

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 82681 Title: Pyroptosis and its role in cancer Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed **Peer-review model:** Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 05743300 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD Professional title: Honorary Research Fellow Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-26 **Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-10 09:00 Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-11 15:15 **Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Scientific quality Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish

Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade D: No novelty	[Y] Grade C: Fair
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation	[] Grade C: Fair



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection 	
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have reviewed your manuscript and am pleased to inform you that it is acceptable for publication, but with a few revisions. I have noted some issues with grammar and sentence structure that need to be improved, as well as some issues with the organization of the conclusion. I encourage you to work on polishing the manuscript to ensure it is clear and easy to understand for readers. I suggest that you take the time to rewrite and reorganize the conclusion, so it fits the structure of the manuscript. I also suggest focusing on improving your English language skills.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 82681 Title: Pyroptosis and its role in cancer Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02482011 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD Professional title: Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-26 **Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-31 04:40 Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-05 11:15 Review time: 5 Days and 6 Hours [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:

Scientific quality	Good Goade D: Fair []Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance 	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection 	
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

minor revision requirieds