**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 82468

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Cerebrospinal fluid liver pseudocyst: A bizarre long-term complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A case report**

Yousaf MN *et al*. Liver cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst

Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf, Haider A Naqvi, Shriya Kane, Fizah S Chaudhary, Jason Hawksworth, Vikram V Nayar, Thomas W Faust

**Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf,** Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, United States

**Haider A Naqvi,** Department of Medicine, MedStar Union Memorial Hospital, Baltimore, MD 21218, United States

**Shriya Kane,** Department of Surgery, University Iowa School of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States

**Fizah S Chaudhary,** Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, United States

**Jason Hawksworth,** Department of Surgery, Transplant Hepatology, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC 20007, United States

**Vikram V Nayar,** Department of Neurosurgery, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC 20007, United States

**Thomas W Faust,** Department of Medicine, Transplant Hepatology, James D. Eason Transplant Institute, Methodist Le Bonheur Healthcare, Memphis, TN 38104, United States

**Author contributions:** Yousaf MN, Naqvi HA, Kane S, and Chaudhary FS contributed to manuscript writing, proofreads, table and review of data; Faust TW, Hawksworth J, and Nayar VV contributed to overall supervision of manuscript and review of data; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Corresponding author: Muhammad Nadeem Yousaf, MD, Academic Fellow, Doctor,** Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, University of Missouri, 1 Hospital Dr., Columbia, MO 65212, United States. muhammad.n.yousaf@health.missouri.edu

**Received:** December 19, 2022

**Revised:** March 2, 2023

**Accepted:** April 10, 2023

**Published online:** May 27, 2023

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt placement has become a standard of care procedure in managing hydrocephalus for drainage and absorption of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) into the peritoneum. Abdominal pseudocysts containing CSF are the common long-term complication of this frequently performed procedure, mainly because VP shunts have significantly prolonged survival. Of these, liver CSF pseudocysts are rare entities that may cause shunt dysfunction, affect normal organ function, and therefore pose therapeutic challenges.

CASE SUMMARY

A 49-year-old man with history of congenital hydrocephalus status post bilateral VP shunt placement presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a large CSF pseudocyst in the right hepatic lobe with the tip of VP shunt catheter into the hepatic cyst cavity. Patient underwent robotic laparoscopic cyst fenestration with a partial hepatectomy, and repositioning of VP shunt catheter to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. Follow-up CT demonstrated a significant reduction in hepatic CSF pseudocyst.

CONCLUSION

A high index of clinical suspicion is required for early detection of liver CSF pseudocysts since their presentation is often asymptomatic and cunning early in the course. Late-stage liver CSF pseudocysts could have adverse outcomes on the treatment course of hydrocephalus as well as on hepatobiliary dysfunction. There is paucity of data to define the management of liver CSF pseudocyst in current guidelines due to rare nature of this entity. The reported occurrences have been managed by laparotomy with debridement, paracentesis, radiological imaging guided fluid aspiration and laparoscopic-associated cyst fenestration. Robotic surgery is an additional minimally invasive option in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocyst; however, its use is limited by lack of widespread availability and cost of surgery.

**Key Words:** Pseudocyst; Cerebrospinal fluid; Liver cysts; Ventriculoperitoneal shunt; Laparoscopy; Cyst fenestration; Case report

**©The** **Author(s) 2023.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Citation:** Yousaf MN, Naqvi HA, Kane S, Chaudhary FS, Hawksworth J, Nayar VV, Faust TW. Cerebrospinal fluid liver pseudocyst: A bizarre long-term complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A case report. *World J Hepatol* 2023; 15(5): 715-724

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v15/i5/715.htm>

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v15.i5.715

**Core Tip:** Liver cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pseudocysts are a rare subtype of abdominal cysts that are a late complication of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt. The majority of patients are asymptomatic in early stages, however, as the size of the liver CSF pseudocyst increases this may result in ineffective drainage of CSF, thereby aggravating hydrocephalus symptoms. Liver CSF pseudocyst may be confused with other cystic lesions of liver. Early diagnosis and repositioning VP shunt catheter may prevent both neurological and hepatic complications.

