



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 83748

**Title:** Current guidelines for diagnosis and management of hepatic involvement in Hereditary Hemorrhagic Teleangiectasia

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03853274

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-02-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-02-25 03:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-03-02 09:05

**Review time:** 5 Days and 5 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation





**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 83748

**Title:** Current guidelines for diagnosis and management of hepatic involvement in Hereditary Hemorrhagic Teleangiectasia

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 03905597

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-02-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-03-03 06:49

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-03-11 14:21

**Review time:** 8 Days and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript is well organized, but it has some problems (see below) and is not acceptable for publication in the present form. 1) Please describe histology of NRH briefly. 2) Tables: Tables 1, 2 and 4. OK, but in my opinion, clinical scoring index of Singh S et al. is not so well recognized yet. Minor points 1) Liver transplantation. Please summarize the previous papers (refs.38, 40-45), and propose the authors' recommended indication. 2) Many orthographic errors. eco-pattern (L.121), cholangitis in considered (L.158). 3) Fig.2. There are no Fig. 2A-2C (Ls.108-118). 4) Imaging screening and stadiation. Please describe the follow-up system (interval according to the patient's age and VMs grade) 5) Please mention "clinical manifestations in HHT-related biliary complications" more deeply. 6) Please add "intrahepatic V-V shunt". Probably it is not so clinically meaningful, but one of the lesion of intrahepatic shunts. 7) (Ls.39-42) Please describe briefly HHT1 and HHT2.