

Answering reviewers

Reviewer #1

Very interesting case. Although the incidence of emphysema around the periorbital areas is high, the solution proved simple but highly effective.

- We appreciate your comments on our manuscript. In previous literature, there is a tendency to rely on conservative treatment for subcutaneous emphysema. However, we describe a case of postoperative subcutaneous emphysema that was treated with a simple and effective method using immediate needle aspiration to relieve discomfort and enable recovery to daily life.

Reviewer #2

This is a good research article for such type of diseases.

- We appreciate your comments on our manuscript.

Reviewer #3

The content of the manuscript is good, practical, and has certain guiding significance for clinical work. There are several problems: 1. Relatively simple case and treatment; 2. Whether the informed consent of the patient has been obtained is not described in the paper; 3. It is suggested to Mosaic the pupil and iris ciliary body of the eye in the picture; 4. The format of reference documents is not uniform; 5. Whether it is a single case report is universal or not needs to be verified by more clinical practice, so there should be room for discussion.

1. Relatively simple case and treatment

- We appreciate your comments on our manuscript. Although it is a simple case, it may cause discomfort to the patient who had experienced subcutaneous emphysema. Through this case report, we describe a case of subcutaneous emphysema that was treated with a simple method but effective that patient can relieve discomfort earlier and enable recovery to daily life.

2. Whether the informed consent of the patient has been obtained is not described in the paper;

→ We described about **"Informed consent statement"** of the patient in Footnotes. But if it is needed to be described in the manuscript, we will add it.

3. It is suggested to Mosaic the pupil and iris ciliary body of the eye in the picture;

→ We apply black circles to the pupil and iris ciliary body of the eyes in the pictures.

4. The format of reference documents is not uniform;

→ We check and rearrange the format of reference.

5. Whether it is a single case report is universal or not needs to be verified by more clinical practice

→ We add the limitation of our case report, which is a single case, is additionally stated in the manuscript. We believe that our method is a useful treatment that could relieve symptoms, resolve discomfort, and enable early return to daily life in patients with postoperative subcutaneous emphysema. Therefore, it will be considered useful method in more cases.