**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 83741

**Manuscript Type:** ORIGINAL ARTICLE

***Prospective Study***

**Novel multi-parametric diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease using ultrasonography, body mass index, and Fib-4 index**

Funada K *et al*. Multi-parametric diagnosis of NASH

Kei Funada, Yumi Kusano, Yoshinori Gyotoku, Ryosaku Shirahashi, Toshikuni Suda, Masaya Tamano

**Kei Funada, Yumi Kusano, Yoshinori Gyotoku, Ryosaku Shirahashi, Toshikuni Suda, Masaya Tamano,** Department of Gastroenterology, Dokkyo Medical University Saitama Medical Center, Koshigaya-shi 343-8555, Saitama, Japan

**Author contributions:** Funada K, Kusano Y, Gyotoku Y and Shirahashi R conceptualized and designed the study, collected data, carried out the initial analysis, and drafted the initial manuscript; Suda T and Tamano M coordinated and supervised data collection and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

**Corresponding author: Masaya Tamano, PhD, Professor,** Department of Gastroenterology, Dokkyo Medical University Saitama Medical Center, 2-1-50 Minami-Koshigaya, Koshigaya-shi 343-8555, Saitama, Japan. mstamano@dokkyomed.ac.jp

**Received:** February 8, 2023

**Revised:** March 14, 2023

**Accepted:** May 22, 2023

**Published online:** June 21, 2023

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Shear wave speed (SWS), shear wave dispersion (SWD), and attenuation imaging (ATI) are new diagnostic parameters for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. To differentiate between non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), we developed a clinical index we refer to as the “NASH pentagon” consisting of the 3 abovementioned parameters, body mass index (BMI), and Fib-4 index.

AIM

To investigate whether the area of the NASH pentagon we propose is useful in discriminating between NASH and NAFL.

METHODS

This non-invasive, prospective, observational study included patients diagnosed with fatty liver by abdominal ultrasound between September 2021 and August 2022 in whom shear wave elastography, SWD, and ATI were measured. Histological diagnosis based on liver biopsy was performed in 31 patients. The large pentagon group (LP group) and the small pentagon group (SP group), using an area of 100 as the cutoff, were compared; the NASH diagnosis rate was also investigated. In patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis, receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed.

RESULTS

One hundred-seven patients (61 men, 46 women; mean age 55.1 years; mean BMI 26.8 kg/m2) were assessed. The LP group was significantly older (mean age: 60.8 ± 15.2 years *vs* 46.4 ± 13.2 years; *P* < 0.0001). Twenty-five patients who underwent liver biopsies were diagnosed with NASH, and 6 were diagnosed with NAFL. On ROC curve analyses, the areas under the ROC curves for SWS, dispersion slope, ATI value, BMI, Fib-4 index, and the area of the NASH pentagon were 0.88000, 0.82000, 0.58730, 0.63000, 0.59333, and 0.93651, respectively; the largest was that for the area of the NASH pentagon.

CONCLUSION

The NASH pentagon area appears useful for discriminating between patients with NASH and those with NAFL.
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**Core Tip:** The non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) pentagon is a novel clinical index consisting of the five parameters of shear wave speed, dispersion slope, attenuation imaging value, Fib-4 index, and body mass index. It is simple and the calculation of its area is easy. The area of the NASH pentagon is useful for discriminating between patients with NASH and those with non-alcoholic fatty liver.

**INTRODUCTION**

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a global public health problem[1,2]. It is a grouping of diseases consisting of either non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)[3]. If NASH progresses, it can lead to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma; thus, a clinical index needs to be developed that can efficiently discriminate NASH patients from NAFL patients[4,5]. Assessments based on multiple parameters using ultrasound, including shear wave elastography (SWE), are reported to be useful in stratifying the risk of advanced NASH[6].

SWE measures the speed of shear waves (shear wave speed; SWS) generated by push pulses. SWS is slow in soft objects and fast in hard objects. Measurements of SWS by SWE are considered useful in assessing liver fibrosis in viral hepatitis and NAFLD[7-11].

