World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2023 May 27; 15(5): 585-724





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Hepatology

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 5 May 27, 2023

REVIEW

585	Current and novel modalities for management of chronic hepatitis B infection
	Salama II, Sami SM, Salama SI, Abdel-Latif GA, Shaaban FA, Fouad WA, Abdelmohsen AM, Raslan HM

609 Noninvasive biomarkers in pediatric nonalcoholic fatty liver disease Jayasekera D, Hartmann P

MINIREVIEWS

- 641 Hypothyroidism and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A coincidence or a causal relationship? Janota B, Szczepańska E, Adamek B, Janczewska E
- 649 Sarcopenia in chronic viral hepatitis: From concept to clinical relevance Coelho MPP, de Castro PASV, de Vries TP, Colosimo EA, Bezerra JMT, Rocha GA, Silva LD
- 666 Fatty liver and celiac disease: Why worry? Narciso-Schiavon JL, Schiavon LL
- Current guidelines for diagnosis and management of hepatic involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic 675 teleangiectasia

Ielasi L, Tonnini M, Piscaglia F, Serio I

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical Trials Study

688 Respiratory muscle training with electronic devices in the postoperative period of hepatectomy: A randomized study

Pereira MG, Silva AMO, Galhardo FDM, Almeida BDM, Lopes RL, Boin IFSF

Observational Study

699 Liver steatosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate is associated with body mass index

Castiella A, Lopez-Dominguez L, Sanchez-Iturri MJ, Urreta I, De Diego A, Belzunegui J, Zapata E

CASE REPORT

707 Giant cavernous hemangioma of the liver with satellite nodules: Aspects on tumour/tissue interface: A case report

Fischer AK, Beckurts KTE, Büttner R, Drebber U

715 Cerebrospinal fluid liver pseudocyst: A bizarre long-term complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A case report

Yousaf MN, Naqvi HA, Kane S, Chaudhary FS, Hawksworth J, Nayar VV, Faust TW



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 5 May 27, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Hepatology, Maria Jesus Citores, BSc, PhD, Research Scientist, Teaching Assistant, Laboratory of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda, Majadahonda 28222, Madrid, Spain. mariajesus.citores@salud.madrid.org

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Hepatology (WJH, World J Hepatol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJH is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJH as 0.52. The WJH's CiteScore for 2021 is 3.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Hepatology is 42/70.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Xiang Li.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Hepatology	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5182 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of Hepatology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2023 May 27; 15(5): 715-724

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

CASE REPORT

Cerebrospinal fluid liver pseudocyst: A bizarre long-term complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A case report

Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf, Haider A Naqvi, Shriya Kane, Fizah S Chaudhary, Jason Hawksworth, Vikram V Nayar, Thomas W Faust

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Masuda S, Japan; Sarr MG. United States

Received: December 19, 2022 Peer-review started: December 19, 2022 First decision: February 21, 2023 Revised: March 2, 2023 Accepted: April 10, 2023 Article in press: April 10, 2023 Published online: May 27, 2023



Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, United States

Haider A Naqvi, Department of Medicine, MedStar Union Memorial Hospital, Baltimore, MD 21218, United States

Shriya Kane, Department of Surgery, University Iowa School of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States

Fizah S Chaudhary, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, United States

Jason Hawksworth, Department of Surgery, Transplant Hepatology, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC 20007, United States

Vikram V Nayar, Department of Neurosurgery, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC 20007, United States

Thomas W Faust, Department of Medicine, Transplant Hepatology, James D. Eason Transplant Institute, Methodist Le Bonheur Healthcare, Memphis, TN 38104, United States

Corresponding author: Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf, MD, Academic Fellow, Doctor, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, 1 Hospital Dr., Columbia, MO 65212, United States. muhammad.n.yousaf@health.missouri.edu

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt placement has become a standard of care procedure in managing hydrocephalus for drainage and absorption of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) into the peritoneum. Abdominal pseudocysts containing CSF are the common long-term complication of this frequently performed procedure, mainly because VP shunts have significantly prolonged survival. Of these, liver CSF pseudocysts are rare entities that may cause shunt dysfunction, affect normal organ function, and therefore pose therapeutic challenges.

