

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 83035

Title: Primary ovarian choriocarcinoma occurred in a postmenopausal woman: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06222834

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-04 20:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-20 06:07

Review time: 15 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case about an entity that clinicians should be aware of. The language and grammar of the manuscript are not at the level of scientific writing and multiple areas need corrections and reframing. I have included my suggestions in the comments in the text. I have inserted the specific comments in the review of the manuscript itself.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 83035

Title: Primary ovarian choriocarcinoma occurred in a postmenopausal woman: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05373202

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-04

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-15 04:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-15 04:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good paper, a rare choriocarcinoma in post menoposal woman. In the spite of postmenopausal women, non-gestational ovarian choriocarcinoma should also be considered in the initial differential diagnosis of an adnexal masses.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 83035

Title: Primary ovarian choriocarcinoma occurred in a postmenopausal woman: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04150708

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-04

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-15 10:40

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-20 14:24

Review time: 5 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe a case report of a woman who had an ovarian choriocarcinoma, a rare condition for being postmenopausal for 8 years. It has been a manuscript very easy to read, very interesting, and very helpful for the medical community in case they meet a patient with a similar condition. Rare conditions need to be available to the public, so a better understanding and treatment could benefit the patients. Major comments: In order to be a little bit more original compared to the cited review Cronin S., et al (reference #8), some answers could be added: - In the Discussion section, the relationship (or not) between having many abortions and the appearance of ovarian choriocarcinoma should be mentioned. - The authors describe that the patient was on her 50's, with a menopause that occurred 8 years ago. My question is, was it an early menopause? Because is not the same to be 50 with a menopause when you were 42, that being 59 with a menopause at 51. The point is that if it was an early menopause maybe there is (or not) a relationship between early menopause and the occurrence of an ovarian choriocarcinoma. This fact could also be discussed in the Discussion section. Minor changes: - In the Introduction section, when saying Ovarian choriocarcinomas,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

“(OC)” should be added, because at the end of page 8, OC appears as it is. - Along the manuscript, the hormone beta-HCG is written in different ways (beta-HCG, β -hCG, β -HCG, HCG, hCG, etc.) Please, review and homogenize along the manuscript. - Specify what the EMA/CO protocol consists on (page 9): etoposide, methotrexate, echinomycin/vincristine, and cyclophosphamide. - Figure 2 caption: magnification should be mentioned, as well as the type of staining used. - Figure 3: same as figure 2, and, on top, indicate with an arrow (or arrows) where the necrosis is found.