Manuscript ID: 82854

## Reviewer 1:

As a commentary review paper, it has great insights into inconclusive recommendations drawn by other authors on Prosthetic joint infections in Orthopedics. however, the methodology section is lacking in great details about the different methods used by various methods used by different authors. Also, please use "Grammarly" to improve the manuscript's grammar.

Thank you for your valuable comments. The methods section has been added, please see lines 73-93. Grammar has been double-checked and corrected where necessary.

## Reviewer 2:

Dear Authors, It was a pleasure to review your paper titled "The use of topical vancomycin powder in total joint arthroplasty. Why the current literature is inconsistent". The paper addresses an actual topic, it depicts a clear image and provides the right instruments to understand the actual situation about VP usage in TJA surgery. The abstract should be better structured. Introduction, Material and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion... Current methods section does not make sense. It is not clear which papers met the review inclusion criteria. How many papers were found as a result of the search using specific terms? The authors should describe these results using a chart Check the manuscript for grammar and punctuation errors, there are a few throughout the article. Moreover, better specify the period of interest in which the articles you included in your review are drawn. I think there are at least 2 articles published at the end of 2022. I hope you manage to publish your work. Best regards

Thank you for your valuable comments.

Regarding the abstract, we found in the journal guidelines ("instruction for authors") that they recommend an "unstructured" abstract.

Regarding the methodology, a paragraph has been added, please see lines 73-93. The PRISMA chart (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines) wasn't provided as a general review and not a systematic review.

The last two systematic reviews and meta-analysis published in Dec 2022 have been added, please see lines 203-208 and 249-255, for a final count of 7 SR and meta-analysis published in just 2 years. Thank you for your extremely valuable comments, I am sure they have helped us significantly improve our work.

Grammar has been double-checked and corrected where necessary.