**INTRODUCTION**

Hydrocephalus is a condition of excess cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) accumulation into the ventricles of the brain causing neurologic manifestations due to pressure effects of expended ventricles on surrounding neural tissues. Placement of shunts to re-direct CSF has become a treatment option that has significantly improved outcomes and survival of patients with congenital hydrocephalus. Conventionally, shunts can drain CSF fluid into the abdominal peritoneal cavity or right atrium. Drainage of CSF into the right atrium could present with pulmonary emboli which is a life-threatening complication[1]. Numerous studies have determined the presence of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunts leading to pulmonary embolism[1]. The primary hypotheses derived from the presence of a foreign body into the heart leading to thrombus formation and CSF creating a procoagulant environment in the heart and lungs. These reasons lead to VP shunts being preferred over right atrial drainage since the peritoneal cavity can absorb fluid efficiently due to larger surface area and better diffusion property of fluids, thus making it a safe and sustainable options for drainage of CSF in the management of hydrocephalus. Generally, due to the increased lifespan of these individuals, complications of the VP shunt are seen later in adulthood. These are associated with abdominal cysts typically at the location of the tip of shunt catheter. A liver CSF pseudocyst is a bizarre long-term complication of this common procedure (VP shunt) that may be confused with other liver cystic lesions. Early diagnosis and repositioning VP shunt catheter may prevent both neurological and hepatic complications.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

Patient presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention.

***History of present illness***

A 49-year-old man with history of intellectual disability due to congenital hydrocephalus status post (s/p) VP shunt placement on right side at 3 mo and left side at 7 years of age, presented with progressively worsening dyspnea on exertion, abdominal discomfort/distention and bilateral lower extremity swelling associated with pain, erythema, and drainage. Patient denied abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or constipation. Patients VP shunt placement at 3 mo and 7 years of age never required exchange due to malfunction.

***History of past illness***

His medical history was also significant for gallstone pancreatitis s/p cholecystectomy.

***Personal and family history***

The patient denied family history of congenital abnormalities or cancers.

***Physical examination***

On physical examination, vitals were significant for tachycardia (115/min) and elevated blood pressure (151/81 mmHg), while he was afebrile (36.7 °C), saturating 96% on room air and respiratory rate of 18 breaths per min. Physical examination revealed mild abdominal distension, hepatomegaly without abdominal tenderness and bilateral lower extremity edema with mild erythema.

***Laboratory examinations***

Laboratory work up was significant for mildly elevated glucose 157 mg/dL (65-140 mg/mL), D-dimer 2.20 mcg/mL (0-0.5 mcg/mL) and Hemoglobin A1C 8.5% (4.8%-5.6%). The patient had normal level of aspartate transaminase 27 u/L (0-33 u/L), alanine transaminase 38 u/L (10-49 u/L), total bilirubin 0.5 mg/dL (0.3-1.2 mg/dL), slightly elevated alkaline phosphate 126 u/L (46-116 u/L), and low albumin 3.1 g/dL (3.2-4.8 g/dL).

***Imaging examinations***

Chest computed tomography (CT) with intravenous contrast was negative for pulmonary embolism however revealed a large 18 cm × 13 cm × 13.5 cm hepatic cyst in the right lobe of liver. A subsequent CT abdomen and pelvis demonstrated a 17.5 cm × 12.6 cm × 12.7 cm cystic lesion in the right hepatic lobe with the tip of VP shunt catheter into the cavity of liver cyst (Figure 1). A head CT was negative for worsening hydrocephalus and confirmed the unchanged position of the intraventricular ends of VP shunt catheters compared to previous radiological imaging of brain (Figure 2A). Shunt series radiographs were also negative for disruption of the VP shunt catheters (Figure 2B-D). A hepatobiliary nuclear scan was unremarkable for biliary leak or sphincter of the Oddi dysfunction (Figure 3).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

A diagnosis of bilateral lower extremities cellulitis with incidental right hepatic lobe CSF pseudocyst was made.