The shear wave dispersion (SWD) developed in recent years obtains the “frequency dependence” (dispersion slope; DS), which is the extent of the changes in the speed of shear waves, from changes in their frequency. Some preliminary evidence has been reported that DS measurements with SWD are an index of necroinflammation of the liver[12,13].

Attenuation imaging (ATI) was developed as a new testing method for evaluation of hepatic steatosis with ultrasound technology. ATI is a technique that uses the principle of attenuation due to phenomena such as absorption and diffusion of ultrasonic pulses emitted within the body when they pass through body tissue, and it produces a value referred to as the attenuation coefficient. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-determined proton density fat fraction (PDFF) values have been reportedly used for assessing histological hepatic steatosis grades[14], and Tada *et al*[15] reported a good correlation between ATI values and MRI-determined PDFF values.

On the other hand, it is important to have parameters that do not require special equipment to measure. The prevalence of NAFLD in Japanese non-obese patients is 15%[16]. In other words, 85% of NAFLD patients are obese. Therefore, the body mass index (BMI), which can be easily used to determine obesity, is one such parameter of importance.

Similarly, the scoring system for liver fibrosis by the biochemical examination of blood is also relevant. The Fib-4 index, calculated by aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), platelets (Plts), and age, is simple and easy to use[17].

In this study, a pentagon consisting of five parameters was prepared with the addition of the BMI and the Fib-4 index to the three parameters of SWS, SWD, and ATI. Whether the area of this pentagon is useful in discriminating NASH from NAFL was then investigated.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patients***

This was a non-invasive, prospective, observational study that conformed with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki in its 2008 revision and was approved by the ethics review boardof the hospital with which the authors are affiliated (No. 21057). The study was conducted after complete information disclosure on the website of the authors’ hospital.

Of the patients diagnosed with fatty liver by abdominal ultrasound between September 2021 and August 2022, the subjects were patients in whom SWE, SWD, and ATI were measured. Patients with a history of alcohol intake of ethanol ≥ 20 g/d, who had hepatitis B, hepatitis C, or autoimmune liver disease, and patients with concurrent drug-induced liver injury or cholangitis were excluded.

***Diagnosis of fatty liver with ultrasonography***

The ultrasound scanner used was an Aplio i800 from Canon Medical Systems Corp. (Otawara, Tochigi, Japan). Fatty liver was diagnosed if the following were seen on B-mode ultrasound examination: (1) Bright liver[18]; (2) Positive hepatorenal echo contrast[19]; (3) Deep ultrasound attenuation in the liver[20]; and (4) Vascular blurring in the liver[21]. Specialists with ten or more years of ultrasonographic experience (Suda T and Tamano M) performed the B-mode ultrasound examination and measured SWE, SWD, and ATI.

***Measurements of shear wave elastography and SWD***

All SWE measurements were done following B-mode scans, using the same diagnostic equipment and transducers. The measurement results are shown as SWS (m/s). A 1-cm-diameter, circular region of interest was placed on the sample box.

SWD was measured at the same time as SWE. Simultaneous measurements could be taken by switching to quad view mode including a SWS map and a SWD slope map. This test was also performed using five or more measurement values, and the measurement results are shown as DS [(m/s)/KHz].

***Measurements of attenuation imaging***

After SWE and SWD were measured, the same physician performed the ATI test. The measurement result is shown as the ATI value [(dB/cm)/MHz]. A sample box with the default settings was used to acquire the data, set at least 1.5 cm below the liver capsule to avoid reverberation artifacts. The reliability of the results is displayed with R2 values, categorized as poor (*R2* < 0.80), good (*R2* = 0.81-0.89), or excellent (*R2* > 0.90). According to information provided by the manufacturer, measurements are considered to be valid when *R2*≥ 0.80. Attenuation imaging examinations were performed until five valid measurements were obtained, and the mean value of the five measurements was used in the analysis.

***Structure of the NASH pentagon***

AST, ALT, and Plts were measured on the same day as the abdominal ultrasound. The Fib-4 index was calculated from these values and age. The BMI was calculated from height and weight on the day on which abdominal ultrasound was performed.

