

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82090

Title: Misdiagnosis of food-borne foreign bodies outside of the digestive tract on magnetic resonance imaging: report of two cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03069247

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Arab Emirates

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-07 14:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-11 09:20

Review time: 3 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presenting two cases of misdiagnosed food-borne foreign bodies outside of the digestive tract on magnetic resonance imaging. 1. What was the indication for MRI in both cases and why CT scan was not chosen? 2. Legends for Fig 2 must describe what is A and B images and what arrows show in both. 3. No need for Table 1 because the information present in the text

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82090

Title: Misdiagnosis of food-borne foreign bodies outside of the digestive tract on magnetic resonance imaging: report of two cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03262127

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-09 16:50

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-16 14:32

Review time: 6 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Misdiagnosis of food-borne foreign bodies outside of the digestive tract on magnetic resonance imaging: two cases (Title) - "report of two cases" is better. This imaging examination showed a hypermetabolic mass in the left inner lobe of the liver (Case 1) - description of a liver segment seems to be better. Routine blood examination showed a white blood cell count of 4.75×10^9 g/L, neutrophil ratio of 0.620, and lymphocyte ratio of 0.261 (Case 2) - this sentence is unnecessary. It can be removed. and (3) it is rare for foreign bodies to completely penetrats ethe gastrointestinal tract (Page 5) - a grammar mistake. To be corrected. Obtaning the history of the patient's living and eating habits (Page 6) - obtaining is right. There are a number of space and capitalization errors in the text. To be corrected. References: the References must be formatted in strict accordance with the Instructions for Authors!!! The design of Reference section in this article is absolutely contrary to these Instructions. This section needs to be completely revised.