CASE SUMMARY

A 49-year-old man with history of congenital hydrocephalus status post bilateral VP shunt placement presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on



WJH https://www.wjgnet.com

exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a large CSF pseudocyst in the right hepatic lobe with the tip of VP shunt catheter into the hepatic cyst cavity. Patient underwent robotic laparoscopic cyst fenestration with a partial hepatectomy, and repositioning of VP shunt catheter to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. Follow-up CT demonstrated a significant reduction in hepatic CSF pseudocyst.

CONCLUSION

A high index of clinical suspicion is required for early detection of liver CSF pseudocysts since their presentation is often asymptomatic and cunning early in the course. Late-stage liver CSF pseudocysts could have adverse outcomes on the treatment course of hydrocephalus as well as on hepatobiliary dysfunction. There is paucity of data to define the management of liver CSF pseudocyst in current guidelines due to rare nature of this entity. The reported occurrences have been managed by laparotomy with debridement, paracentesis, radiological imaging guided fluid aspiration and laparoscopic-associated cyst fenestration. Robotic surgery is an additional minimally invasive option in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocyst; however, its use is limited by lack of widespread availability and cost of surgery.

Key Words: Pseudocyst; Cerebrospinal fluid; Liver cysts; Ventriculoperitoneal shunt; Laparoscopy; Cyst fenestration; Case report

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Liver cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pseudocysts are a rare subtype of abdominal cysts that are a late complication of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt. The majority of patients are asymptomatic in early stages, however, as the size of the liver CSF pseudocyst increases this may result in ineffective drainage of CSF, thereby aggravating hydrocephalus symptoms. Liver CSF pseudocyst may be confused with other cystic lesions of liver. Early diagnosis and repositioning VP shunt catheter may prevent both neurological and hepatic complications.

Citation: Yousaf MN, Naqvi HA, Kane S, Chaudhary FS, Hawksworth J, Nayar VV, Faust TW. Cerebrospinal fluid liver pseudocyst: A bizarre long-term complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A case report. *World J Hepatol* 2023; 15(5): 715-724

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v15/i5/715.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715

INTRODUCTION

Hydrocephalus is a condition of excess cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) accumulation into the ventricles of the brain causing neurologic manifestations due to pressure effects of expended ventricles on surrounding neural tissues. Placement of shunts to re-direct CSF has become a treatment option that has significantly improved outcomes and survival of patients with congenital hydrocephalus. Conventionally, shunts can drain CSF fluid into the abdominal peritoneal cavity or right atrium. Drainage of CSF into the right atrium could present with pulmonary emboli which is a life-threatening complication[1]. Numerous studies have determined the presence of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunts leading to pulmonary embolism[1]. The primary hypotheses derived from the presence of a foreign body into the heart leading to thrombus formation and CSF creating a procoagulant environment in the heart and lungs. These reasons lead to VP shunts being preferred over right atrial drainage since the peritoneal cavity can absorb fluid efficiently due to larger surface area and better diffusion property of fluids, thus making it a safe and sustainable options for drainage of CSF in the management of hydrocephalus. Generally, due to the increased lifespan of these individuals, complications of the VP shunt are seen later in adulthood. These are associated with abdominal cysts typically at the location of the tip of shunt catheter. A liver CSF pseudocyst is a bizarre long-term complication of this common procedure (VP shunt) that may be confused with other liver cystic lesions. Early diagnosis and repositioning VP shunt catheter may prevent both neurological and hepatic complications.

Zaishideng® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

Patient presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention.

History of present illness

A 49-year-old man with history of intellectual disability due to congenital hydrocephalus status post (s/ p) VP shunt placement on right side at 3 mo and left side at 7 years of age, presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention and bilateral lower extremity swelling associated with pain, erythema, and drainage. Patient denied abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or constipation. Patients VP shunt placement at 3 mo and 7 years of age never required exchange due to malfunction.

History of past illness

His medical history was also significant for gallstone pancreatitis s/p cholecystectomy.

Personal and family history

The patient denied family history of congenital abnormalities or cancers.

Physical examination

On physical examination, vitals were significant for tachycardia (115/min) and elevated blood pressure (151/81 mmHg), while he was afebrile (36.7 °C), saturating 96% on room air and respiratory rate of 18 breaths per min. Physical examination revealed mild abdominal distension, hepatomegaly without abdominal tenderness and bilateral lower extremity edema with mild erythema.