A pentagon consisting of these five parameters (SWS, DS, ATI value, BMI, and Fib-4 index) was created. This was defined as the NASH pentagon. The area of the NASH pentagon was calculated automatically by inputting a calculation formula into an Aplio i800 work station.

The standard value for each item was set according to previous studies as follows. The standard value of SWS was set as 1.33 m/s (cutoff value of fibrosis stage 1), that of DS was set to 8.5 (m/s)/KHz (cutoff value of lobular inflammation grade 1)[22]. Similarly, the standard value of ATI was set to 0.66 (dB/cm)/MHz, defining steatosis grade 1[16,22]. The standard value for BMI was set at 25.0 kg/m2 based on the index of the Japanese Society for the Study of Obesity[1]. The standard value for the Fib-4 index was set to 1.30, which is the low cutoff value for advanced fibrosis (stage 3-4), based on a report by Shah *et al*[24] The investigation was done taking the area of this pentagon as 100 (Figure 1).

***Diagnosis of NASH***

Histological diagnosis based on liver biopsy was performed within three months from SWE measurement in those patients who provided consent. NASH diagnosis was made by an experienced pathologist certified by the Japanese Society of Pathology, based on comprehensive findings including macrovesicular steatosis, ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes, scattered inflammation and apoptotic bodies in the lobules, Mallory-Denk bodies, and fibrosis[25-27]. In this study, all patients without liver biopsy were judged to have NAFL, even if NASH was suspected clinically.

***Comparison of two groups classified by the area of the NASH pentagon***

Patient characteristics and individual parameters were compared between a large pentagon group (LP group), in which the area of the NASH pentagon was 100 or greater, and a small pentagon group (SP group), in which the area was less than 100. In addition, the NASH diagnosis rate was investigated when the NASH pentagon area of 100 was taken as the reference.

***Diagnostic accuracy for NASH using the area of the NASH pentagon***

In patients for whom liver biopsies were performed during the study period and a histologically confirmed diagnosis was made, a receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was drawn for SWS, DS, ATI value, BMI, Fib-4 index, and area of the NASH pentagon.

***Statistical analysis***

Continuous data for SWS, DS, ATI value, and other clinical parameters are expressed as means ± SD. A Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient test was used to test the independency of these clinical parameters. A non-paired Wilcoxon test was used in comparisons of each parameter between the two groups divided by NASH pentagon area, with *P* < 0.05 taken to indicate a significant difference.

The NASH diagnostic performance of SWS, DS, ATI value, BMI, Fib-4 index, and the area of the pentagon was investigated using the ROC curve. The area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was used to evaluate NASH diagnostic performance.

**RESULTS**

***Patients’ characteristics***

The number of patients who were diagnosed with fatty liver based on abdominal ultrasound and in whom SWE, SWD, and ATI were measured was 126. After excluding 7 patients with an alcohol intake history of ≥ 20 g ethanol/d, 10 patients with concurrent hepatitis B, hepatitis C, or autoimmune liver injury, and 2 patients for other reasons, the investigation was conducted with the remaining 107 patients (Figure 2).

The characteristics for these 107 patients are shown in Table 1. There were 61 men and 46 women, with a mean age of 55.1 years. The mean BMI was 26.8 kg/m2. Of the 107 patients, diabetes mellitus was seen in 25, dyslipidemia in 35, and hypertension in 37. Mean AST, ALT, and γ-glutamyltransferase concentrations were all mildly elevated at 44.9 U/L, 70.6 U/L, and 99.6 U/L, respectively. The mean Fib-4 index was 1.53. Twenty-five of the 107 patients were diagnosed with NASH, and the remaining 82 were diagnosed with NAFL.

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient between SWS and the Fib-4 index was 0.3486; no strong correlation was found. Similarly, the rank correlation coefficient between ATI and BMI was 0.1955, showing no correlation.

