

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Radiology*

**Manuscript NO:** 82606

**Title:** Inter-observer reliability of CT angiography in the assessment of ruptured intracranial aneurysm features and impact on patient management

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02636483

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FCPS, FRCP, MBBS, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Pakistan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-02-28 05:20

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-28 11:36

**Review time:** 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good manuscript

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Radiology*

**Manuscript NO:** 82606

**Title:** Inter-observer reliability of CT angiography in the assessment of ruptured intracranial aneurysm features and impact on patient management

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05067819

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Assistant Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-03-18 11:53

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-03-25 09:59

**Review time:** 6 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, First of all, I would like to thank you for your work. Although the submission is valuable, there are some major drawbacks. The role of CTA in the evaluation of aneurysmal SAH has been confirmed, and it is currently the first diagnostic modality in many centers. Thus, brain DSA is reserved for normal CTA cases or for cases with complex and complicated aneurysms. Therefore, the present submission does not add more new information to the attendance data. In the abstract, it is noted that one neuroradiologist and another radiologist reported the CTA, but in the method, it is written that two neuroradiologists reviewed the images. The submission needs native English editing. Why was DSA performed in 80 patients? The indication for doing brain DSA is not mentioned. Certainly, the two neuroradiologists who reviewed the images are experienced and skilled, but to draw a conclusion, I think more physicians qualified in neuroimaging should review the images. Finally, I think it can be resubmitted after major revision including rewriting the article by focusing on the role of CTA in the aneurysmal SAH and applying the aforementioned points. Yours sincerely,