**TREATMENT**

Patient underwent robotic laparoscopic liver cyst fenestration with partial hepatectomy and repositioning of VP shunt catheter to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen (Figure 4). During the procedure, intraoperative ultrasound was used to locate the liver cyst and to evaluate the cyst wall thickness. The cyst cavity was opened and clear cyst fluid was drained into the peritoneal cavity. Multiple other fenestrations were done in the thin walls of the cyst to facilitate further drainage. Cyst fluid was not collected for analysis given it was clear cyst fluid, without noticeable pus, blood, or pseudo-membrane in the cyst cavity concerning for infectious etiology. However, cyst wall biopsy was obtained to rule out malignancy. Patient’s cellulitis was managed with oral clindamycin 300 mg every 6 h for 7 d. His postoperative recovery was uneventful. There was no evidence of malignancy or parasitic infection on hepatic pseudocyst wall biopsy. The patient was discharged home on postoperative day 2.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

Follow up abdominal CT scan done 5 wk after hospital discharge showed a significant reduction of right hepatic pseudocyst size 10 cm × 13 cm (Figure 5). On follow up, patient denied abdominal pain, distention/discomfort, and signs of VP shunt malfunction.

**DISCUSSION**

Abdominal CSF pseudocyst was first reported in 1954 as a complication of VP shunt[2]. The incidence rate of these pseudocysts ranges from 0.25% to 10%[3,4]. However, hepatic CSF pseudocysts are extremely uncommon and only scant number of cases were reported in the medical literature (Table 1)[2-28]. The etiology of liver CSF pseudocysts associated with VP shunts is unknown, however, inflammation triggered with prolonged irritation of hepatic surface and peritoneum due to shunt catheter is the proposed mechanism resulting in pseudocyst formation[4,9,10]. Infective complications of pseudocysts are observed in very few cases and are typically caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*, followed by *S. epidermidis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Streptococcus faecalis*, *Acinetobacter sp.* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[4,6,10,18]. The proposed pathogenesis of pseudocyst infection with intestinal and dermal bacteria results from systemic and localized inflammation around VP shunt catheter. Several risk factors such as abdominal trauma, abdominal surgeries, repeated shunt revisions, peritoneal adhesions, ascites and peritonitis predispose to CSF-pseudocyst infection[4,6,10,18].

Patients with small abdominal pseudocysts remain asymptomatic however those with larger pseudocysts commonly present with abdominal pain (63%), abdominal distention (37%), abdominal tenderness (31%) and abdominal mass (29%) on initial presentation[18]. The abdominal pain may be severe and diffuse, with a point of maximal intensity located at the terminal site of catheter, usually in the right or left upper quadrant of abdomen. A subset of patients may also have associated symptoms such as constipation, nausea or vomiting[4]. Since majority of the affected population is pediatric, the presenting symptoms tend to be related to increased intracranial pressure such as lethargy, headaches and altered mental status[9]. However, these symptoms are infrequent in adults who typically present with pain/pressure related symptoms depending upon location of the cyst or VP shunt catheter tip. The diagnosis is typically made using radiological modalities, however abdominal ultrasound is the initial diagnostic modality[4,5]. Abdominal CT scan is the preferred radiological imaging because it provides information on the characterization of cyst size, location, and position of the VP shunt catheter. It also helps to differentiate other possible etiologies of abdominal pain[4]. Rarely, in adults who present with altered mental status, CT scan of the head may be sought out to evaluate location of proximal end of VP shunt catheter, status of ventricular dilation and to rule out other intracranial abnormalities.

The liver CSF pseudocysts are broadly classified as intra or extra axial[4]. The intra-axial liver pseudocysts are those found within the hepatic parenchyma with the VP shunt tip lodged within the liver. The extra-axial liver pseudocysts are usually located under the hepatic subcapsular space due to penetration of the VP shunt tip in the Glisson’s capsule. The symptomatic clinical presentation is seen in the fourth or fifth decade of life[2-28]. The cysts have thus far also shown an equal male/female predominance[2-28]. There was an even distribution of the incidence of intra-axial and extra-axial location[2-28]. The patients in the reported cases had a range of etiologies for their hydrocephalus such as infectious, congenital, traumatic, and mechanical/obstructive leading to the shunt placement[6].

