

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 83485

**Title:** Resection of Isolated Liver Oligometastatic Disease in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Is There a Survival Benefit? A Systematic Review

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02822922

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Consultant Physician-Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-27 10:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-30 10:30

**Review time:** 2 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Current review is focused on a relevant topic, considered the dismal prognosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The paper is well written. However, I'd suggest some revisions: - Results: the authors state that 10 articles have been finally selected, of whom 9.. and 4.; I do believe they should specify how many studies include both synchronous and metachronous metastases - Did the type of surgical intervention affect the outcomes? - Where the 10 included studies conducted in tertiary centers with a dedicated pancreas unit? Please specify whether this affected the results

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 83485

**Title:** Resection of Isolated Liver Oligometastatic Disease in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Is There a Survival Benefit? A Systematic Review

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06477977

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-27 01:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-05 04:45

**Review time:** 9 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is a systematic analysis of the literature; What are the diagnostic criteria for hepatic metastasis of pancreatic cancer? How to judge the operability? What is the non-anatomical resection mentioned in the article? Ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation or CT-guided radiofrequency ablation? Are they different from the prognosis of hepatectomy?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 83485

**Title:** Resection of Isolated Liver Oligometastatic Disease in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Is There a Survival Benefit? A Systematic Review

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05077145

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, MMed, PhD

**Professional title:** Postdoc, Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-28 06:22

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-06 10:51

**Review time:** 9 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	[ <b>Y</b> ] Grade A: Excellent    [   ] Grade B: Good    [   ] Grade C: Fair [   ] Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	[ <b>Y</b> ] Grade A: Priority publishing    [   ] Grade B: Minor language polishing    [   ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing    [   ] Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	[ <b>Y</b> ] Accept (High priority)    [   ] Accept (General priority) [   ] Minor revision    [   ] Major revision    [   ] Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	[   ] Yes    [ <b>Y</b> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <b>Y</b> ] Anonymous    [   ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [   ] Yes    [ <b>Y</b> ] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work is well written, it is logical and it provides some new inspiration. I think this review is sufficient for acceptance.