***Comparison of two groups classified by the area of the NASH pentagon***

The LP group with a NASH pentagon area ≥ 100 had 64 patients, and the SP group with an area < 100 had 43 patients. A comparison of the two groups is shown in Table 2.

There was no difference in sex between the two groups, but mean age was 60.8 ± 15.2 years in the LP group and 46.4 ± 13.2 years in the SP group, with the LP group significantly older (*P* < 0.0001).

Concurrent diabetes mellitus was seen in 18/64 patients (28.1%) in the LP group and 6/43 patients (13.9%) in the SP group. While this represents a higher tendency in the LP group, no significant difference was seen (*P* = 0.0849). Concurrent dyslipidemia was seen in 27/64 patients (42.2%) in the LP group and 8/43 patients (18.6%) in the SP group, and concurrent hypertension was seen in 29/64 patients (45.3%) and 7/43 patients (16.3%), respectively; both were significantly higher in the LP group (*P* = 0.0108, *P* = 0.0183).

On blood biochemistry tests, total bilirubin was significantly higher in the LP group (*P* = 0.0044), whereas total cholesterol, white blood cells, and Plts were significantly lower in the LP group (*P* = 0.0080, *P* = 0.0080, *P* < 0.0001). There were no significant differences between the two groups in any of the other test results.

Comparisons of the five parameters that make up the NASH pentagon showed no significant difference between the groups in the ATI value(*P* = 0.1407), and significantly higher values in the LP group for SWS, DS, Fib-4 index, and BMI (*P* < 0.0001, *P* = 0.0004, *P* < 0.0001, *P* = 0.0249).

The number of patients diagnosed with NASH was 22 (34.3%) of 64 in the LP group and 3 (7.0%) of 43 in the SP group. The LP group had a significantly higher percentage of NASH patients (*P* = 0.0042).

***Diagnostic accuracy of histological NAFLD using the area of the NASH pentagon***

Liver biopsy was performed during the period of this study in 31 patients. Twenty-five of these 31 patients were diagnosed with NASH, and six were diagnosed with NAFL. The ROC curves indicating the NASH diagnostic performance in these 31 patients are shown in Figure 3. The AUROCs for SWS, DS, ATI value, BMI, Fib-4 index, and the area of the NASH pentagon were 0.88000, 0.82000, 0.58730, 0.63000, 0.59333, and 0.93651, respectively. Of these six items, the largest AUROC was that for the area of the NASH pentagon.

**DISCUSSION**

Ultrasound elastography has been used to evaluate fibrosis noninvasively. SWE, one type of ultrasound elastography, includes transient elastography, point SWE, and other techniques[28-30]. The Aplio i800 used in the present study can measure SWE, SWD, and ATI with the same transducer. This machine is also equipped with a function that automatically creates radar charts from these measured values. The radar charts are created using five parameters. The three parameters of SWS, DS, and ATI value are set in the initial settings, and the remaining two can be freely set by the user. In the present study, these two were set as BMI and Fib-4 index, and it was thought that the area of this pentagon (NASH pentagon) may be useful for the diagnosis of NASH.

There are various reports about the cutoff values of SWE and DS[31]. In the present study, the cutoff values of SWE and DS were set from the report of Sugimoto *et al*[22] based on 120 liver biopsies. The cutoff values for steatosis grade 1 of ATI are reported to be 0.62-0.79[32-34]. In the present study, it was set to 0.66 from the report of Tada *et al*[35] based on 148 liver biopsies.

Obesity is the most important factor in the development of NAFLD. In NASH patients, there is a positive correlation between visceral fat and the amount of fat in hepatocytes[36]. Thus, obesity can lead to a diagnosis of NASH. In the present study, the reference value for BMI was set at 25.0 kg/m2 based on the index of the Japanese Society for the Study of Obesity and a WHO recommendation[23,37].

The Fib-4 index calculated from age, AST, ALT, and platelet count is regularly used as a noninvasive parameter of hepatic fibrosis[38]. In this study, a value of 1.30, which is the low cutoff value for advanced fibrosis (stage 3-4), based on a report by Shah *et al*[24], was set as the reference value.