The characteristics and clinical presentation of our case is similar to previously reported cases of intra-axial hepatic CSF pseudocysts. However, duration since VP shunt placement to clinical presentation is relatively longer compared to previously reported cases. This is likely due to lack of predisposing risk factors in our case such as no prior history of abdominal surgery, trauma, peritonitis, ascites and his VP shunt catheter never required revision. Although liver CSF pseudocyst was identified on the CT chest, however, a dedicated CT abdomen pelvis is essential for evaluation of other abdominal cysts/collection and planning for surgical repositioning of VP shunt catheter.

The management of liver CSF pseudocyst is controversial because of rarity of disease[5,7,9]. In asymptomatic individuals wherein the cyst is an incidental finding on abdominal imaging, the consensus is expectant management with routine monitoring with abdominal ultrasound or radiological imaging[9]. The duration of surveillance imaging is unclear in the current literature. It is reasonable to performs ultrasound abdomen in 1-2 year for monitoring of pseudocyst size and planning of further treatment with conservative *vs* surgical approach. In symptomatic patients, management is variable ranging from robotic or laparoscopic repositioning/exchange of VP shunt catheter with or without cyst fenestration and antibiotic therapy[4,10]. Management is patient specific and varies on a case-by-case basis. Typically, a CT-guided biopsy is performed for a diagnostic purpose. If the biopsy reveals an infection, treatment involves antibiotic therapy as well as shunt externalization till the infection clears[4]. The shunt is repositioned during externalization after infection treatment. Depending upon the availability of expertise, the liver CSF pseudocysts are managed with elective laparoscopic excision of pseudocyst, debridement of cystic wall and/or reposition the VP shunt catheter in the lower quadrant of abdomen. The majority of case studies managed the liver CSF pseudocyst with surgical or ultrasound guided drainage, the VP shunt was removed and/or repositioned along with cyst drainage[4-6,8-10].

In the present case robotic laparoscopic cyst fenestration with partial hepatectomy and repositioning of VP shunt catheter was performed. Robotic surgery has several advantages over other surgical options such as wide cyst fenestrations could be achieved with robotic ergonomics that provide magnified three-dimensional view and enables access to cysts located in posterosuperior segments of liver[29]. Recurrence rate after robotic fenestration is lower than conventional laparoscopic or other surgical approaches as wristed technology of robotic surgery overcomes limitations of other surgical options[29]. The dual console system of robotic surgery plays an important role in surgical training by enabling direct mentorship to trainee where one click on button swap the control between trainee and mentors. Taken together, robotic surgery assisted cyst fenestration/deroofing is a safe and feasible approach in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocysts. The utility of robotic surgery should be balanced with cost, limited worldwide availability of robotic technology and expertise.

The pseudocysts that have been documented thus far have had a good prognosis, with near complete or complete resolution of symptoms at follow up appointments that were between 3 to 9 wk[2-28]. In our case there was a significant reduction of cyst size on follow up CT scan of abdomen. Most of the cysts (12 out of 15 case studies) showed near complete or complete resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts with either surgical or ultrasound guided drainage, however clinical characteristics and etiology of cysts are variable with no specific differences in clinical variables (Table 1)[2-28]. Large prospective studies are required to further investigate clinical characteristic of patients who will benefit surgical *vs* conservative approach for spontaneous resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts. Rare nature of hepatic CSF pseudocyst is predominant roadblock for conducting prospective studies. The physician dependent treatment plan had similar outcomes in both groups of patients, except that the self-absorbing cysts took longer to resolve (about 9 mo *vs* immediately after surgical procedure)[9]. The physician dependent treatment plan in managing patients with hepatic CSF pseudocyst is dependent on several factors, including the severity of symptoms, the size and location of the pseudocyst, the patient's overall health, and the physician's expertise to determine, surgical *vs* non-surgical management. Patients with acute illness, larger size pseudocyst and persistent symptoms despite conservative management, may benefit from surgical approach. The recurrence rate of the liver CSF pseudocysts on short term follow up is low. Only one case study has reported recurrent pseudocyst in 2 out of 6 patients. A fatal outcome due to sepsis was reported in on case study[14].

