

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for allowing us to revise our manuscript (Manuscript ID: 82208) entitled "Endoscopic transluminal drainage and necrosectomy for infected necrotizing pancreatitis: progress and challenges". We greatly appreciate the editors' and reviewers' comments and suggestions. These comments and suggestions are valuable for improving our manuscript. All authors approve the revised manuscript for publication.

Response to the reviewer's comments was as follows:

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment: This review article on Endoscopic transluminal drainage and necrosectomy for infected necrotizing pancreatitis is interesting. There are 8 review articles available in the pubmed database. I would appreciate your work. This work is technically sound. Authors have reached definite outcomes. The title reflects the main focus of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. A core tip is given which justifies the need for this work. The manuscript describes the background, present status, and significance of the work. The manuscript summarizes the research trends adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically. This work has been tailored with available literature. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well, concisely, and coherently organized and presented and the style, language, and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. I appreciate your work which would be greatly useful in the mangement of infected necrotizing pancreatitis.

Response: Thank you for your kind comments and encouragement.

Reviewer #2:

1. Response to comment: Please summarize the characteristics of endoscopic procedures and stents as a table for readers.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. Two tables have been added as suggested.

2. Response to comment: There are many typos. Please leave a space between words.

Response: Thank you for your kind reminder. After comparison, we have found that these abnormal spaces may be an accident that occurs when the domain code is automatically deleted by the reference management software before submission. These typos and spaces have been corrected.

Response to the editorial comments was as follows:

Company editor-in-chief

Response to comment: I recommend the manuscript to be published in the *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure (medical imaging) to the manuscript. There are no restrictions on the figures (color, B/W). Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

1. Two tables have been added as requested.
2. The Reference Citation Analysis (RCA) has been mentioned in the last sentence of the Introduction part of the first submission. "By comprehensively performing an electronic literature search of Medline/PubMed, Embase, Reference Citation Analysis (RCA) databases, and Web of Science databases from inception to November 30, 2022, we have reviewed published articles and guidelines to present the progress and challenges of endoscopic transluminal drainage and necrosectomy for patients with INP."
3. Thank you for recommending this manuscript to be published in the *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*. We have been informed that this manuscript will be transferred to another journal, and we feel disappointed. Meanwhile, we would like to apply to transfer this manuscript to the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We have attached the documents and added tables to respond to comments from reviewers and editors. We hope to receive your approval.

Once again, we would like to express our great appreciation to the editors and reviewers for their comments and suggestions, and we hope the revised manuscript will meet with approval. We sincerely appreciate your kind consideration of our manuscript, and we look forward to hearing from you at your convenience.

Best regards,

Jian Yang