Laboratory examinations

Laboratory work up was significant for mildly elevated glucose 157 mg/dL (65-140 mg/mL), D-dimer 2.20 mcg/mL (0-0.5 mcg/mL) and Hemoglobin A1C 8.5% (4.8%-5.6%). The patient had normal level of aspartate transaminase 27 u/L (0-33 u/L), alanine transaminase 38 u/L (10-49 u/L), total bilirubin 0.5 mg/dL (0.3-1.2 mg/dL), slightly elevated alkaline phosphate 126 u/L (46-116 u/L), and low albumin 3.1 g/dL (3.2-4.8 g/dL).

Imaging examinations

Chest computed tomography (CT) with intravenous contrast was negative for pulmonary embolism however revealed a large 18 cm × 13 cm × 13.5 cm hepatic cyst in the right lobe of liver. A subsequent CT abdomen and pelvis demonstrated a 17.5 cm × 12.6 cm × 12.7 cm cystic lesion in the right hepatic lobe with the tip of VP shunt catheter into the cavity of liver cyst (Figure 1). A head CT was negative for worsening hydrocephalus and confirmed the unchanged position of the intraventricular ends of VP shunt catheters compared to previous radiological imaging of brain (Figure 2A). Shunt series radiographs were also negative for disruption of the VP shunt catheters (Figure 2B-D). A hepatobiliary nuclear scan was unremarkable for biliary leak or sphincter of the Oddi dysfunction (Figure 3).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS


A diagnosis of bilateral lower extremities cellulitis with incidental right hepatic lobe CSF pseudocyst was made.

TREATMENT

Patient underwent robotic laparoscopic liver cyst fenestration with partial hepatectomy and repositioning of VP shunt catheter to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen (Figure 4). During the procedure, intraoperative ultrasound was used to locate the liver cyst and to evaluate the cyst wall thickness. The cyst cavity was opened and clear cyst fluid was drained into the peritoneal cavity. Multiple other fenestrations were done in the thin walls of the cyst to facilitate further drainage. Cyst fluid was not collected for analysis given it was clear cyst fluid, without noticeable pus, blood, or pseudo-membrane in the cyst cavity concerning for infectious etiology. However, cyst wall biopsy was obtained to rule out malignancy. Patient's cellulitis was managed with oral clindamycin 300 mg every 6 h for 7 d. His postoperative recovery was uneventful. There was no evidence of malignancy or parasitic infection on hepatic pseudocyst wall biopsy. The patient was discharged home on postoperative day 2.

Zaishidene® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

Yousaf MN et al. Liver cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst



DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Computed tomography scan of abdomen. A: Transverse view shows a large right hepatic lobe cyst with evidence of tip of right ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter within the cavity of pseudocyst (arrows); B and C: Axial view of hepatic pseudocyst; D: Lateral view of hepatic pseudocyst with ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter in cyst cavity (arrow).

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Follow up abdominal CT scan done 5 wk after hospital discharge showed a significant reduction of right hepatic pseudocyst size 10 cm × 13 cm (Figure 5). On follow up, patient denied abdominal pain, distention/discomfort, and signs of VP shunt malfunction.

DISCUSSION

Abdominal CSF pseudocyst was first reported in 1954 as a complication of VP shunt[2]. The incidence rate of these pseudocysts ranges from 0.25% to 10% [3,4]. However, hepatic CSF pseudocysts are extremely uncommon and only scant number of cases were reported in the medical literature (Table 1) [2-28]. The etiology of liver CSF pseudocysts associated with VP shunts is unknown, however, inflammation triggered with prolonged irritation of hepatic surface and peritoneum due to shunt catheter is the proposed mechanism resulting in pseudocyst formation[4,9,10]. Infective complications of pseudocysts are observed in very few cases and are typically caused by Staphylococcus aureus, followed by S. epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhi, Propionibacterium acnes, Streptococcus faecalis, Acinetobacter sp. and Pseudomonas aeruginosa[4,6,10,18]. The proposed pathogenesis of pseudocyst infection with intestinal and dermal bacteria results from systemic and localized inflammation around VP shunt catheter. Several risk factors such as abdominal trauma, abdominal surgeries, repeated shunt revisions, peritoneal adhesions, ascites and peritonitis predispose to CSF-pseudocyst infection[4,6,10,18].