**CONCLUSION**

Liver CSF pseudocysts are a rare subtype of abdominal cysts that are a late complication of VP shunt. The majority of patients are asymptomatic in early stages, though larger liver CSF pseudocyst may result in ineffective drainage of CSF, thereby aggravating hydrocephalus symptoms. In asymptomatic patients with hepatic CSF pseudocysts, it is reasonable to performs ultrasound abdomen in 1-2 year for monitoring of pseudocyst size and planning of further treatment with conservative vs surgical approach. While there is no current consensus on the optimal treatment modality, however, laparoscopic drainage with repositioning of shunt catheter has favorable outcomes. Robotic surgery is an additional minimally invasive option in the management of hepatic CSF pseudocyst; however, its use is limited by lack of widespread availability and cost of surgery.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Wu D**, Guan Z, Xiao L, Li D. Thrombosis associated with ventriculoatrial shunts. *Neurosurg Rev* 2022; **45**: 1111-1122 [PMID: 34647222 DOI: 10.1007/s10143-021-01656-5]

2 **Harsh GR 3rd**. Peritoneal shunt for hydrocephalus, utilizing the fimbria of the fallopian tube for entrance to the peritoneal cavity. *J Neurosurg* 1954; **11**: 284-294 [PMID: 13163727 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1954.11.3.0284]

3 **Faraj W**, Ahmad HH, Mukherji D, Khalife M. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst mimicking hydatid liver disease: a case report. *J Med Case Rep* 2011; **5**: 475 [PMID: 21943017 DOI: 10.1186/1752-1947-5-475]

4 **Dabdoub CB**, Fontoura EA, Santos EA, Romero PC, Diniz CA. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: A rare complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *Surg Neurol Int* 2013; **4**: 162 [PMID: 24523999 DOI: 10.4103/2152-7806.123783]

5 **Achufusi TGO**, Chebaya P, Rawlins S. Abdominal Cerebrospinal Fluid Pseudocyst as a Complication of Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt Placement. *Cureus* 2020; **12**: e9363 [PMID: 32742887 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.9363]

6 **Koide Y**, Osako T, Kameda M, Ihoriya H, Yamamoto H, Fujisaki N, Aokage T, Yumoto T, Date I, Naito H, Nakao A. Huge abdominal cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst following ventriculoperitoneal shunt: a case report. *J Med Case Rep* 2019; **13**: 361 [PMID: 31818327 DOI: 10.1186/s13256-019-2308-0]

7 **Bettis T**, Holsten S, Mitchell A. Conservative Management of a Hepatic CSF Pseudocyst in an Asymptomatic Patient. *Am Surg* 2019; **85**: e389-e391 [PMID: 31560322]

8 **Arsanious D**, Sribnick E. Intrahepatic Cerebrospinal Fluid Pseudocyst: A Case Report and Systematic Review. *World Neurosurg* 2019; **125**: 111-116 [PMID: 30721775 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.01.150]

9 **Canaz G,** Emel E, Baydin S, Ulukent SC, Ozgunduz Y, Cevik OM. Safe surgical approach to extrahepatic pseudocyst, a rare shunt complication: 2 case reports. *Chin Neurosurg J* 2017; **3**: 36 [DOI: 10.1186/s41016-017-0101-8]

10 **Tomiyama A**, Harashina J, Kimura H, Ito K, Honda Y, Yanai H, Iwabuchi S. An Intra-Abdominal Pseudocyst around a Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt due to Streptococcus Infection 7 Years after Shunt Surgery. *Surg Res Pract* 2014; **2014**: 898510 [PMID: 25379565 DOI: 10.1155/2014/898510]

11 **Verma A**, Mohan S, Gupta A. Ventriculo-peritoneal shunts can cause liver injury, juxta and intrahepatic pseudocysts: imaging findings and review of literature. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2012; **114**: 389-391 [PMID: 22226632 DOI: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2011.10.028]

12 **Peltier J**, Demuynck F, Fichten A, Lefranc M, Toussaint P, Desenclos C, Nicot B, Pruvot AS, Le Gars D. Non-traumatic pseudocyst of Glisson capsule complicating a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *Neurochirurgie* 2011; **57**: 31-33 [PMID: 20096426 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuchi.2009.11.004]

