



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84061

Title: High doses of dextromethorphan induced shock and convulsions in a 19-year-old female: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06187298

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Physician, Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Romania

Author’s Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-24 11:04

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-05 07:42

Review time: 8 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for this very interesting and unique case report of a very rare situation of drug intoxication. By providing information about this case many ER and ICU medical staff will greatly benefit. This is my report regarding the submitted paper. 1 Title. The title does reflect properly the content of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. The abstract reflects very well all aspects of the manuscript. 3 Key Words. Well chosen. 4 Background. The background is constructed according to a case presentation paper. 5 Methods. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is consistent with a case report. 6 Results. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is consistent with a case report. 7 Discussion. This section is constructed according to a case presentation paper. The level of detail is consistent with a case report. The numer of citations is adequate and the time span is appropriate. 8 Illustrations and tables. This is a case report of an drug overdose, no additional images are required. 9 Biostatistics. Not aplicable. 10 Units. Yes. All biomarkers have been reported in SI. 11 References. The list of references is adequate, also the timespan is appropriate. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

It is fit for publication. 13 Research methods and reporting. The appropriate CARE Checklist (2013) for Case report has been used. 14 Ethics statements. Documents have been provided.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 84061

Title: High doses of dextromethorphan induced shock and convulsions in a 19-year-old female: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 03328331

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: India

Author’s Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-09 16:53

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-20 17:50

Review time: 11 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: 1. "19-year-old patient" was repeated at multiple places. 2. Some sentences need refinements such as dry skin instead of dry epidermis. 3. Her limbs were weak due to disturbance of consciousness, but muscle tonus was normal, with no stiffness. This sentence needs restructuring. Proper CNS examination findings should be written. 4. In the treatment part, only treatment should be presented instead of giving general statements. 5. Outcome - the patient was able to discontinue her vasopressors on the second hospital day. Did the patient discontinue her medication by herself? Repeat BP values should be given.