

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 

Manuscript NO: 82570

Title: COLONIC DUCTAL ADENOCARCINOMA CASE REPORT: NEW ENTITY OR

RARE ECTOPIC DEGENERATION?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06276373

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-23 14:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-24 12:27

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very rare tumor occurrence and can be considered for publishing with general priority.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 

Manuscript NO: 82570

Title: COLONIC DUCTAL ADENOCARCINOMA CASE REPORT: NEW ENTITY OR

RARE ECTOPIC DEGENERATION?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06387306

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-27 01:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-27 01:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the manuscriot are qualified and no problem