

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84035

Title: Defining the awareness and attitude of the clinicians through pharmacovigilance in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06045528

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-20 17:39

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-20 19:55

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors brought up attention to the current situation of pharmacovigilance in Turkey. It highlights the importance of pharmacovigilance in clinical practice. The manuscript is well written. I have no additional comment.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84035

Title: Defining the awareness and attitude of the clinicians through pharmacovigilance in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03543163

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-25 12:47

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-03 21:09

Review time: 9 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author This study describes the Awareness and Attitude of Clinicians regarding Pharmacovigilance and the authors found that they have a low-level knowledge and practising. Some significant points should be clarified: 1- The study was designed as a cross-sectional questionnaire research and reached similar findings to the known literature. It could have created a difference if they could have sent the same questionnaire to the same participants after a while online education programme about Pharmacovigilance toward same participants. In this way, they could measure whether adequate education could change physicians' knowledge and attitude about Pharmacovigilance. Also, a few inquiries should be added which can elucidate why physicians could not attend the requirement of Pharmacovigilance. Furthermore, the questionnaire should be translated into English and it should be shared in the article. 2- The discussion has been mainly written on the comparisons between the findings of the study and previously reported studies. The article has already adequate information on the numbers acquired from the questionnaire in the results section. Therefore, it does not need to repeat the same numbers in the discussion. Instead of it, they should focus

on the chief point of this report. The discussion should be included reasons for the low-knowledge levels of physicians. 3- Some grammatical corrections have been emphasized in the article with red colour. Kind regards

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84035

Title: Defining the awareness and attitude of the clinicians through pharmacovigilance in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03543163

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: Li Li

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-09 09:25

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-06 04:15

Review time: 27 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I had reviewed the article and suggested some revisions. I have evaluated the authors' response but could not see any major corrections that they have made even obvious grammatical errors which I had signed with red colour on the article. I had suggested that the discussion section should be shortened and focused on the chief points of the study but it was rewritten almost the same. I insist that this study is a cross-sectional questionnaire research that reached similar findings to the known literature. Therefore, it does not include any new contribution to the literature. However, I respect the authors' decision. In conclusion, I would like to leave the last decision to the Editor about whether the article could accept or not. Kind regards