

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84636

Title: Endoscopic and surgical treatment of jejunal gallstone ileus caused by

cholecystoduodenal fistula: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05476795 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-22 17:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-22 19:56

Review time: 2 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a rare cases, but can occur as a complication of several risk factors. There are several important points I want to ask 1. Can you include laboratory findings related to the diagnosis? especially in acute diffuse peritonitis, septicemia, septic shock. 2. related to the cerebral infarction that occurs in the patient, is there any connection with the disease at the time of initial admission to the patient? 3. It is suggested that at the end of the discussion the cause of death of the patient may be added during treatment, to conclude its relationship to the case being discussed.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84636

Title: Endoscopic and surgical treatment of jejunal gallstone ileus caused by

cholecystoduodenal fistula: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03740343 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-01 11:26

**Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-01 12:15** 

Review time: 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
tille manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No creativity of fillovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [ Y] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is a case report on gallstone ileus, which is interesting, but there are many case reports of gallstone ileus, and the novelty is poor. Additional considerations regarding treatment methods are required. 1. The specific date should be deleted from the text. 2. The reason for the 22-day non-surgical follow-up after diagnosis should be described. 3. The reason why endoscopy was performed twice should be described in detail. 4. Was the patient's death due to surgical complications or bacteremia due to ileus? 5. Was a nasal long tube inserted into the patient? 6. The notation of figure in the text is difficult to understand. Shouldn't A, B, etc. be added to the notation? 7. Is fistula closure necessary for surgery in this patient? Considering her age, wouldn't jejunotomy and stone removal be sufficient?



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84636

Title: Endoscopic and surgical treatment of jejunal gallstone ileus caused by

cholecystoduodenal fistula: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03740343 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Jie Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-17 12:12

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-17 12:40

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has been much improved. Have the manuscript checked again by an English native speaker. I have no further suggestions for corrections.