

Reviewer 1. I am really grateful to review this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published once some revision is done successfully. This study reviewed the multiple effects of COVID-19 on children with diabetes. I argue this is a good start. However, it can be pointed out that this manuscript has only one table with concrete summary measures (Table 1). I would like to suggest the authors to add more tables with concrete summary measures like Table 1. In other words, I would like to suggest the authors to adopt the form of systematic review instead of narrative review. It is expected to strengthen the external validity of study and promote the better understanding of readers.

Reply. We thank the reviewer for the suggestions that were helpful in improving the manuscript. Despite the present manuscript is not a systematic review, this approach has been followed by eliminating several narrative sentences throughout the text and introducing 2 new tables (2 and 3), which summarize the DKA and telemedicine chapters.

Reviewer 2. Title: The multiple influences of the COVID-19 pandemic on children with diabetes: changes in epidemiology, metabolic control, and medical care Manuscript Type: Minireviews Manuscript ID: 83044
Reviewer Comments to Author(s): The present mini-review deals with the various influences of the pandemic on children with diabetes. The mini-review deals with all the principal aspects of changes that occurred in that difficult period and includes an extensive up-to-date on epidemiology, medical care, and telemedicine. Overall, this review is well written. The study needs some revision before being published in your Journal. Concerns: ⌘ The mini-review has grammatical mistakes needed to be corrected. ⌘ Make the mini-review more clear and concise. ⌘ Use proper abbreviations for words in the whole mini-review.COVID-19,(DKA) rates,(SARS-CoV-2),(T1D), angiotensin-converting enzyme2 receptors (.....),DPV,(ISPAD) guidelines and many more in minireview.

Reply. We thank the reviewer for the suggestions that helped to make the review more concise (from 4145 words to 3657 words). Grammatical mistakes have been corrected throughout the text and abbreviations have been used and indicated only when necessary.