SWE and the Fib-4 index are indices of liver fibrosis. However, SWE makes use of ultrasound technology and predicts fibrosis. The Fib-4 index is calculated from biochemical evaluation and age. The correlation of the factors was examined, and no strong correlation was found. ATI is an index of hepatic steatosis assessed using ultrasound technology. BMI is an index of obesity of patients calculated from height and weight. In the present statistical analysis, there was no correlation between these two factors. Due to the above-mentioned reasons, the Fib-4 index and BMI were adopted as constituent factors of the NASH pentagon.

In a comparison of the LP group with a NASH pentagon area of 100 or more and the SP group with an area of less than 100, the LP group was older and had a lower platelet count. This is thought to be a result of the strong involvement of the Fib-4 index among the constituent elements of the pentagon. In fact, a very large difference was seen in the Fib-4 index between the groups, which was 2.2 in the LP group and 0.7 in the SP group (*P* < 0.0001).

The mean BMI, on the other hand, was 27.8 kg/m2 in the LP group and 25.6 kg/m2 in the SP group, tending to be higher in the SP group. Obesity is an important element of NAFLD, but as NAFL progresses to NASH, there is a tendency for weight loss. Moreover, even in the SP group, the mean BMI of 25.6 kg/m2 was only slightly above the reference of 25.0 kg/m2. This result is also consistent with reports that nonobese NAFLD is more common in Asia than in western countries[39,40].

The onset and progression of NAFLD/NASH are related to metabolic syndrome, and they have an especially strong relationship with diabetes mellitus[41]. In the present study, no difference was seen in concurrent diabetes mellitus by differences in the NASH pentagon area, but concurrent dyslipidemia and hypertension were significantly higher in the LP group.

The NASH pentagon consists of five parameters: Fibrosis based on SWS, inflammation as assessed by DS, steatosis as assessed by ATI value, obesity assessed by BMI, and the level of serological and clinical progression based on the Fib-4 index. Once this pentagon is programmed in the ultrasound machine, it can be automatically created and its area subsequently calculated, making it very simple to use. We thus consider it to be a useful method for identifying NASH among NAFLD patients.

In fact, when ROC curves were made for the six parameters of SWS, DS, ATI value, BMI, Fib-4 index, and the area of the NASH pentagon in 31 patients who underwent liver biopsy during the study period, the largest AUROC was the one for the area of the NASH pentagon.

In the early stage of NASH, liver fibrosis is mild, and in these patients, SWS is expected to be either normal or only mildly elevated. In young patients, the Fib-4 index also tends to be low. Even in these patients, however, DS, ATI value, and BMI are expected to be high, so it may be possible to identify them using the NASH pentagon.

Conversely, BMI tends to decrease when NASH progresses to cirrhosis, and even histologically, a state is present in which reduced fat deposition is seen (so-called “burned-out NASH”)[42,43]. Such patients are expected to have low BMI and ATI values in conjunction with high SWS and Fib-4 index values. DS may have different values depending on the individual case, but the NASH pentagon is thought to be useful even in these patients.

***Limitations***

This was a prospective study, but only 107 patients were included, and only 31 underwent liver biopsy during the study period. These numbers are too small to obtain sufficient results. Additional studies with a larger number of patients will be needed to confirm our results. It may also be necessary to conduct a more detailed pathological investigation and a review of the reference values for the five parameters.

**CONCLUSION**

The preparation of a NASH pentagon consisting of five parameters, SWS, DS, ATI value, Fib-4 index, and BMI, is simple and the calculation of its area is easy. The authors believe that this multi-parametric index will be useful for identifying NASH patients among NAFLD patients.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a major problem throughout the world. If non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) progresses, it can lead to hepatocellular carcinoma. Novel clinical index needs to be developed that can efficiently discriminate NASH patients from non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) patients.

***Research motivation***

Shear wave speed (SWS), shear wave dispersion (SWD), and attenuation imaging (ATI) are new ultrasound diagnostic parameters for NAFLD. We developed a novel clinical index as the “NASH pentagon” consisting of the 3 abovementioned parameters, body mass index (BMI), and Fib-4 index.

