

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology* 

Manuscript NO: 84869

Title: Recent Advances in Mechanisms and Management of Colitis-associated Colorectal

Cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00004011

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-02 09:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-06 19:33

Review time: 4 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance</li> </ul>
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is a well written manuscript



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology* 

Manuscript NO: 84869

Title: Recent Advances in Mechanisms and Management of Colitis-associated Colorectal

Cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503545

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-02 05:03

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-10 03:14

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>



# Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance</li> </ul>
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors summarize the current understanding of CAC, particularly its epidemiology, mechanisms, and management. The topic is clinically very important, and the paper is well written. However, the authors should address the following points. 1. I suggest that the authors describe concerning the endoscopic resection (ER) for UC-associated neoplasia. 2. I suggest that the authors describe concerning the endoscopic and pathological differential diagnosis between UC-associated neoplasia and sporadic neoplasia. 3. I suggest that the authors also give a brief description about anal cancer in patients with Crohn's disease, if possible. 4. In the section of CAC SCREENING AND SURVEILLANCE, I suggest that "LDG" is a misspelling for "LGD".



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology* 

Manuscript NO: 84869

Title: Recent Advances in Mechanisms and Management of Colitis-associated Colorectal

Cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03668600

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-05 08:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-12 03:44

Review time: 6 Days and 18 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ Y] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I do not think the content of this paper offers novel concepts, low likelihood of being widely cited.