Review time: 9 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods?

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [60] No
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript involves studies starting from AI in medical field and then in diabetic wounds. The literature review is limited however, author(s) might find many studies regarding diabetes and AI. My suggestion is to revise the overall literature review based on diabetes (not the whole healthcare) and then diabetic wounds and AI.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81532

Title: Diabetic wounds and Artificial Intelligence: A mini-review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04770380

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-15 14:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-15 15:06

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have properly addressed all my recommendations.