***Research objectives***

Objective of this study is to prove the utility of NASH pentagon area in the differentiation of NASH and NAFL.

***Research methods***

Patients diagnosed with fatty liver by abdominal ultrasound between September 2021 and August 2022 were enrolled. Histological diagnosis based on liver biopsy was performed in 31 patients. The large pentagon group (LP group) and the small pentagon group (SP group), using an area of 100 as the cutoff, were compared; the NASH diagnosis rate was also investigated. In patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis, receiver-operating characteristic curve analyses were performed.

***Research results***

The preparation of a NASH pentagon consisting of five parameters, SWS, DS, ATI value, Fib-4 index, and BMI, is simple and the calculation of its area is easy. The LP group with a NASH pentagon area ≥ 100 had 64 patients, and the SP group with an area < 100 had 43 patients. The number of patients diagnosed with NASH was 22 (34.3%) of 64 in the LP group and 3 (7.0%) of 43 in the SP group. The LP group had a significantly higher percentage of NASH patients (*P* = 0.0042).

***Research conclusions***

The NASH pentagon is a novel multi-parametric index, and will be useful for identifying NASH patients among NAFLD patients.

***Research perspectives***

NASH pentagon should be tried in more patients. Besides, the area of NASH pentagon and the details of the histologic diagnosis should be considered.
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**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis pentagon.** The non-alcoholic steatohepatitis pentagon consists of the five parameters of shear wave speed (SWS), dispersion slope (DS), attenuation imaging value (ATI value), Fib-4 index, and the body mass index (BMI). Based on past reports, the reference values were set as SWS 1.33 m/s, DS 8.5 (m/s)/KHz, ATI value 0.66 (dB/cm)/MHz, BMI 25.0 kg/m2, and Fib-4 index 1.3. SWS: Shear wave speed; DS: Dispersion slope; BMI: Body mass index; ATI: Attenuation imaging.



**Figure 2 Flowchart of the study.** A total of 126 patients who were diagnosed with fatty liver based on abdominal ultrasound and in whom shear wave elastography, shear wave dispersion, and attenuation imaging were measured during the period of this study were entered in the study. Of them, 7 patients with an alcohol intake history of 20 g ethanol/d or more, 3 with hepatitis B, 3 with hepatitis C, and 4 with autoimmune hepatitis or primary biliary cholangitis were excluded. In addition, 1 patient with drug-induced liver injury and 1 patient with cholangitis were excluded, bringing the final number of subjects in this investigation to 107. HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; PBC: Primary biliary cholangitis; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.



**Figure 3 Receiver-operating characteristic curve for each parameter.** This shows the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the 17 patients who underwent liver biopsy during the period of this study. The areas under the ROC curves (AUROCs) of shear wave speed, dispersion slope, attenuation imaging value, body mass index, Fib-4 index, and the area of the non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) pentagon are 0.88000, 0.82000, 0.58730, 0.63000, 0.59333, and 0.93651, respectively. Of these six parameters, the largest AUROC is that for the area of the NASH pentagon. SWE: Shear wave elastography; SWD: Shear wave dispersion; ATI: Attenuation imaging; BMI: Body mass index; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

**Table 1 Patients’ characteristics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Patients’ characteristics** | ***n* = 107** |
| M/F | 61/46 |
| Age (yr) | 55.1 ± 15.9 |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 26.8 ± 4.1 |
| Metabolic diseases |  |
| Diabetes mellitus (yes/no) | 25/82 |
| Dyslipidemia (yes/no) | 35/72 |
| Hypertension (yes/no) | 37/70 |
| Concomitant drugs |  |
| SGLT2 inhibitor | 12 |
| DPP-4 inhibitor | 13 |
| Thiazolidinedione | 2 |
| GLP-1 agonist | 2 |
| Statin | 19 |
| Bezafibrate | 0 |
| Pemafibrate | 8 |
| EPA and DHA preparation | 1 |
| AST (U/L) | 44.9 ± 38.0 |
| ALT (U/L) | 70.6 ± 57.7 |
| GGT (U/L) | 99.6 ± 139.7 |
| T-B (mg/dL) | 0.9 ± 0.5 |
| Alb (mg/dL) | 4.5 ± 0.4 |
| eGFR (mL/min) | 73.0 ± 15.0 |
| HbA1c (%) | 6.5 ± 1.2 |
| T-chol (mg/dL) | 202.1 ± 52.6 |
| TG (mg/dL) | 180.0 ± 119.0 |
| WBC (103/μL) | 6731.8 ± 1882.5 |
| Hb (g/dL) | 14.7 ± 1.3 |
| Plts (104/μL) | 23.9 ± 7.6 |
| Fib-4 index | 1.53 ± 1.90 |

