

Dear Editor,

Thank you for allowing me to submit a revised draft of my manuscript titled interaction between the left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular strain and its relationship with coronary stenosis to *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to providing your valuable feedback on my manuscript. We are grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments on our study. We have been able to incorporate changes to reflect the suggestions provided by the reviewers.

Here is a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments and concerns.

Comments from Reviewer#1:

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting study of interaction between the left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular strain and its relationship with coronary stenosis. Overall, this manuscript is very well written. Only some minor editing is required before final acceptance.

**Response:** Thank you for your comments. We have completed the editing work according to the reviewer comments.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: In this study, the authors analyzed the

relationship between the left ventricular ejection fraction measured using magnetic resonance imaging, left ventricular strain, and coronary stenosis. The study is designed well, and the results are very interesting. Minor comments: 1. There are some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. The table 5 should be deleted, and the data in is can be insert to the main text. 3. The references list can be updated.

Response: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment. Therefore, the spelling and grammatical errors have been corrected and the language polishing was completed. Besides, table 5 has been deleted and the data is also been inserted into the main text. In addition, all the references were updated.

Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Response: Thank you very much for this comment.

Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the

author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words). Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be

aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information

Response: We appreciate you for your precious time in reviewing our paper and providing valuable comments. We have, accordingly, shorten the title to 18 words to emphasize this point. Secondly, all the original figure documents are provided and attached to the PowerPoint. We attach great importance to the issue of intellectual property. Therefore, all the original pictures have Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023 below. Moreover, the three-line tables have been supplemented and the article highlights were added to the latest cutting-edge research results.

Best regards,

Shuwen Liu