

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 83252

Title: How to select a journal for your research

Provenance and peer review: Invited Conference Manuscripts; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00038617

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-15 08:38

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-17 15:51

Review time: 2 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper provides researchers around the world with basic knowledge about scientific paper submission. All researchers need to know the process of submission to acceptance of scientific journals. This manuscript summarizes these important points. This is a good manuscript useful for young researchers. I have no requests to modify the content.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 83252

Title: How to select a journal for your research

Provenance and peer review: Invited Conference Manuscripts; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04177527

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-17 10:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-29 15:06

Review time: 12 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest a paper entitled "HOW TO SELECT A JOURNAL FOR YOUR RESEARCH". This article is an editorial on how to select peer-reviewed journals for research. It discussed the necessity and importance of selecting a suitable peer-reviewed journal, and proposes that selecting a suitable journal needs to evaluate the value of its own research and determine the publication type. It also discussed how to select journals from multiple perspectives such as journal goals, impact factors, and publishing methods. This article is well-structured, clear in logic, and easy to read. The article has very important guiding significance for novices preparing to submit research papers and researchers entering new fields. I reviewed this article according to the standards of the review of this journal. The title ' HOW TO SELECT A JOURNAL FOR YOUR RESEARCH ' reflects the theme of the paper. The abstract of the paper briefly explains the background and theme, and further discusses the background in the introduction. The text part is concise and coherent. It should be noted that the document sets four keywords, of which the word ' bibliometry ' is inconsistent with the theme and document type of this article, and is not mentioned in the article. It is recommended to

delete and retain the remaining three keywords.