

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84872

Title: Historical changes in surgical strategy and complication management for hepatic

cystic echinococcosis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05230210 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-09 03:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-24 02:24

Review time: 14 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



# **Baishideng** Publishing Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Also this seems more like minireview Title: Needs language editing. Keywords: the words hepatic and cystic are not article type. Abstract: relevant, I suggest "hepatic cyst", and "surgical excision". The word "hydatid disease" is never mentioned in the abstract or the manuscript, although this is the common name that most physicians and patients know the disease by, could the authors explain why? Introduction: The introduction contains text repeated from the abstract, please modify. There no mention of the single versus multiple or complicated cystic lesions incidence or the different approaches needed, pleas The authors state "The present article reviews the surgical treatment add. strategy for hepatic CE and the historical evolution of postoperative complications.">> did you mean the historical evolution of the surgical complications or the surgical techniques. Here the aim doesn't match that of the abstract and doesn't make There is no mention of any minimal invasive techniques which are used, please explain? Ref: Bayrak, M., Altıntas, Y. Current approaches in the surgical treatment of liver hydatid disease: single center experience. BMC Surg 19, 95 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-019-0553-1 Review: The word "egg" is not appropriate to mention in hydatid · You mention "residual cavity infection" as the main complication, however seeding and secondary infection are very common and dangerous too, please elaborate. · Alpendazole is not for complicated infection, because it is mostly secondary bacterial infection as mentioned in most of the studies which needs antibiotics. Albendazole is usually used before the operation to avoid the spreading. There is repetition in motioning the complications in each



https://www.wjgnet.com

technique although the complications of implantation, secondary infection, and spreading are universal to most surgical techniques; the writing needs modifications to avoid repetition. If the percentage of complications differ with different techniques I suggest presenting them in a table format for clear comparison. titled "hepatectomy" please mention that this is "partial" and what parts are accessible (eg right lobe) and when is transplantation is needed eg if they are spreading to the whole liver as multiple complicated cysts?. Reference: Goja, S., Saha, S. K., Yadav, S. K., Tiwari, A., & Soin, A. S. (2018). Surgical approaches to hepatic hydatidosis ranging from partial cystectomy to liver transplantation. Annals of hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery, 22(3), 208–215. https://doi.org/10.14701/ahbps.2018.22.3.208 In local ablation no mentioning of local ablating therapies as ethanol. Even historically. Conclusion: There is no mentioning of any detailed imaging characteristics or techniques, yet it is mentioned in the conclusion, kindly add an elaborative part in the review. The conclusion is too long, please shorten. References: I suggest adding the previously mentioned references. Figures: there are no figures available to present the historical changes in the surgical techniques, I suggest adding them. Tables: please see the previous suggestion. Best regards, Sarah.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84872

Title: Historical changes in surgical strategy and complication management for hepatic

cystic echinococcosis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00199533 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Argentina

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-01 12:42

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-07 03:23

**Review time:** 5 Days and 14 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review [ ] Yes [Y] No	
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The text is an interesting and dedicated journey through the history of surgery for cysts produced by echinococcosis. It is well founded and provides surgical information for decision-making about the treatment of the disease. Although it does not seem like a job that contributes new concepts, updating from a temporary perspective offers a necessary perspective for the incorporation of new techniques and procedures.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84872

Title: Historical changes in surgical strategy and complication management for hepatic

cystic echinococcosis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02734287 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-02 19:22

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-09 08:36

**Review time:** 6 Days and 13 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No scientific significance
	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)
	[Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
2 dda 2 d 2 d 2 d 3 d d d d d d d d d d d d d	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article provides a comprehensive review of surgical techniques in the treatment of cystic echinococcosis. The quality of English should be improved and the publication of the paper requires editing by a professional editor.



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 84872

Title: Historical changes in surgical strategy and complication management for hepatic

cystic echinococcosis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05230210 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-18 07:39

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-23 12:42

**Review time:** 5 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to thank the authors for the modifications. I recommend using highlighting text or track changes so it would be easier to review the changes made.