PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 83284

Title: Etiopathogenic theories about Long COVID

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05627135

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, RN

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-15

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-23 03:50

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-27 02:26

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all, congratulations to the author for completing the manuscript, however, there are some problems in the manuscript that need to be improved. 1. For the definition of long - COVID, it is recommended to refer to CDC's guidelines for a clearer description. I do not recommend to quote the relevant statements above on qeios, because the unofficial definition is not enough to guide clinical research. 2. The theoretical explanation is too simple. It is suggested to add mechanism, legend and other information to supplement the theoretical content 3. Specific clarification is needed in terms of treatment methods

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 83284

Title: Etiopathogenic theories about Long COVID

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05432792

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-15

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-09 05:54

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-27 06:37

Review time: 18 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work entitled: " etiopathogenic theories about long COVID" is well written and I also find it very clear.   No further changes are needed, probably a language check could improve the quality of the text.