13 **Berkmann S**, Schreiber V, Khamis A. Recurrent intrahepatic dislocation of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *Minim Invasive Neurosurg* 2011; **54**: 83-86 [PMID: 21656443 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1277174]

14 **Kolić Z**, Kukuljan M, Bonifačić D, Vukas D. CSF liver pseudocyst as a complication of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2010; **122**: 641-644 [PMID: 20963636 DOI: 10.1007/s00508-010-1474-2]

15 **Aparici-Robles F**, Molina-Fabrega R. Abdominal cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: a complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunts in adults. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol* 2008; **52**: 40-43 [PMID: 18373825 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1673.2007.01909.x]

16 **Kaplan M**, Ozel SK, Akgun B, Kazez A, Kaplan S. Hepatic pseudocyst as a result of ventriculoperitoneal shunts: case report and review of the literature. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 2007; **43**: 501-503 [PMID: 17992040 DOI: 10.1159/000108795]

17 **Banka S**, Johnson K, Sgouros S. Liver cyst caused by the peritoneal catheter of a CSF shunt. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 2007; **43**: 444-445 [PMID: 17786018 DOI: 10.1159/000106402]

18 **Hsieh CT**, Pai CC, Tsai TH, Chiang YH, Su YH. Hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst: a case report and review of the literature. *Neurol India* 2006; **54**: 86-88 [PMID: 16679653 DOI: 10.4103/0028-3886.24717]

19 **Chitkara N**, Gupta R, Singla SL, Sharma NK. Lower end of ventriculoperitoneal shunt embedding in liver parenchyma. *Neurol India* 2004; **52**: 405 [PMID: 15472455]

20 **Kumar MM**, Jeyabalachandran M, Sekar S. Intrahepatic cyst--a complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *J Indian Med Assoc* 1995; **93**: 403 [PMID: 9053432]

21 **Engelhard HH**, Miller FB. Abdominal pain resulting from cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst and cholelithiasis. *South Med J* 1992; **85**: 851-852 [PMID: 1502630 DOI: 10.1097/00007611-199208000-00014]

22 **Wang F**, Miller JH. Cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst presenting as a hepatic mass: a complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt. *Pediatr Radiol* 1989; **19**: 326-327 [PMID: 2755746 DOI: 10.1007/BF02467305]

23 **Wolbers JG**, van Zanten TE, van Alphen HA. Ventriculo-peritoneal shunt procedure complicated by liver capsule perforation. A case report. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 1987; **89**: 55-57 [PMID: 3568524 DOI: 10.1016/s0303-8467(87)80078-1]

24 **Touho H**, Nakauchi M, Tasawa T, Nakagawa J, Karasawa J. Intrahepatic migration of a peritoneal shunt catheter: case report. *Neurosurgery* 1987; **21**: 258-259 [PMID: 3309716 DOI: 10.1227/00006123-198708000-00027]

25 **Rana SR**, Quivers ES, Haddy TB. Hepatic cyst associated with ventriculoperitoneal shunt in a child with brain tumor. *Childs Nerv Syst* 1985; **1**: 349-351 [PMID: 3009014 DOI: 10.1007/BF00270822]

26 **Latchaw JP Jr**, Hahn JF. Intraperitoneal pseudocyst associated with peritoneal shunt. *Neurosurgery* 1981; **8**: 469-472 [PMID: 7242901 DOI: 10.1227/00006123-198104000-00013]

27 **Fischer EG**, Shillito J Jr. Large abdominal cysts: a complication of peritoneal shunts. Report of three cases. *J Neurosurg* 1969; **31**: 441-444 [PMID: 5349089 DOI: 10.3171/jns.1969.31.4.0441]

28 **Koçak A,** Baysal T, Çaylı SR, Ateş O, Önal Ç. An unusual complication of ventriculo peritoneal shunt: Cerebrospinal fluid cyst in liver. *Eur J Radiol* 2004; 21-24 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrex.2004.03.010]