Patients with small abdominal pseudocysts remain asymptomatic however those with larger pseudocysts commonly present with abdominal pain (63%), abdominal distention (37%), abdominal tenderness (31%) and abdominal mass (29%) on initial presentation[18]. The abdominal pain may be severe and diffuse, with a point of maximal intensity located at the terminal site of catheter, usually in the right or left upper quadrant of abdomen. A subset of patients may also have associated symptoms such as constipation, nausea or vomiting[4]. Since majority of the affected population is pediatric, the presenting symptoms tend to be related to increased intracranial pressure such as lethargy, headaches and altered mental status^[9]. However, these symptoms are infrequent in adults who typically present with pain/pressure related symptoms depending upon location of the cyst or VP shunt catheter tip. The diagnosis is typically made using radiological modalities, however abdominal ultrasound is the initial diagnostic modality [4,5]. Abdominal CT scan is the preferred radiological imaging because it provides



WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Summary table of reported cases of the liver cerebrospinal pseudocysts in patients with ventriculoperitoneal shunts

Ref.	Year	Age	Sex	Cyst location	Etiology of hydrocephalus	Treatment	Follow- up (wk)	Outcome	
Current case	2023	49	М	Intra-axial	Congenital	Robotic laparoscopic pseudocyst fenestration, partial hepatectomy, and a repositioning of VPS	4	Complete resolution of symptoms without any complications	
Achufusi <i>et al</i> [5]	2020	39	F	N/A	Congenital	Laparotomy with pseudocyst drainage followed by removal of VPS and insertion of new VPS		Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence	
Arsanious and Sribnick <mark>[8]</mark>	2019	21	F	N/A	Meningitis	Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage with externalization and reposi- tioning of VPS	6	Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence	
Koide <i>et al</i> [6]	2019	12	М	Extra-axial	Myelomeningocele	US-PCA of pseudocyst with externalization of VPS followed by reinsertion of new VPS	3	Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence	
Bettis <i>et al</i> [7]	2019	56	М	Intra-axial	Trauma	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Canaz et al[9]	2017	34	F	Extra-axial	NPH	Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of VPS	36	Spontaneous resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts	
Canaz et al[9]	2017	25	F	Extra-axial	Meningitis	Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of VPS	24	Pseudocysts were spontan- eously reabsorbed and post o CSF culture showed cyst fluic was sterile	
Tomiyama et al [10]	2014	50	F	N/A	SAH	VPS removal and reinsertion and cyst drainage	8	No recurrence of intraperi- toneal pseudocyst on post- operative abdominal scans and improvement in hydrocephalus on brain CT	
Dabdoub <i>et al</i> [<mark>4</mark>]	2013	40	М	Intra-axial	Trauma	VPS removal and reinsertion and cyst drainage	36	No clinical recurrence of hepatic CSF pseudocysts	
Verma et al[<mark>11</mark>]	2012	35	М	Intra- and extra-axial	Tumor	Pseudocyst aspiration and externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion	3	Complete resolution of cyst o abdominal ultrasound	
Berkmann <i>et al</i> [<mark>13</mark>]	2011	34	F	N/A	Congenital	Laparoscopic shunt removal and new shunt re- insertion	24	No recurrence and no advers events	
Peltier <i>et al</i> [12]	2011	49	М	Extra-axial	Meningitis	Ultrasound guided aspiration and reposi- tioning of shunt	1	Complete resolution of the cyst; no recurrence	
Faraj <i>et al</i> [3]	2011	18	М	Intra-axial	Bacterial meningitis	Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of shunt	N/A	Patient made a full recovery	
Kolić et al[<mark>14</mark>]	2010	30	F	Intra-axial	SAH	Ultrasound guided aspiration and external ventricular drainage	4	Patient died due to sepsis- 1 mo after hepatic CSF pseudocyst drainage	
Aparici-Robles and Molina- Fabrega[<mark>15</mark>]	2008	22-50	F (4), M (2)	Intra-axial	Abscess; tumor; meningitis; and SAH	Laparotomy with pseudocyst drainage and repositioning of shunt		Relapse in 2 out of 6 patients (5-6 mo)	
Banka <i>et al</i> [<mark>17</mark>]	2007	7	М	N/A	Myelomeningocele	Repositioning of shunt			
Kaplan <i>et al</i> [<mark>16</mark>]	2007	10	F	Extra-axial	Tumor	Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of shunt			
Hsieh <i>et al</i> [<mark>18</mark>]	2006	39	М	Extra-axial	Trauma	Externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion		Patient made full recovery ar cysts were fully resolved (1	