M: Male; F: Female; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; GGT: γ-glutamyltransferase; T-Bil: Total bilirubin; Alb: Albumin; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c: Glycated hemoglobin A1c; T-chol: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; WBC: White blood cells; Hb: Hemoglobin; Plts: Platelets.

**Table 2 Comparison of the two groups classified by area of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis pentagon**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Large pentagon group (*n* = 64)** | **Small pentagon group (*n* = 43)** | ***P* value** |
| M/F | 33/31 | 28/15 | 0.165 |
| Age (yr) | 60.8 ± 15.2 | 46.4 ± 13.2 | < 0.0001 |
| Metabolic diseases |  |  |  |
| Diabetes mellitus (yes/no) | 18/46 | 6/37 | 0.0849 |
| Dyslipidemia (yes/no) | 27/37 | 8/35 | 0.0108 |
| Hypertension (yes/no) | 29/35 | 7/36 | 0.0183 |
| AST (U/L) | 52.6 ± 44.2 | 40.3 ± 24.4 | 0.0521 |
| ALT (U/L) | 61.1 ± 45.2 | 83.0 ± 71.6 | 0.1699 |
| GGT (U/L) | 97.3 ± 123.2 | 102.9 ± 162.0 | 0.7238 |
| T-B (mg/dL) | 1.01 ± 0.6 | 0.77 ± 0.3 | 0.0044 |
| Alb (mg/dL) | 4.5 ± 0.4 | 4.6 ± 0.4 | 0.2843 |
| eGFR (mL/min) | 71.8 ± 16.4 | 74.7 ± 12.1 | 0.1639 |
| HbA1c (%) | 6.5 ± 1.1 | 6.3 ± 1.2 | 0.2847 |
| T-chol (mg/dL) | 188.5 ± 59.4 | 221.3 ± 33.8 | 0.008 |
| TG (mg/dL) | 172.9 ± 126.5 | 189.9 ± 108.8 | 0.1278 |
| WBC (103/μL) | 6225.0 ± 1586.9 | 7463.9 ± 2050.9 | 0.008 |
| Hb (g/dL) | 14.7 ± 1.3 | 14.7 ± 1.5 | 0.6836 |
| Plts (104/μL) | 21.0 ± 6.5 | 28.8 ± 6.6 | < 0.0001 |
| SWS (m/s) | 1.78 ± 0.36 | 1.39 ± 0.14 | < 0.0001 |
| DS [(m/s)/kHz] | 13.3 ± 3.7 | 10.8 ± 2.0 | < 0.0001 |
| ATI value [(dB/cm)/MHz] | 0.78 ± 0.13 | 0.74 ± 0.13 | 0.1047 |
| Fib-4 index | 2.2 ± 2.3 | 0.7 ± 0.4 | < 0.0001 |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 27.8 ± 4.4 | 25.6 ± 3.2 | 0.0249 |
| NASH/NAFL | 22/42 | 3/40 | 0.0042 |

M: Male; F: Female; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; GGT: γ-glutamyltransferase; T-chol: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; WBC: White blood cells; Hb: Hemoglobin; Plts: Platelets; SWS: Shear wave speed; DS: Dispersion slope; ATI value: Attenuation imaging value; BMI: Body mass index; Alb: Albumin; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAFL: Non-alcoholic fatty liver.
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