29 **Tsirlis T**, Thakkar R, Sen G, Logue J, Robinson S, French JJ, White SA. Robotic fenestration of massive liver cysts using EndoWrist technology. *Int J Med Robot* 2019; **15**: e1994 [PMID: 30848858 DOI: 10.1002/rcs.1994]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies:** American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; American College of Gastroenterology; American Gastroenterological Association; and American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

**Peer-review started:** December 19, 2022

**First decision:** February 21, 2023

**Article in press:** April 10, 2023

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Country/Territory of origin:** United States

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0


Grade C (Good): C, C

Grade D (Fair): D


Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Masuda S, Japan; Sarr MG, United States **S-Editor:** Hu YR **L-Editor:** A **P-Editor:** Cai YX


**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Computed tomography scan of abdomen.** A: Transverse view shows a large right hepatic lobe cyst with evidence of tip of right ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter within the cavity of pseudocyst (arrows); B and C: Axial view of hepatic pseudocyst; D: Lateral view of hepatic pseudocyst with ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter in cyst cavity (arrow).




**Figure 2 Computed tomography scan of head and shunt series radiographs.** A: Computed tomography scan of head showing ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt catheters both in right and left lateral ventricles without evidence of ventricular dilation or VP shunt catheter displacement; B: Lateral view of shunt series radiograph showing right and left VP shunt catheter (arrows) without disruption through neck; C: Anterior-posterior view of shunt series radiograph showing intact VP shunt catheter through chest (arrows); D: Abdominal view of shunt series radiograph showing distal ends of right and left VP shunt catheter in the peritoneal cavity (arrows).



**Figure 3 Hepatobiliary nuclear scan without evidence of biliary leak or dysfunction of sphincter of oddi.**



**Figure 4 Intraoperative images of hepatic cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst.** A: A large right hepatic lobe pseudocyst with tip of right ventriculoperitoneal shunt catheter within the cyst cavity (arrow); B: A large volume of cerebrospinal fluid can be seen within the cyst cavity (arrow).



**Figure 5 Computed tomography scan of abdomen and pelvis 5 wk after robotic laparoscopic cyst drainage.** A: Transverse view of computed tomography (CT) scan shows interval decrease in cyst size (arrow); B: Axial view of CT scan shows interval decrease in cyst size (arrow).

