

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83598

Title: Acute abdomen caused by spontaneous rupture of degenerative hysteromyoma

during pregnancy: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03547306 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-02 22:01

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-02 22:02

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

very good



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83598

Title: Acute abdomen caused by spontaneous rupture of degenerative hysteromyoma

during pregnancy: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06221767 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Researcher, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-04 00:53

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-05 03:11

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

See file.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83598

Title: Acute abdomen caused by spontaneous rupture of degenerative hysteromyoma

during pregnancy: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06485396 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-03 10:54

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-06 22:29

Review time: 3 Days and 11 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The authors have presented an interesting case of a rupture of a leiomyoma in pregnancy following degenerative changes. Please find below some 1) In the abstract line 17, please specify what gestational age the Caesarean comments was done 2) Throughout the manuscript the authors have alternated between the terms leiomyoma and hysteromyoma, although they mean the same thing, it may be worth if the authors stuck to a uniform termiology 3) Under the case report lines 31 and 32, could you please better describe the timeline of the initial presentation 4) Line 111-115, this could be rephrased as the authors have written two separate reports for an abdominal ultrasound 5) In line 119, please could you explain- the authors have said " a large amount of free fluid suggestive of a thrombus" 6) please could you kindly rephrase lines 130 and 151 7) On line 154 the authors mention 'fetal protection', could you kindly explain what you mean by this? 8) How was this patient followed up after the initial surgery prior to delivery? A brief timeline of events may be beneficial 9) was there any particular reason for the timing of the elective caesarean? 10) In the discussion on line 239 it says intraabdominal haemorrhage can only be confirmed by a laparotomy, this



may need correcting as even in this index case, the patient had a laparoscopy and not a laparotomy. 11) Are there better laparoscopic images showing the rupture and initial hemoperitoneum?