Jaisbideng® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

							mo)
Koçak et al[<mark>28</mark>]	2004	15	F	Extra-axial	Tuberculosis meningitis	Ultrasound aspiration and distal end removal followed by new shunt reinsertion	Almost complete resolution of the cyst observed after 1 wk
Chitkara <i>et al</i> [<mark>19</mark>]	2004	5	F	Intra-axial	Noncommunicating	Externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion	Follow up done at 3 mo- patient was entirely asymptomatic and cyst had completely resolved
Kumar <i>et al</i> [20]	1995	23	М	N/A	Meningitis	Ultrasound aspiration and distal end removal	
Engelhard and Miller[<mark>21</mark>]	1992	20	М	N/A	Congenital	Removal of shunt and reinsertion	
Wang and Miller[<mark>22</mark>]	1989	3	М	Extra-axial	Congenital	Pseudocyst resection and distal end revision	N/A
Touho <i>et al</i> [24]	1987	66	М	N/A	Trauma	Repositioning of shunt	
Wolbers <i>et al</i> [23]	1987	29	F	Extra-axial	Tumor	Repositioning of shunt	Patient made full recovery(2 yrs)
Rana et al[25]	1985	12	М	Intra-axial	Tumor	Repositioning of shunt	Complete resolution of cyst in follow up CT scan
Latchaw and Hahn <mark>[26]</mark>	1981	40	М	Intra-axial	Aqueductal stenosis	Drainage of hepatic pseudocyst and reposi- tioning of the shunt	
Fischer and Shillito[27]	1969	3	F	Extra-axial	Aqueductal stenosis	Drainage of hepatic pseudocyst and lumboperi- toneal shunt	Patient did not experience or report any adverse complic- ations (10 mo)


Summary table of reported cases of extra and intra axial hepatic cysts. Data from reports stratified by date published, age of patient, gender, location of cyst, etiology, treatment and follow up outcomes. M: Male; F: Female; VPS: Ventriculoperitoneal shunt; N/A: Not available; US-PCA: Ultrasound guided percutaneous aspiration; CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid; NPH: Normal pressure hydrocephalus; SAH: Subarachnoid hemorrhage; CT: Computed tomography.

information on the characterization of cyst size, location, and position of the VP shunt catheter. It also helps to differentiate other possible etiologies of abdominal pain[4]. Rarely, in adults who present with altered mental status, CT scan of the head may be sought out to evaluate location of proximal end of VP shunt catheter, status of ventricular dilation and to rule out other intracranial abnormalities.

The liver CSF pseudocysts are broadly classified as intra or extra axial[4]. The intra-axial liver pseudocysts are those found within the hepatic parenchyma with the VP shunt tip lodged within the liver. The extra-axial liver pseudocysts are usually located under the hepatic subcapsular space due to penetration of the VP shunt tip in the Glisson's capsule. The symptomatic clinical presentation is seen in the fourth or fifth decade of life[2-28]. The cysts have thus far also shown an equal male/female predominance[2-28]. There was an even distribution of the incidence of intra-axial and extra-axial location[2-28]. The patients in the reported cases had a range of etiologies for their hydrocephalus such as infectious, congenital, traumatic, and mechanical/obstructive leading to the shunt placement[6].

The characteristics and clinical presentation of our case is similar to previously reported cases of intra-axial hepatic CSF pseudocysts. However, duration since VP shunt placement to clinical presentation is relatively longer compared to previously reported cases. This is likely due to lack of predisposing risk factors in our case such as no prior history of abdominal surgery, trauma, peritonitis, ascites and his VP shunt catheter never required revision. Although liver CSF pseudocyst was identified on the CT chest, however, a dedicated CT abdomen pelvis is essential for evaluation of other abdominal cysts/collection and planning for surgical repositioning of VP shunt catheter.

The management of liver CSF pseudocyst is controversial because of rarity of disease[5,7,9]. In asymptomatic individuals wherein the cyst is an incidental finding on abdominal imaging, the consensus is expectant management with routine monitoring with abdominal ultrasound or radiological imaging[9]. The duration of surveillance imaging is unclear in the current literature. It is reasonable to performs ultrasound abdomen in 1-2 year for monitoring of pseudocyst size and planning of further treatment with conservative *vs* surgical approach. In symptomatic patients, management is variable ranging from robotic or laparoscopic repositioning/exchange of VP shunt catheter with or without cyst fenestration and antibiotic therapy[4,10]. Management is patient specific and varies on a case-by-case basis. Typically, a CT-guided biopsy is performed for a diagnostic purpose. If the biopsy reveals an infection, treatment involves antibiotic therapy as well as shunt externalization till the infection clears [4]. The shunt is repositioned during externalization after infection treatment. Depending upon the availability of expertise, the liver CSF pseudocysts are managed with elective laparoscopic excision of



DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Computed tomography scan of head and shunt series radiographs. A: Computed tomography scan of head showing ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt catheters both in right and left lateral ventricles without evidence of ventricular dilation or VP shunt catheter displacement; B: Lateral view of shunt series radiograph showing right and left VP shunt catheter (arrows) without disruption through neck; C: Anterior-posterior view of shunt series radiograph showing intact VP shunt catheter through chest (arrows); D: Abdominal view of shunt series radiograph showing distal ends of right and left VP shunt catheter in the peritoneal cavity (arrows).

> pseudocyst, debridement of cystic wall and/or reposition the VP shunt catheter in the lower quadrant of abdomen. The majority of case studies managed the liver CSF pseudocyst with surgical or ultrasound guided drainage, the VP shunt was removed and/or repositioned along with cyst drainage[4-6,8-10].

> In the present case robotic laparoscopic cyst fenestration with partial hepatectomy and repositioning of VP shunt catheter was performed. Robotic surgery has several advantages over other surgical options such as wide cyst fenestrations could be achieved with robotic ergonomics that provide magnified three-dimensional view and enables access to cysts located in posterosuperior segments of liver[29]. Recurrence rate after robotic fenestration is lower than conventional laparoscopic or other surgical approaches as wristed technology of robotic surgery overcomes limitations of other surgical options [29]. The dual console system of robotic surgery plays an important role in surgical training by enabling direct mentorship to trainee where one click on button swap the control between trainee and mentors. Taken together, robotic surgery assisted cyst fenestration/deroofing is a safe and feasible approach in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocysts. The utility of robotic surgery should be balanced with cost, limited worldwide availability of robotic technology and expertise.


> The pseudocysts that have been documented thus far have had a good prognosis, with near complete or complete resolution of symptoms at follow up appointments that were between 3 to 9 wk[2-28]. In our case there was a significant reduction of cyst size on follow up CT scan of abdomen. Most of the cysts (12 out of 15 case studies) showed near complete or complete resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts with either surgical or ultrasound guided drainage, however clinical characteristics and etiology of cysts are variable with no specific differences in clinical variables (Table 1)[2-28]. Large prospective studies are required to further investigate clinical characteristic of patients who will benefit surgical vs conservative approach for spontaneous resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts. Rare nature of hepatic CSF pseudocyst is predominant roadblock for conducting prospective studies. The physician dependent treatment plan had similar outcomes in both groups of patients, except that the selfabsorbing cysts took longer to resolve (about 9 mo vs immediately after surgical procedure)[9]. The physician dependent treatment plan in managing patients with hepatic CSF pseudocyst is dependent on several factors, including the severity of symptoms, the size and location of the pseudocyst, the patient's overall health, and the physician's expertise to determine, surgical vs non-surgical management. Patients with acute illness, larger size pseudocyst and persistent symptoms despite conservative management, may benefit from surgical approach. The recurrence rate of the liver CSF pseudocysts on short term follow up is low. Only one case study has reported recurrent pseudocyst in 2 out of 6 patients. A fatal outcome due to sepsis was reported in on case study [14].



			é			×.	à.
		é		, e			3
		ę		5	5	5	4
-	-	5	6	6	6	•	0
5	5	10	5	5	5	5	5
5	3 5 3	5	5	5	1	5	5
		5	5	5	5	5	5
		0	. 0				

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Hepatobiliary nuclear scan without evidence of biliary leak or dysfunction of sphincter of oddi.




DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 4 Intraoperative images of hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst. A: A large right hepatic lobe pseudocyst with tip of right ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter within the cyst cavity (arrow); B: A large volume of cerebrospinal fluid can be seen within the cyst cavity (arrow).

CONCLUSION

Liver CSF pseudocysts are a rare subtype of abdominal cysts that are a late complication of VP shunt. The majority of patients are asymptomatic in early stages, though larger liver CSF pseudocyst may result in ineffective drainage of CSF, thereby aggravating hydrocephalus symptoms. In asymptomatic patients with hepatic CSF pseudocysts, it is reasonable to performs ultrasound abdomen in 1-2 year for monitoring of pseudocyst size and planning of further treatment with conservative vs surgical approach. While there is no current consensus on the optimal treatment modality, however, laparoscopic drainage with repositioning of shunt catheter has favorable outcomes. Robotic surgery is an additional minimally invasive option in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocyst; however, its use is limited by lack of widespread availability and cost of surgery.

