

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World	Journal of Gastroenterology
------------------------	-----------------------------

Manuscript NO: 84071

Title: γ-aminobutyric acid B2 receptor: A potential therapeutic target for

cholangiocarcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05575380 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-01 07:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-01 09:03

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection	
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, thank you so much for inviting me to revise this manuscript about biliary tract cancer. This study addresses a current topic. The manuscript is quite well written and organized. English should be improved. Figures and tables are comprehensive and clear. The introduction explains in a clear and coherent manner the background of this study. We suggest the following modifications: • Introduction section: although the authors correctly included important papers in this setting, we believe the evolving systemic treatment scenario for BTC should be further discussed and some recently published papers added within the introduction (PMID: 32806956; PMID: 36368251; PMID: 33592561; PMID: 33645367), only for a matter of consistency. We think it might be useful to introduce the topic of this interesting study. • Methods and Statistical Analysis: nothing to add. • Discussion section: Very interesting and timely discussion. Of note, the authors should expand the Discussion section, including a more personal perspective to reflect on. For example, they could answer the following questions - in order to facilitate the understanding of this complex topic to readers: what potential does this study hold? What are the knowledge gaps and how do researchers tackle them?



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

How do you see this area unfolding in the next 5 years? We think it would be extremely interesting for the readers. However, we think the authors should be acknowledged for their work. In fact, they correctly addressed an important topic in renal cell carcinoma, the methods sound good and their discussion is well balanced. One additional little flaw: the authors could better explain the limitations of their work, in the last part of the Discussion. We believe this article is suitable for publication in the journal although major revisions are needed. The main strengths of this paper are that it addresses an interesting and very timely question and provides a clear answer, with some limitations. We suggest a linguistic revision and the addition of some references for a matter of consistency. Moreover, the authors should better clarify some points.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World	Journal of	f Gastroenterolog	зy
-------------------------------	------------	-------------------	----

Manuscript NO: 84071

Title: γ-aminobutyric acid B2 receptor: A potential therapeutic target for

cholangiocarcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05046795 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 08:15

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-27 09:40

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection	
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have some concerns about this research: 1. Whether GABBR2 have expression correlation with cyclin D1 or c-Myc in clinical specimen? GABBR2 promote b-cat translocation in CCA cells or tumors? 2. How to choose the concentration of Glc for cells treatment? How about the long term treatment? 3. GAPDH is not an ideal loading control for cancer cells, especially after glucose treatment. 4. They authors should prove that Baclofen treatment can not change the expression of GABBR2. 5. ChIP and other solid evidence should be provided to clearify Baclofen and GABBR2 affect the GSK3/β-catenin and STAT3 signalling. 6. In vivo experiment, such as xenograft are preferred.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World J	ournal of Gastroenterology
--------------------------	----------------------------

Manuscript NO: 84071

Title: γ-aminobutyric acid B2 receptor: A potential therapeutic target for

cholangiocarcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04213605 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Teaching Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 03:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-06 01:40

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection	
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, it is a decent paper. However, in vivo studies should be included.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World	Journal of Gastroenterology
------------------------	-----------------------------

Manuscript NO: 84071

Title: γ-aminobutyric acid B2 receptor: A potential therapeutic target for

cholangiocarcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05575380 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-06 05:00

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-06 05:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

acceptance.