**Table 1 Summary table of reported cases of the liver cerebrospinal pseudocysts in patients with ventriculoperitoneal shunts**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Year** | **Age** | **Sex** | **Cyst location** | **Etiology of hydrocephalus** | **Treatment** | **Follow-up (wk)** | **Outcome** |
| Current case | 2023 | 49 | M | Intra-axial | Congenital | Robotic laparoscopic pseudocyst fenestration, partial hepatectomy, and a repositioning of VPS | 4 | Complete resolution of symptoms without any complications |
| Achufusi *et al*[5] | 2020 | 39 | F | N/A | Congenital | Laparotomy with pseudocyst drainage followed by removal of VPS and insertion of new VPS |  | Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence |
| Arsanious and Sribnick[8] | 2019 | 21 | F | N/A | Meningitis | Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage with externalization and repositioning of VPS | 6 | Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence |
| Koide *et al*[6] | 2019 | 12 | M | Extra-axial | Myelomeningocele | US-PCA of pseudocyst with externalization of VPS followed by reinsertion of new VPS | 3 | Complete resolution of pseudocyst; no recurrence |
| Bettis *et al*[7] | 2019 | 56 | M | Intra-axial | Trauma | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Canaz *et al*[9] | 2017 | 34 | F | Extra-axial | NPH | Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of VPS | 36 | Spontaneous resolution of hepatic CSF pseudocysts |
| Canaz *et al*[9] | 2017 | 25 | F | Extra-axial | Meningitis | Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of VPS | 24 | Pseudocysts were spontaneously reabsorbed and post op CSF culture showed cyst fluid was sterile |
| Tomiyama *et al*[10] | 2014 | 50 | F | N/A | SAH | VPS removal and reinsertion and cyst drainage | 8 | No recurrence of intraperitoneal pseudocyst on post-operative abdominal scans and improvement in hydrocephalus on brain CT |
| Dabdoub *et al*[4] | 2013 | 40 | M | Intra-axial | Trauma | VPS removal and reinsertion and cyst drainage | 36 | No clinical recurrence of hepatic CSF pseudocysts |
| Verma *et al*[11] | 2012 | 35 | M | Intra- and extra-axial | Tumor | Pseudocyst aspiration and externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion | 3 | Complete resolution of cyst on abdominal ultrasound |
| Berkmann *et al*[13] | 2011 | 34 | F | N/A | Congenital | Laparoscopic shunt removal and new shunt re-insertion | 24 | No recurrence and no adverse events |
| Peltier *et al*[12] | 2011 | 49 | M | Extra-axial | Meningitis | Ultrasound guided aspiration and repositioning of shunt | 1 | Complete resolution of the cyst; no recurrence |
| Faraj *et al*[3] | 2011 | 18 | M | Intra-axial | Bacterial meningitis | Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of shunt | N/A | Patient made a full recovery |
| Kolić *et al*[14] | 2010 | 30 | F | Intra-axial | SAH | Ultrasound guided aspiration and external ventricular drainage | 4 | Patient died due to sepsis- 1 mo after hepatic CSF pseudocyst drainage |
| Aparici-Robles and Molina-Fabrega[15] | 2008 | 22-50 | F (4), M (2) | Intra-axial | Abscess; tumor; meningitis; and SAH | Laparotomy with pseudocyst drainage and repositioning of shunt |  | Relapse in 2 out of 6 patients (5-6 mo) |
| Banka *et al*[17] | 2007 | 7 | M | N/A | Myelomeningocele | Repositioning of shunt |  |  |
| Kaplan *et al*[16] | 2007 | 10 | F | Extra-axial | Tumor | Laparotomy and pseudocyst drainage followed by repositioning of shunt |  |  |
| Hsieh *et al*[18] | 2006 | 39 | M | Extra-axial | Trauma | Externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion |  | Patient made full recovery and cysts were fully resolved (1 mo) |
| Koçak *et al*[28] | 2004 | 15 | F | Extra-axial | Tuberculosis meningitis | Ultrasound aspiration and distal end removal followed by new shunt reinsertion |  | Almost complete resolution of the cyst observed after 1 wk |
| Chitkara *et al*[19] | 2004 | 5 | F | Intra-axial | Non‑communicating | Externalization of shunt followed by reinsertion |  | Follow up done at 3 mo- patient was entirely asymptomatic and cyst had completely resolved |
| Kumar *et al*[20] | 1995 | 23 | M | N/A | Meningitis | Ultrasound aspiration and distal end removal |  |  |
| Engelhard and Miller[21] | 1992 | 20 | M | N/A | Congenital | Removal of shunt and reinsertion |  |  |
| Wang and Miller[22] | 1989 | 3 | M | Extra-axial | Congenital | Pseudocyst resection and distal end revision |  | N/A |
| Touho *et al*[24] | 1987 | 66 | M | N/A | Trauma | Repositioning of shunt |  |  |
| Wolbers *et al*[23] | 1987 | 29 | F | Extra-axial | Tumor | Repositioning of shunt |  | Patient made full recovery  (2 yrs) |
| Rana *et al*[25] | 1985 | 12 | M | Intra-axial | Tumor | Repositioning of shunt |  | Complete resolution of cyst in follow up CT scan |
| Latchaw and Hahn[26] | 1981 | 40 | M | Intra-axial | Aqueductal stenosis | Drainage of hepatic pseudocyst and repositioning of the shunt |  |  |
| Fischer and Shillito[27] | 1969 | 3 | F | Extra-axial | Aqueductal stenosis | Drainage of hepatic pseudocyst and lumboperitoneal shunt |  | Patient did not experience or report any adverse complications (10 mo) |

Summary table of reported cases of extra and intra axial hepatic cysts. Data from reports stratified by date published, age of patient, gender, location of cyst, etiology, treatment and follow up outcomes. M: Male; F: Female; VPS: Ventriculoperitoneal shunt; N/A: Not available; US-PCA: Ultrasound guided percutaneous aspiration; CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid; NPH: Normal pressure hydrocephalus; SAH: Subarachnoid hemorrhage; CT: Computed tomography.



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

**Help Desk:** https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com



**© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.**