WJH https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 5 Computed tomography scan of abdomen and pelvis 5 wk after robotic laparoscopic cyst drainage. A: Transverse view of computed tomography (CT) scan shows interval decrease in cyst size (arrow); B: Axial view of CT scan shows interval decrease in cyst size (arrow).

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Yousaf MN, Naqvi HA, Kane S, and Chaudhary FS contributed to manuscript writing, proofreads, table and review of data; Faust TW, Hawksworth J, and Nayar VV contributed to overall supervision of manuscript and review of data; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf 0000-0002-7979-8929; Haider A Naqvi 0000-0001-5722-533X; Shriya Kane 0000-0002-0644-4476; Fizah S Chaudhary 0000-0001-6458-5755; Jason Hawksworth 0000-0001-9432-8258; Vikram V Nayar 0000-0001-9349-4537; Thomas W Faust 0000-0002-3170-8365.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; American College of Gastroenterology; American Gastroenterological Association; and American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

S-Editor: Hu YR L-Editor: A P-Editor: Cai YX

REFERENCES

- Wu D, Guan Z, Xiao L, Li D. Thrombosis associated with ventriculoatrial shunts. Neurosurg Rev 2022; 45: 1111-1122 [PMID: 34647222 DOI: 10.1007/s10143-021-01656-5]
- 2 Harsh GR 3rd. Peritoneal shunt for hydrocephalus, utilizing the fimbria of the fallopian tube for entrance to the peritoneal cavity. J Neurosurg 1954; 11: 284-294 [PMID: 13163727 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1954.11.3.0284]
- Faraj W, Ahmad HH, Mukherji D, Khalife M. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst mimicking hydatid liver disease: a 3 case report. J Med Case Rep 2011; 5: 475 [PMID: 21943017 DOI: 10.1186/1752-1947-5-475]
- Dabdoub CB, Fontoura EA, Santos EA, Romero PC, Diniz CA. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: A rare 4 complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Surg Neurol Int 2013; 4: 162 [PMID: 24523999 DOI: 10.4103/2152-7806.123783]



