

Dear Editor:

Re: Manuscript "Primary seminal vesicle adenocarcinoma with a history of seminal vesicle cyst: Case report and review of the literature".

Your comments and those of the reviewers were highly insightful, and enabled us to greatly improve the quality of our manuscript. In the following pages are our point-by-point responses to each of the comments of the reviewers.

Revisions in the manuscript are highlighted in red. We hope that the revisions in the manuscript and our accompanying responses will be sufficient to make our manuscript suitable for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases.

We shall look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,

Guiming Zhang

Zhangguiming9@126.com

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #1:

1. in case report-if possible, kindly provide IHC image of CK 20

Response:

We thank the reviewer for the insightful comments. We have added IHC image of CK 20. (page 13, line 1)

Reviewer #2:

Well written manuscript

Response:

We are very grateful for the reviewer's valuable comments. I will try my best to make the article more refined and perfect. If the reviewer has any other suggestions, you can contact us at any time. Thank you very much for your valuable advice.

Reviewer #3:

The case is not interesting, except that it is rare and performed with robotic surgery. The presentation is well done. It can be a transfer of experience to young clinicians.

Response:

We are very grateful for the reviewer's valuable recognition of this case report. In the future, we will promote the use of robotic surgery and educate young surgeons more about robotic surgery for seminal vesicle adenocarcinoma.

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Response:

Thank very much for the positive feedback about the manuscript.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing

requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s). Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Response:

Thank very much for the positive feedback about the manuscript.