

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 84189

Title: Adult eosinophilic esophagitis and advances in its treatment

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04068828 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-22 04:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-04 15:08

**Review time:** 13 Days and 11 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors summarized EoE in adults in terms of epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment. This review is well written, but I have some comments as below. Major comments: 1. In the abstract, there is little evidence about "Luminal fiberoptic endoscopy has permitted early diagnosis, which explains the increase in incidence in these past decades." It should be modified. 2. In core tip, "The organ damage can be due to various mechanisms risk factors that activate the cascade of inflammatory response such as direct infection." sounds nothing to do with EoE. 3. In introduction, I do not think it is true about a description "With the current diagnostic technology available, it is possible to distinguish gastroesophageal reflux (GERD) and EoE as two distinct separate conditions.". That is because GERD and EoE might coexist and interact with each other although they are different entities. 4. Ref. No5 does not indicate that the increase of EoE incidence rate contribute solely to the improvement of "recognition, diagnostics, and knowledge of this disorder". 5. In diagnosis, the authors need to clarify where the diagnostic criteria come from (e.g. AGA guidelines, etc.) Minor comments: 1. There are many misspellings and grammatical error in the



manuscript. It needs to be revised thoroughly.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 84189

Title: Adult eosinophilic esophagitis and advances in its treatment

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475059 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-01

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-05 11:25

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-15 07:14

**Review time:** 9 Days and 19 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Summary "Adult eosinophilic esophagitis and advances in treatment" revealed pathophysiology and current management of eosinophilic esophagitis. Although it reviewed various topics regarding eosinophilic esophagitis, I have several comments. Major comment 1. Since various topics were covered, each topic was not sufficient. Authors should focus on some topics and note details in each topic. Minor comment 1. There was a sentence in the abstract section which should be corrected in English.