- Achufusi TGO, Chebaya P, Rawlins S. Abdominal Cerebrospinal Fluid Pseudocyst as a Complication of 5 Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt Placement. Cureus 2020; 12: e9363 [PMID: 32742887 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.9363]
- Koide Y, Osako T, Kameda M, Ihoriya H, Yamamoto H, Fujisaki N, Aokage T, Yumoto T, Date I, Naito H, Nakao A. 6 Huge abdominal cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst following ventriculoperitoneal shunt: a case report. J Med Case Rep 2019; 13: 361 [PMID: 31818327 DOI: 10.1186/s13256-019-2308-0]
- Bettis T, Holsten S, Mitchell A. Conservative Management of a Hepatic CSF Pseudocyst in an Asymptomatic Patient. Am 7 Surg 2019; 85: e389-e391 [PMID: 31560322]
- 8 Arsanious D, Sribnick E. Intrahepatic Cerebrospinal Fluid Pseudocyst: A Case Report and Systematic Review. World Neurosurg 2019; 125: 111-116 [PMID: 30721775 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.01.150]
- 9 Canaz G, Emel E, Baydin S, Ulukent SC, Ozgunduz Y, Cevik OM. Safe surgical approach to extrahepatic pseudocyst, a rare shunt complication: 2 case reports. Chin Neurosurg J 2017; 3: 36 [DOI: 10.1186/s41016-017-0101-8]
- Tomiyama A, Harashina J, Kimura H, Ito K, Honda Y, Yanai H, Iwabuchi S. An Intra-Abdominal Pseudocyst around a 10 Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt due to Streptococcus Infection 7 Years after Shunt Surgery. Surg Res Pract 2014; 2014: 898510 [PMID: 25379565 DOI: 10.1155/2014/898510]
- Verma A, Mohan S, Gupta A. Ventriculo-peritoneal shunts can cause liver injury, juxta and intrahepatic pseudocysts: imaging findings and review of literature. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2012; 114: 389-391 [PMID: 22226632 DOI: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2011.10.028
- 12 Peltier J, Demuynck F, Fichten A, Lefranc M, Toussaint P, Desenclos C, Nicot B, Pruvot AS, Le Gars D. Non-traumatic pseudocyst of Glisson capsule complicating a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Neurochirurgie 2011; 57: 31-33 [PMID: 20096426 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuchi.2009.11.004]
- Berkmann S, Schreiber V, Khamis A. Recurrent intrahepatic dislocation of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Minim Invasive 13 Neurosurg 2011; 54: 83-86 [PMID: 21656443 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1277174]
- Kolić Z, Kukuljan M, Bonifačić D, Vukas D. CSF liver pseudocyst as a complication of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Wien 14 Klin Wochenschr 2010; 122: 641-644 [PMID: 20963636 DOI: 10.1007/s00508-010-1474-2]
- Aparici-Robles F, Molina-Fabrega R. Abdominal cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: a complication of ventriculoperitoneal 15 shunts in adults. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2008; 52: 40-43 [PMID: 18373825 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1673.2007.01909.x
- 16 Kaplan M, Ozel SK, Akgun B, Kazez A, Kaplan S. Hepatic pseudocyst as a result of ventriculoperitoneal shunts: case report and review of the literature. Pediatr Neurosurg 2007; 43: 501-503 [PMID: 17992040 DOI: 10.1159/000108795]
- Banka S, Johnson K, Sgouros S. Liver cyst caused by the peritoneal catheter of a CSF shunt. Pediatr Neurosurg 2007; 43: 17 444-445 [PMID: 17786018 DOI: 10.1159/000106402]
- Hsieh CT, Pai CC, Tsai TH, Chiang YH, Su YH. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: a case report and review of the 18 literature. Neurol India 2006; 54: 86-88 [PMID: 16679653 DOI: 10.4103/0028-3886.24717]
- Chitkara N, Gupta R, Singla SL, Sharma NK. Lower end of ventriculoperitoneal shunt embedding in liver parenchyma. 19 Neurol India 2004; 52: 405 [PMID: 15472455]
- Kumar MM, Jeyabalachandran M, Sekar S. Intrahepatic cyst--a complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. J Indian Med 20 Assoc 1995; 93: 403 [PMID: 9053432]
- 21 Engelhard HH, Miller FB. Abdominal pain resulting from cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst and cholelithiasis. South Med J 1992; 85: 851-852 [PMID: 1502630 DOI: 10.1097/00007611-199208000-00014]
- 22 Wang F, Miller JH. Cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst presenting as a hepatic mass: a complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Pediatr Radiol 1989; 19: 326-327 [PMID: 2755746 DOI: 10.1007/BF02467305]
- Wolbers JG, van Zanten TE, van Alphen HA. Ventriculo-peritoneal shunt procedure complicated by liver capsule 23 perforation. A case report. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1987; 89: 55-57 [PMID: 3568524 DOI: 10.1016/s0303-8467(87)80078-1]
- 24 Touho H, Nakauchi M, Tasawa T, Nakagawa J, Karasawa J. Intrahepatic migration of a peritoneal shunt catheter: case report. Neurosurgery 1987; 21: 258-259 [PMID: 3309716 DOI: 10.1227/00006123-198708000-00027]
- Rana SR, Quivers ES, Haddy TB. Hepatic cyst associated with ventriculoperitoneal shunt in a child with brain tumor. 25 Childs Nerv Syst 1985; 1: 349-351 [PMID: 3009014 DOI: 10.1007/BF00270822]
- Latchaw JP Jr, Hahn JF. Intraperitoneal pseudocyst associated with peritoneal shunt. Neurosurgery 1981; 8: 469-472 26 [PMID: 7242901 DOI: 10.1227/00006123-198104000-00013]
- Fischer EG, Shillito J Jr. Large abdominal cysts: a complication of peritoneal shunts. Report of three cases. J Neurosurg 27 1969; **31**: 441-444 [PMID: 5349089 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1969.31.4.0441]
- Koçak A, Baysal T, Çaylı SR, Ateş O, Önal Ç. An unusual complication of ventriculo peritoneal shunt: Cerebrospinal 28 fluid cyst in liver. Eur J Radiol 2004; 21-24 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrex.2004.03.010]
- 29 Tsirlis T, Thakkar R, Sen G, Logue J, Robinson S, French JJ, White SA. Robotic fenestration of massive liver cysts using EndoWrist technology. Int J Med Robot 2019; 15: e1994 [PMID: 30848858 DOI: 10.1002/rcs.1994]



WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

